

Title of Meeting: Cwm Rhyd y Ceirw (CRC) landfill development meeting

Date of Meeting: 6 February 2015 **Time:** 10.00 **Venue:** NRW Maes Newydd office

Present: Ffion Thomas (FT), Neil Herbert (NH), Paul Gibson (PG) – NRW PPC
Aled Rodderick (AR), Dave Watkins (DW) – NRW planning development
David Jones (DJ) – NRW Geoscience
Kevin Ashcroft (KA) – NRW EPR installation permitting

Antonio Montinaro (AM) – SI Green Ltd
Guy Titman (GT), Jo Congo (JC) – MJCA consultants
Richard Kelso (RK), Stuart Rodden (SR), Matt Jesops (MJ) – Edenstones homes.

Apologies: None received.

Item No:	Item	Action
1.	Planning application/site development and closure process requirements. MJ gave an explanation of edenstones involvement in the development of CRC for proposed housing subject to planning. 300 houses to be built at the site, 280 around the top of the quarry and 20 in the base. Development fits with the LDP and UDP. Planning application has been submitted and subject to consultation. NRW's consultation response to planning highlighted that there is an operational landfill permit in place at the development site and that planners need to take this into consideration. Building within an installation boundary would also not be permitted. To progress planning NRW would need to agree variations to the permit, amending risk assessments to leave the waste in situ. A proposed closure report had been submitted to try and resolve some matters, however this closure report	

did not include amended risk assessments to now leave the waste in situ. (a revised HRA to address some matters has subsequently been submitted, reviewed by NRW and request for further information made – see later discussion)

SI Green to forward requested HRA information.

The operator and developers desire to build in a phased approach, leading then on to site closure.

To allow a phased build gas risk assessments would need to be assessed. Current review of the GRA indicated a need for increased borehole network. Agreement was received.

A careful balanced approach to allow planning and closure is needed based on confidence in risk assessments and conditions in permits.

SI Green to forward draft wording of conditions

NRW to seek advice on planning conditions.

2. **Revised HRA reviewed comments discussion**

NRW have assessed the revised HRA and comments for further information has been sent to SI Green

Discussion was held on the detail of the requested information and confirmation received that the information can be provided.

SI Green to forward the requested details.

Discussion was held regarding the pumping regime at the site. All agreed the EPR permit is not the mechanism and would be required by planning. Contingency planning needs to be addressed as a compliance matter.

SI Green to submit an updated emergencies contingency plan, including the pumping regime to NRW for review.

Permit variation application (Ground Water discharge to surface water) update and current permit condition relevance discussion

An update on progress was provided. Further modelling is being undertaken but at the time of the meeting there appeared to be no detrimental effect. Information requested further to the HRA would be relevant and assist the application (regarding inaccurate referencing of borehole 3)

SI Green to provide information to address pre-pp/imp conditions.

As possible updates to the permit could be undertaken at the same time as the variation application work all were keen to see them included. Looking at possible pre-op conditions and revised compliance levels (discussed in the HRA) being included as an improvement plan.

Action Summary

NRW

Review condition wording.
Consult with Swansea Planning.

CRC group

Respond to HRA comments further information requested
Provide justification for conceptual model
Improve monitoring network (gas boreholes)
Update discharge management and emergency contingency plans
Submit GW compliance level justification
Forward suggestions on condition wording.