




CSCC•CWIC

Engineering Design Services



ED3394 New Quay Harbour Dredging

Water Framework Directive Assessment & Test of Likely Significant Effects

03/01/2023

Report Prepared for:-
**Owen Morgan –
Harbour Manager
Highways &
Environmental
Services,
Ceredigion County
Council**

Report No. ED3394_ECO_1

Prepared By George HT Ryley
BSc, MSc

ED3394 New Quay Harbour Dredging

Water Framework Directive Assessment & Test of Likely Significant Effects

	Name	Signature	Position	Date of Issue	Version
Prepared	George HT Ryley		Highways Ecologist	03/01/2023	
Approved					

Record of Previous Issue and Amendments

Version	Revision date	Summary of Changes

Ceredigion County Council (CCC) is responsible for the maintenance of New Quay Harbour. As part of this, the harbour basin is dredged regularly to maintain access for boats. The dredged material is to be used locally to replenish the beach at Cei Bach.

The Marine Licence renewal for the maintenance dredging requires CCC to demonstrate that the proposed dredging is compatible with legal requirements to safeguard water bodies (Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC) and sites which are designated under the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations (2010) such as Cardigan Bay Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and West Wales Marine SAC. The required assessments are the Water Framework Directive Assessment (Appendix 1 of this report) and a Test of Likely Significant Effects on sites that form part of the National Site Network (TLSE; Appendix 2 of this report) to determine whether a full Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) is required.

The waterbody name for WFD purposes is 'Cardigan Bay Central' coastal waterbody. The waterbody's current overall status is moderate (as of 2021), with an objective of improving this status to good. The risk of undermining achievement of this target from the proposed dredging and disposal operation is negligible. The risk of undermining the conservation objectives for West Wales Marine SAC and Cardigan Bay SAC is also negligible. Best practice regarding pollution prevention must be adhered to at all times.

Appendix 1: Water Framework Directive Assessment

Water Framework Directive assessment: scoping for activities in estuarine and coastal waters

Your activity	Description, notes or more information
Applicant name	Ceredigion County Council
Application reference number (where applicable)	
Name of activity	New Quay Harbour dredging and associated beach replenishment
Brief description of activity	<i>It is proposed to dredge sandy material from the harbour basin at low tide around the time of Spring tides in winter/ early spring. The material will be dredged using excavators and will be used subsequently to replenish the beach at neighbouring Cei Bach. Annual volume of material to be dredged: 10,000 m³.</i>
Location of activity (central point XY coordinates or national grid reference)	<i>Dredging</i> <i>SN 39070 59835</i> <i>SN 39079 60043</i> <i>Disposal Area</i> <i>Cei Bach Beach</i> <i>SN 39498 59480</i> <i>SN 39921 59522</i>
Footprint of activity (ha)	~4 ha
Timings of activity (including start and finish dates)	Low tide during late winter/ early spring spring tides each year.
Extent of activity (for example size, scale frequency, expected volumes of output or discharge)	10,000 m ³ pa

Use or release of chemicals (state which ones)	N/A
--	-----

Water body ¹	Description, notes or more information
WFD water body name	<i>Cardigan Bay Central</i>
Water body ID	<i>GB651009030000</i>
River basin district name	<i>Western Wales River Basin District</i>
Water body type (estuarine or coastal)	<i>Coastal</i>
Water body total area (ha)	<i>unknown</i>
Overall water body status (2021)	<i>Moderate</i>
Ecological status	<i>Good</i>
Chemical status	<i>Moderate</i>
Target water body status and deadline	<i>Improve status to Good by 2027</i>
Hydromorphology status of water body	<i>Not designated a heavily modified waterbody</i>
Heavily modified water body and for what use	<i>n/a</i>
Higher sensitivity habitats present	<i>None</i>
Lower sensitivity habitats present	<i>Sand; Rock; Mud/shingle</i>
Phytoplankton status	<i>?</i>
History of harmful algae	<i>?</i>
WFD protected areas within 2km	<i>West Wales Marine SAC, Cardigan Bay Marine SAC</i>

¹ Water body information can be found in the Environment Agency's catchment data explorer and the water body summary table. Magic maps provide additional information on habitats and protected areas. Links to these information sources can be found in the WFD assessment guidance for estuarine and coastal waters.

Specific risk information

Consider the potential risks of your activity to each of these receptors: hydromorphology, biology (habitats and fish), water quality and protected areas. Also consider invasive non-native species (INNS).

Section 1: Hydromorphology

Consider if hydromorphology is at risk from your activity.

Use the water body summary table to find out the hydromorphology status of the water body, if it is classed as heavily modified and for what use.

Consider if your activity:	Yes	No	Hydromorphology risk issue(s)
Could impact on the hydromorphology (for example morphology or tidal patterns) of a water body at high status		Impact assessment not required	
Could significantly impact the hydromorphology of any water body		Impact assessment not required	
Is in a water body that is heavily modified for the same use as your activity		Impact assessment not required	

Record the findings for hydromorphology and go to section 2: biology.

Section 2: Biology

Habitats

Consider if habitats are at risk from your activity.

Use the water body summary table and Magic maps, or other sources of information if available, to find the location and size of these habitats.

Higher sensitivity habitats ²	Lower sensitivity habitats ³
chalk reef	cobbles, gravel and shingle
clam, cockle and oyster beds	intertidal soft sediments like sand and mud
intertidal seagrass	rocky shore
maerl	subtidal boulder fields
mussel beds, including blue and horse mussel	subtidal rocky reef
polychaete reef	subtidal soft sediments like sand and mud
saltmarsh	
subtidal kelp beds	
subtidal seagrass	

² Higher sensitivity habitats have a low resistance to, and recovery rate, from human pressures.

³ Lower sensitivity habitats have a medium to high resistance to, and recovery rate from, human pressures.

Consider if the footprint ⁴ of your activity is:	Yes	No	Biology habitats risk issue(s)
0.5km ² or larger		No No No No	
1% or more of the water body's area			
Within 500m of any higher sensitivity habitat			
1% or more of any lower sensitivity habitat			

⁴ Note that a footprint may also be a temperature or sediment plume. For dredging activity, a footprint is 1.5 times the dredge area.

Fish

Consider if fish are at risk from your activity, but only if your activity is in an estuary or could affect fish in or entering an estuary.

Consider if your activity:	Yes	No	Biology fish risk issue(s)
Is in an estuary and could affect fish in the estuary, outside the estuary but could delay or prevent fish entering it or could affect fish migrating through the estuary		N/A	Not in an estuary.
Could impact on normal fish behaviour like movement, migration or spawning (for example creating a physical barrier, noise, chemical change or a change in depth or flow)		N/A	Not in an estuary.
Could cause entrainment or impingement of fish		N/A	Not in an estuary.

Record the findings for biology habitats and fish and go to section 3: water quality.

Section 3: Water quality

Consider if water quality is at risk from your activity.

Use the water body summary table to find information on phytoplankton status and harmful algae.

Consider if your activity:	Yes	No	Water quality risk issue(s)
Could affect water clarity, temperature, salinity, oxygen levels, nutrients or microbial patterns continuously for longer than a spring neap tidal cycle (about 14 days)		Impact assessment not required- due to the sandy particle size, it is expected that sediment will re-settle quickly after works	
Is in a water body with a phytoplankton status of moderate, poor or bad		Impact assessment not required	
Is in a water body with a history of harmful algae		Impact assessment not required	

Consider if water quality is at risk from your activity through the use, release or disturbance of chemicals.

If your activity uses or releases chemicals (for example through sediment disturbance or building works) consider if:	Yes	No	Water quality risk issue(s)
The chemicals are on the Environmental Quality Standards Directive (EQSD) list		Impact assessment not required	
It disturbs sediment with contaminants above Cefas Action Level 1		Impact assessment not required	

If your activity has a mixing zone (like a discharge pipeline or outfall) consider if:	Yes	No	Water quality risk issue(s)
The chemicals released are on the Environmental Quality Standards Directive (EQSD) list		N/A	

⁵ Carry out your impact assessment using the Environment Agency's surface water pollution risk assessment guidance, part of Environmental Permitting Regulations guidance.

Record the findings for water quality go on to section 4: WFD protected areas.

Section 4: WFD protected areas

Consider if WFD protected areas are at risk from your activity. These include:

- special areas of conservation (SAC)
- bathing waters
- special protection areas (SPA)
- nutrient sensitive areas
- shellfish waters

Use Magic maps to find information on the location of protected areas in your water body (and adjacent water bodies) within 2km of your activity.

Consider if your activity is:	Yes	No	Protected areas risk issue(s)
Within 2km of any WFD protected area ⁶	Requires impact assessment		

⁶ Note that a regulator can extend the 2km boundary if your activity has an especially high environmental risk.

Record the findings for WFD protected areas and go to section 5: invasive non-native species.

Section 5: Invasive non-native species (INNS)

Consider if there is a risk your activity could introduce or spread INNS.

Risks of introducing or spreading INNS include:

- materials or equipment that have come from, had use in or travelled through other water bodies
- activities that help spread existing INNS, either within the immediate water body or other water bodies

Consider if your activity could:	Yes	No	INNS risk issue(s)
Introduce or spread INNS		Impact assessment not required	

Record the findings for INNS and go to the summary section.

Summary

Summarise the results of scoping here.

Receptor	Potential risk to receptor?	Note the risk issue(s) for impact assessment
Hydromorphology	No	
Biology: habitats	No	
Biology: fish	No	
Water quality	No	
Protected areas	Yes	Impact assessment: TLSE (see Appendix 2)
Invasive non-native species	No	

If you haven't identified any receptors at risk during scoping, you don't need to continue to the impact assessment stage and your WFD assessment is complete.

If you've identified one or more receptors at risk during scoping, you should continue to the impact assessment stage.

Include your scoping results in the WFD assessment document you send to your activity's regulator as part of your application for permission to carry out the activity.

Appendix 2: Test of Likely Significant Effects on a European Protected Site (TLSE) for West Wales Marine Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Cardigan Bay Marine SAC.

Record of Assessment of Likely Significant Effect on a European Site		Cyngor Sir CEREDIGION	
PART A			
<i>To be completed by relevant technical/project officer in consultation with Conservation/Ecology section and NRW. Please refer to English Nature Habitat Regulations Guidance Note 3 when completing this form.</i>			
1. Type of permission/activity:	Marine Licence to dredge and / or dispose of dredge material		
2. Planning application number	n/a		
3. National Grid reference:	SN391601		
4. Site reference:	New Quay Harbour		
5. Brief description of proposal	Localised dredging within New Quay Harbour and associated beach nourishment works by means of land-based mechanical excavator at low tide. Renewal of licence for regular harbour maintenance dredging works. The proposed dredging will involve removal of sand-dominated sediment from the main harbour basin and the disposal of the dredged material for use as beach nourishment at neighbouring Cei Bach. The works are carried out at the time of the spring tides in March, whilst the tide is out. Adverse effects may include: • impacts on shoreline and intertidal habitats and species from release and suspension of sediment into the water column, • general construction activities, • noise during construction, • fuel or lubricant spillage.		
6. European site name(s) and status:	West Wales Marine Special Area of Conservation (SAC)		
7. List of features of interest relevant to application site: Sole West Wales Marine SAC feature: Habitats Directive Annex II (& IV) Species <u>Phocoena phocoena – harbour porpoise</u>	Phocoena phocoena – Harbour porpoise		

8. Conservation objectives: will the proposal undermine the conservation objectives? If no then plan may be granted.	No
---	----

9. Risk assessment - Where there is not a clear cut case for there being no likely significant effect on the interest features or conservation objectives, you should carry out and record a brief risk assessment. ARE FULLER CONSIDERATIONS REQUIRED? [If YES go to 9(a)]

9(a)What potential hazards are likely to affect the interest features? (Refer to relevant sensitivity matrix and only include those to which the interest features are sensitive). **Are the interest features potentially exposed to the hazard?**

Sensitive Interest Feature:	Potential hazard:	Probability of effect on SAC	Magnitude of effect
Harbour porpoise	Disturbance from dredging/ disposal activity	Low.	Negligible.

10. Is the proposal directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site for nature conservation?	No
--	----

11.Case History/ additional information	This is a regular maintenance activity.
--	---

12. Is the potential scale or magnitude of any effect likely to be significant?

a) Alone? (explain conclusion)	No. Considering the high level of human activity in and around the quay, including regular human and engine activity within the water column, it is considered that any potential effect on harbour porpoise is going to be negligible.
b) In combination with other plans or projects? (Explain conclusion and which plans/projects have been included, including those associated with other functions).	No other plans or projects considered.

13. Conclusion: Is the proposal likely to have a significant effect ‘alone or in combination’ on a European site? (justification – attach any relevant supporting information)	No.	
14. Name of CCC officer and Date	George HT Ryley, Highway’s Ecologist for Ceredigion County Council 03/01/2023	
15. NRW comment on assessment:		
16. NRW Officer:		Date:
IF THE PROPOSAL IS LIKELY TO HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT AN APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT WILL BE REQUIRED (see part B for suggested scope).		

CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES FOR RELEVANT FEATURES (taken from the West Wales Marine SAC Conservation Objectives and Advice on Operations).

Conservation Objective for the harbour porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*.

The feature will be considered to be in a favourable conservation status when each of the following conditions are met:	Will the proposal undermine the conservation objective? If ‘yes’, give brief details.
(1) Harbour porpoise is a viable component of the site.	No.
(2) There is no significant disturbance of the species.	No.
(3) The condition of supporting habitats and processes, and the availability of prey is maintained.	No.

Record of Assessment of Likely Significant Effect on a European Site		Cyngor Sir CEREDIGION 
PART A <i>To be completed by relevant technical/project officer in consultation with Conservation/Ecology section and NRW. Please refer to English Nature Habitat Regulations Guidance Note 3 when completing this form.</i>		
1.	Type of permission/activity:	Marine Licence to dredge and / or dispose of dredge material
2.	Planning application number	n/a
3.	National Grid reference:	SN391601
4.	Site reference:	New Quay Harbour
5.	Brief description of proposal	Localised dredging within New Quay Harbour and associated beach nourishment works by means of land-based mechanical excavator at low tide. Renewal of licence for regular harbour maintenance dredging works. The proposed dredging will involve removal of sand-dominated sediment from the main harbour basin and the disposal of the dredged material for use as beach nourishment at Cei Bach. The works are carried out at the time of the spring tides in March, while the tide is out. Adverse effects may include: • impacts on shoreline and intertidal habitats and species from release and suspension of sediment into the water column, • general construction activities, • noise during construction, • fuel or lubricant spillage.
6.	European site name(s) and status:	Cardigan Bay Marine SAC.

7. List of features of interest relevant to application site: Cardigan Bay SAC features: 4 Habitats Directive Annex 2 Species and 3 Habitats Directive Annex 1 Habitats <u>Tursiops truncatus - bottlenose dolphin</u> <u>Reefs - Sabellaria alveolata reef</u> <u>Submerged or partially submerged sea-caves</u> <u>Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all the time</u> <u>Halichoerus grypus – grey seal</u> <u>Lampetra fluviatilis – river lamprey</u> <u>Petromyzon marinus – sea lamprey</u>	<u>Tursiops truncatus - bottlenose dolphin</u> <u>Halichoerus grypus – grey seal</u> NB: there are no reefs in the vicinity of New Quay Harbour		
8. Conservation objectives: will the proposal undermine the conservation objectives? If no then plan may be granted.	No – this is a small scale, regular, annual maintenance operation. The dredging and nourishment will only be carried out at low water and no machinery or plant will enter the water. There will be no noise disturbance within the water and therefore no noise impact on dolphins or grey seals. All plant and machinery will be well maintained, will use biodegradable oils, and WILL NOT BE REFUELLED ON THE BEACH.		
9. Risk assessment - Where there is not a clear cut case for there being no likely significant effect on the interest features or conservation objectives, you should carry out and record a brief risk assessment. ARE FULLER CONSIDERATIONS REQUIRED? [If YES go to 9(a)]			
9(a)What potential hazards are likely to affect the interest features? (Refer to relevant sensitivity matrix and only include those to which the interest features are sensitive). Are the interest features potentially exposed to the hazard?			
Sensitive Interest Feature:	Potential hazard:	Probability of effect on SAC	Magnitude of effect
Grey seal & Bottlenose dolphin	Noise disturbance.	Negligible probability of significant impacts since the works will take place above the water, minimising the risk of noise disturbance.	Negligible

10. Is the proposal directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site for nature conservation?	No	
11. Case History/ additional information		
12. Is the potential scale or magnitude of any effect likely to be significant?		
a) Alone? (explain conclusion)	No. Considering the high level of human activity in and around the quay, including regular human and engine activity within the water column it is considered that any potential effect on grey seal and bottlenose dolphin is going to be negligible.	
b) In combination with other plans or projects? (Explain conclusion and which plans/projects have been included, including those associated with other functions).	No other plans or projects considered.	
13. Conclusion: Is the proposal likely to have a significant effect ‘alone or in combination’ on a European site? (justification – attach any relevant supporting information)	No.	
14. Name of CCC officer and Date	George HT Ryley, Highway’s Ecologist for Ceredigion County Council 03/01/2023	
15. NRW comment on assessment:		
16. NRW Officer:		Date:
IF THE PROPOSAL IS LIKELY TO HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT AN APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT WILL BE REQUIRED (see part B for suggested scope).		

CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES FOR RELEVANT FEATURES (taken from the Cardigan Bay SAC Conservation Strategy).

A) Conservation Objective for the bottlenose dolphin *Tursiops truncatus*.

The feature will be considered to be in a favourable conservation status when each of the following conditions are met:	Will the proposal undermine the conservation objective? If 'yes', give brief details.
(1.1) Population Dynamics: Population size the size of the population utilising the site and its contribution to the SW UK & Ireland population is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(1.2) Population dynamics: Reproductive success calf production and survival is determined by inherent reproductive capacity, biotic and abiotic processes, no more degraded or suppressed as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>and</i> calf production is insuppressed by sub-optimal physiological health caused by human action	NO
(1.3) Population Dynamics: Population structure the population structure is: - determined by natural density dependent and independent processes, reproductive success and physiological health, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>and</i> - not selectively modified by human action;	NO
(1.4) Population Dynamics: Physiological health the physiological health and reproductive capacity of the population is determined by natural physiological and environmental processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>and</i> contaminant burdens derived from human activity remain below levels that may cause physiological damage, or immune or reproductive suppression;	NO
(2) Range The range, access to and use of natural habitat throughout the site necessary for the <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> population at any stage of its biological cycle are: - determined by natural habitat structure, function and quality; <i>and</i> - no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.1) Habitat: Distribution and extent the distribution and extent of habitat necessary for the <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> population at any stage of its biological cycle are determined by natural environmental processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.2) Habitat: Structure, function and quality	NO

the habitat structures and environmental processes necessary for the <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> population are determined by natural geomorphological, hydrological, meteorological and ecological processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	
• <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> habitat remains no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; • the degree of hazard to the <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> population from material of anthropogenic origin is at or below that at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; • disturbance by human activity remains below levels that suppress reproductive success, physiological health or long-term behaviour; • <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> prey availability is determined by inherent population dynamics and distribution of prey species, no more degraded as a result of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; and • the ability of the <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> population to maintain itself in the long-term is not inhibited by human exploitation of potential <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> prey species; and • populations of <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> prey species subject to existing commercial fisheries are within safe limits • contamination of potential prey species by contaminants derived from human activity is below concentrations potentially harmful to <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> physiological health and reproductive capability;	NO
(4) Management of Activities and Operations • the management of activities or operations likely to degrade the population dynamics, range or habitat of the <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> population, is appropriate for maintaining favourable conservation status and is secure in the long term; • the management commercial fisheries for <i>bottlenose dolphin</i> prey species is appropriate for maintaining prey species populations within safe biological limits and is secure in the long term.	NO

(B) Conservation Objective for Features: Grey Seal (*Halichoerus grypus*)

The feature will be considered to be in a favourable conservation status when each of the following conditions are met:	Will the proposal undermine the conservation objective? If 'yes', give brief details.
(1.1) Population Dynamics: Population size the part of the SW Wales <i>grey seal</i> population utilising the site is maintaining itself at a size determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded or inhibited as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(1.2) Population Dynamics: Reproductive success pup production and survival is determined by inherent reproductive capacity, biotic and abiotic processes, no more degraded or suppressed as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO

and pup production is unsuppressed by sub-optimal physiological health caused by human action,	
(1.3) Population Dynamics: Population structure the population structure is: - determined by natural density dependent and independent processes, reproductive success and physiological health, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; and - not selectively modified by human action;	NO
(1.4) Population Dynamics: Physiological health the physiological health and reproductive capacity of the population is determined by natural physiological and environmental processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; contaminant burdens derived from human activity remain below levels that may cause physiological damage, or immune or reproductive suppression;	NO
(2) Range the range, access to and use of natural habitat throughout the site necessary for the <i>grey seal</i> population at any stage of its biological cycle are: - determined by natural habitat structure, function and quality; and no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.1) Habitat: Distribution and extent the distribution and extent of habitat necessary for the <i>grey seal</i> population at any stage of its biological cycle are determined by natural environmental processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.2) Habitat: Structure, function and quality the habitat structures and environmental processes necessary for the <i>grey seal</i> population are determined by natural geomorphological, hydrological, meteorological and ecological processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>grey seal</i> habitat remains no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; • the degree of hazard to the <i>grey seal</i> population from material of anthropogenic origin is at or below that at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; • disturbance by human activity that suppresses reproductive success, physiological health or modifies long-term behaviour is no greater than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>grey seal</i> prey availability is determined by inherent population dynamics and distribution of prey species, no more degraded as a result of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; and the ability of the <i>grey seal</i> population to maintain itself in the long-term is not inhibited by human exploitation of potential <i>grey seal</i> prey species; and	NO

populations of <i>grey seal</i> prey species subject to existing commercial fisheries are within safe biological limits;	
contamination of potential prey species by contaminants derived from human activity is below concentrations potentially harmful to <i>grey seal</i> physiological health and reproductive capability;	
(4) Management of Activities and Operations the management of activities or operations likely to degrade the population dynamics, range or habitat of the <i>grey seal</i> population, is appropriate for maintaining favourable conservation status and is secure in the long term;	NO
the management commercial fisheries for <i>grey seal</i> prey species is appropriate for maintaining prey species populations within safe biological limits and is secure in the long term.	

(C) Conservation Objective for Feature: river lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*) and sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*)

The lamprey features will be considered to be in a favourable conservation status when each of the following conditions are met:	Will the proposal undermine the conservation objective? If 'yes', give brief details.
(1) Population dynamics the <i>lamprey</i> population within the site is maintaining itself at a size, structure and in a state of physiological health: - determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2) Range the range, access to and use of natural habitat within the site necessary for the <i>lamprey</i> population are determined by natural habitat structure, function and quality, no more degraded or inhibited by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.1) Habitat: Distribution and extent the distribution and extent of habitat necessary for the <i>lamprey</i> population to meet its ecological requirements within the site are: - determined by natural environmental processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.2) Habitat: Structure, function and quality the habitat structures and environmental processes necessary for <i>lamprey</i> within the site are: - determined by natural environmental processes, no more degraded by human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>lamprey</i> prey availability is determined by inherent population dynamics and distribution of prey species, no more degraded as a result of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>and</i> the ability of the <i>lamprey</i> population to maintain itself in the long-term is not inhibited by human exploitation of potential <i>lamprey</i> prey species;	NO

<i>and</i> populations of <i>lamprey</i> prey species subject to existing commercial fisheries are within safe biological limits; contamination of potential prey species by contaminants derived from human activity is below concentrations potentially harmful to <i>lamprey</i> physiological health;	
(3) Management of Activities and Operations the management of activities or operations likely to degrade the population dynamics, range or habitat of the <i>lamprey</i> population, is appropriate for maintaining favourable conservation status and is secure in the long term; the management commercial fisheries for <i>lamprey</i> prey species is appropriate for maintaining prey species populations within safe biological limits and is secure in the long term.	NO

(D) Conservation Objective for reefs.

The lamprey features will be considered to be in a favourable conservation status when each of the following conditions are met:	Will the proposal undermine the conservation objective? If 'yes', give brief details.
(1.1) Distribution and Extent the distribution and extent of <i>reefs</i> are determined by natural structure and environmental processes, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.1) Structure and Function: Geology the natural distribution, extent and variation of <i>reefs</i> geology is no more reduced or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.2) Structure and Function: Sedimentology the granulometry, structure, distribution and extent of <i>reefs</i> sediment deposits, are determined by natural sediment supply and transport processes, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.3) Structure and Function: Geomorphology and topography <i>reefs</i> geomorphology, and its natural variation, distribution and extent, are determined by natural environmental processes, no more degraded or inhibited as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.4) Structure and Function: Habitat structure quality habitat structure remains at or above the quality existing at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>where</i> the quality of habitat structure is no more degraded as a consequence of human action or by materials of anthropogenic origin, <i>and</i> ecological processes are no more impeded by degraded habitat quality as a consequence of human action, than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.1) Function:	NO

the natural environmental processes necessary for the long-term maintenance of <i>reefs</i> habitat and its typical species are no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	
(3.1) Function: Hydrography, hydrodynamics and meteorology the hydrographic and meteorological processes necessary for long-term maintenance of the <i>reefs</i> habitat and its typical species, are no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.2) Function: water and sediment chemistry the salinity regime and gradients of the water column are determined by natural hydrodynamic, hydrological and meteorological processes, no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; nutrients in the water column and sediments remain at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations; inputs of nutrients to the water column and sediments, derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations, - within ranges that are not potentially detrimental to the long-term maintenance of <i>reefs</i> species populations; Contaminants in the water column and sediments, derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations; inputs of contaminants derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations, - below levels that would potentially result in increase in contaminant concentrations within sediments or biota, - below levels potentially detrimental to the long-term maintenance of <i>reefs</i> species populations; dissolved oxygen concentrations in the water column, and in sediment on <i>reefs</i> surfaces are: - determined by natural environmental processes, no more reduced or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.3) Sediment processes the dynamism and magnitude of sediment accretion and erosion on reef surfaces are: - determined by the interaction between hydrodynamic and ecological processes, sediment supply and the seabed and coastal morphology, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.4) Biological interactions inter-specific and intra-specific interactions of <i>reefs</i> typical species are determined by inherent population dynamics and ecological processes, no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the	NO

time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	
(4.1) Conservation Status of Typical Species populations of typical species are maintaining their conservation status on a long-term basis as viable components of <i>reefs</i> habitats, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC.	NO
(4.1) Conservation Status of Typical Species: species richness species richness is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(4.2) Conservation Status of Typical Species: Population dynamics the <i>reefs</i> species' populations are maintaining their abundance and biomass at levels determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; populations of typical species subject to existing commercial fisheries are within safe biological limits; the population structures of the <i>reefs</i> typical species are maintaining themselves at levels determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; the physiological health, reproductive capacity and recruitment of the <i>reefs</i> ' typical species is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(4.3) Range the range of the <i>reefs</i> typical species is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; access to, and use of, <i>reefs</i> habitat by its typical species is no more impeded or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(5.1) Management of Activities and Operations the management of activities or operations likely to degrade the distribution, extent, structure, function or typical species populations of the feature, is appropriate for maintaining favourable conservation status and is secure in the long term; the management of existing commercial fisheries for typical species is appropriate for maintaining their populations within safe biological limits and is secure in the long term.	NO

(E) Conservation Objective for Submerged or Partially Submerged Sea-Caves

(1) Distribution and Extent the distribution and extent of <i>sea-caves</i> are determined by natural structure and environmental processes, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.1) Structure and Function: Geology	NO

the natural habitat structures necessary for the long-term maintenance of <i>sea cave</i> habitat and its typical species, are no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; the natural distribution, extent and variation of <i>sea cave</i> geology is no more reduced or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>sea cave</i> structural integrity evolves under the action of natural environmental processes, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC	
(2.2) Structure and Function: Sedimentology the granulometry, structure, distribution and extent of <i>sea cave</i> sediment deposits, are determined by natural sediment supply and transport processes, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.3) Structure and Function: Geomorphology and topography the geomorphology of <i>sea-caves</i>, and its natural variation, distribution and extent, are determined by natural environmental processes, no more degraded or inhibited as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.4) Structure and Function: Habitat structure quality habitat structure remains at or above the quality existing at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>where</i> • the quality of habitat structure is no more degraded as a consequence of human action or by materials of anthropogenic origin, <i>and</i> • ecological processes are no more impeded by degraded habitat quality as a consequence of human action, than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.1)Function: the natural environmental processes necessary for the long-term maintenance of <i>sea cave</i> habitat and its typical species are no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC:	NO
(3.1) Function: Hydrography, hydrodynamics and meteorology the hydrographic and meteorological processes necessary for long-term maintenance of the <i>sea-cave</i> habitat and its typical species, are no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.2) Function: water and sediment chemistry the salinity regime and salinity gradients of the water column are determined by natural hydrodynamic, hydrological and meteorological processes, no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; nutrients in the water column and sediments remain at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations; inputs of nutrients to the water column and sediments, derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations,	NO

- within ranges that are not potentially detrimental to the long-term maintenance of <i>sea-caves</i> species populations; contaminants in the water column and sediments, derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations; inputs of contaminants derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations, - below levels that would potentially result in increase in contaminant concentrations within sediments or biota, - below levels potentially detrimental to the long-term maintenance of <i>sea cave</i> species populations; dissolved oxygen concentrations in the water column, and <i>sea-caves</i> sediments are determined by natural environmental processes, no more reduced or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	
(3.3) Sediment processes sediment processes affecting <i>sea-caves</i> are determined by natural environmental processes, no more degraded as a consequence of human actions than at the time the site was designated a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.4) Biological interactions inter-specific and intra-specific interactions of <i>sea-caves</i> typical species are determined by inherent population dynamics and ecological processes, no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(4.1) Conservation Status of Typical Species populations of <i>sea-caves</i> typical species are maintaining their conservation status on a long-term basis as viable components of <i>sea-caves</i> habitats, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC.	NO
(4.1) Conservation Status of Typical Species: species richness species richness in <i>sea-caves</i> is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(4.2) Conservation Status of Typical Species: Population dynamics the <i>sea-caves</i> species' populations are maintaining their abundance and biomass at levels determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; populations of typical species subject to existing commercial fisheries are within safe biological limits; the population structures of <i>sea cave</i> typical species are maintaining themselves at levels determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; the physiological health, reproductive capacity and recruitment of the <i>sea-caves</i>' typical species is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no	NO

more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	
(4.3) Range the ranges of the <i>sea-caves'</i> typical species are determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; access to, and use of, <i>sea cave</i> habitat by its typical species is no more impeded or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(5.1) Management of Activities and Operations the management of activities or operations likely to degrade the distribution, extent, structure, function or typical species populations of the feature, is appropriate for maintaining favourable conservation status and is secure in the long term; • the management of existing commercial fisheries for typical species is appropriate for maintaining their populations within safe biological limits and is secure in the long term.	NO

(D) Conservation Objective for Sand Banks

(1.1) Distribution and Extent the distribution and extent of sandbanks are determined by natural structure and environmental processes, no more degraded 82 as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
Structure and Function: the natural habitat structures necessary for the long-term maintenance of <i>sandbanks</i> habitat and its typical species, are no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.1) Structure and Function: Sedimentology each <i>sandbank's</i> sediment granulometry and structure, and that of its supporting sediments, are determined by natural sediment processes, no more degraded or inhibited as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.2) Structure and Function: Geomorphology and topography The distribution, extent and variation in geomorphology and topography of <i>sandbanks</i> and their supporting sediments are determined by natural environmental processes, no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(2.3) Structure and Function: Habitat structure quality habitat structure remains at or above the quality existing at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; <i>where</i> • the quality of habitat structure is no more degraded as a consequence of human action or by materials of anthropogenic origin, <i>and</i> ecological processes are no more impeded by degraded habitat quality as a consequence of human action, than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.1) Function:	NO

the natural environmental processes necessary for long-term maintenance of the <i>sandbank</i> habitat and its typical species are no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	
(3.1) Function: Hydrography, hydrodynamics and meteorology the hydrographic and meteorological processes necessary for long-term maintenance of the <i>reef</i> habitat and its typical species, are determined by natural processes, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.2) Function: water and sediment chemistry nutrients in the water column and sediments remain at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations; • inputs of nutrients to the water column and sediments, derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations, - within ranges that are not potentially detrimental to the long-term maintenance of <i>sand bank</i> species populations; contaminants in the water column and sediments, derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations; • inputs of contaminants derived from human activity remain: - at or below levels at the time the site became a candidate SAC, - at or below existing statutory guideline concentrations, - below levels that would potentially result in increase in contaminant concentrations within sediments or biota, - below levels potentially detrimental to the long-term maintenance of <i>sand bank</i> species populations;	NO
(3.3) Sediment processes each sandbank's inherently dynamic sediment processes are determined by natural environmental processes, no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(3.4) Biological interactions inter-specific and intra-specific interactions of <i>sandbanks</i> typical species are determined by inherent population dynamics and ecological processes, no more inhibited or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(4.1) Conservation Status of Typical Species populations of <i>sea-caves</i> typical species are maintaining their conservation status on a long-term basis as viable components of <i>sea-caves</i> habitats, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(4.1) Conservation Status of Typical Species: species richness species richness of the <i>sandbanks</i> ' typical species is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(4.2) Conservation Status of Typical Species: Population dynamics <i>sand bank</i> species' populations are maintaining their abundance and biomass at levels determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO

populations of typical species subject to existing commercial fisheries are within safe biological limits; the population structures of <i>sand bank</i> typical species are maintaining themselves at levels determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; the physiological health, reproductive capacity and recruitment of the <i>sea-caves'</i> typical species is determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	
(4.3) Range the ranges of the <i>sand banks'</i> typical species are determined by natural biotic and abiotic factors, no more degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC; access to, and use of, <i>sand bank</i> habitat by its typical species is no more impeded or degraded as a consequence of human action than at the time the site was classified a candidate SAC;	NO
(5.1) Management of Activities and Operations the management of activities or operations likely to degrade the distribution, extent, structure, function or typical species populations of the feature, is appropriate for maintaining favourable conservation status and is secure in the long term; • the management of existing commercial fisheries for typical species is appropriate for maintaining their populations within safe biological limits and is secure in the long term.	NO