



# **Colwyn Bay Waterfront Project Phase 2b Environmental Statement**

Volume 2: Technical Appendices  
Technical Appendix 7 - Air Quality

September 2021

Mott MacDonald  
Mott MacDonald House  
5 Woodland Road West  
Colwyn Bay LL29 7DH  
United Kingdom

T +44 (0)1492 534601  
mottmac.com

Environment Roads &  
Facilities  
Conwy County Borough  
Council  
Mochdre Council Offices

# **Colwyn Bay Waterfront Project Phase 2b Environmental Statement**

Volume 2: Technical Appendices  
Technical Appendix 7 - Air Quality

September 2021

# Issue and Revision Record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
P01	September 2021	A.J	N.S	N.H	First Issue

**Document reference:** 100374-MMD-00-XX-RP-N-0027

## Information class: Standard

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

# Contents

## Appendix 7.1 – Construction Dust Risk Assessment

## **Appendix 7.1 – Construction Dust Risk Assessment**



# **Colwyn Bay Waterfront Project Phase 2b ES**

Volume 2 Technical Appendices: Appendix 7.1  
Construction Dust Risk Assessment Tables

August 2021

Mott MacDonald  
Mott MacDonald House  
5 Woodland Road West  
Colwyn Bay LL29 7DH  
United Kingdom

T +44 (0)1492 534601  
mottmac.com

Environment Roads &  
Facilities  
Conwy County Borough  
Council  
Mochdre Council Offices

# **Colwyn Bay Waterfront Project Phase 2b ES**

**Volume 2 Technical Appendices: Appendix 7.1  
Construction Dust Risk Assessment Tables**

August 2021

# Issue and Revision Record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
P01	14 August 2021	G. Cotter	C. Mills	N. Haines	First issue

**Document reference:** 100374-MMD-00-XX-RP-N-0019

**Information class:** Standard

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.



# Contents

A.	Construction Dust Risk Assessment Tables	1
----	--	---

## Tables - Appendices

Table A-1: Determination of dust raising magnitude	1
Table A-2: Receptor sensitivity	1
Table A-3: Sensitivity of the area to dust soiling effects on people and property	3
Table A-4: Sensitivity of the area to human health effects	3
Table A-5: Sensitivity of the area to ecological effects	3
Table A-6: Risk of dust effects - Demolition	3
Table A-7: Risk of dust effects - Earthworks	4
Table A-8: Risk of dust effects - Construction	4
Table A-9: Risk of dust effects – Trackout	4

# A. Construction Dust Risk Assessment Tables

**Table A-1: Determination of dust raising magnitude**

Source	Large	Medium	Small
Demolition	Total building volume > 50,000m <sup>3</sup> , potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on site crushing and screening, demolition activities > 20m above ground	Total building volume 20,000m <sup>3</sup> - 50,000m <sup>3</sup> , potentially dusty construction material, demolition activities 10-20m above ground level	Total building volume <20,000m <sup>3</sup> , construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber), demolition activities <10m above ground, demolition during wetter months
Earthworks	Total site area >10,000m <sup>2</sup> , potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay, which will be prone to suspension when dry to due small particle size), >10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds >8m in height, total material moved >100,000 tonnes	Total site area 2,500m <sup>2</sup> – 10,000m <sup>2</sup> , moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt), 5-10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds 4m – 8m in height, total material moved 20,000 tonne – 100,000 tonne	Total site area <2,500m <sup>2</sup> , soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand), <5 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds <4m in height, total material moved <10,000tonne, earthworks during wetter months
Construction	Total building volume >100,000m <sup>3</sup> , piling, on site concrete batching; sandblasting	Total building volume 25,000m <sup>3</sup> – 100,000m <sup>3</sup> , potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), piling, on site concrete batching	Total building volume <25,000m <sup>3</sup> , construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber)
Track out	>50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length >100m	10-50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length 50m – 100m	<10 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length <50m

**Table A-2: Receptor sensitivity**

Source	High	Medium	Low
Sensitivities of people to dust soiling effects	Users can reasonably expect an enjoyment of a high level of amenity; or The appearance, aesthetics or value of their property would be diminished by soiling; and the people or property would reasonably be expected to be present continuously, or at least regularly for extended periods, as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. Indicative examples include dwellings, museums and other culturally important collections, medium- and	Users would expect a to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity, but would not reasonably expect to enjoy the same level of amenity as in their home; or The appearance, aesthetics or value of their property could be diminished by soiling; or The people or property wouldn't reasonably be expected to be present here continuously or regularly for extended periods as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. Indicative examples include parks and places of work.	The enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected (See note A); or Property would not reasonably be expected to be diminished in appearance, aesthetics or value by soiling; or There is transient exposure, where the people or Property would reasonably be

Source	High	Medium	Low
	long-term car parks (See note B) and car showrooms.		expected to be present only for limited periods of time as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. Indicative examples include playing fields, farmland (unless commercially-sensitive horticultural), footpaths, short term car parks (See note B) and roads.
Sensitivities of people to the health effects of PM <sub>10</sub>	Locations where members of the public are exposed over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM <sub>10</sub> (in the case of the 24-hour objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day - See note C) Indicative examples include residential properties. Hospitals, schools and residential care homes should also be considered as having equal sensitivity to residential areas for the purposes of this assessment.	Locations where the people exposed are workers (See note D), and exposure is over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM <sub>10</sub> (in the case of the 24-hour objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day). Indicative examples include office and shop workers but will generally not include workers occupationally exposed to PM <sub>10</sub> , as protection is covered by Health and Safety at Work legislation.	Locations where human exposure is transient (See note E) Indicative examples include public footpaths, playing fields, parks and shopping streets.
Sensitivities of receptors to ecological effects (See note F)	Locations with an international or national designation and the designated features may be affected by dust soiling; or Locations where there is a community of a particularly dust-sensitive species such as vascular species included in the Red Data List for Great Britain (See note G). Indicative examples include a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) designated for acid heathlands or a local site designated for lichens adjacent to the demolition of a large site containing concrete (alkali) buildings.	Locations where there is a particularly important plant species, where its dust sensitivity is uncertain or unknown; or Locations with a national designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition. Indicative example is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) with dust sensitive features.	Locations with a local designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition. Indicative example is a local Nature Reserve with dust sensitive features.
A	The public's expectations will vary depending on the existing dust deposition in the area		
B	Car parks can have a range of sensitivities depending on the duration and frequency that people would be expected to park their cars there, and the level of amenity they could reasonably expect whilst doing so. Car parks associated with workplace or residential parking might have a high level of sensitivity compared to car parks used less frequently and for shorter durations, such as those associated with shopping. Cases should be examined on their own merits.		
C	This follows Defra guidance as set out in LAQM.TG(16).		
D	Notwithstanding the fact that the air quality objectives and limit values do not apply to people in the workplace, such people can be affected to exposure of PM <sub>10</sub> . However, they are considered to be less sensitive than the		

- general public as a whole because those most sensitive to the effects of air pollution, such as young children are not normally workers. For this reason, workers have been included in the medium sensitivity category.
- E There are no standards that apply to short-term exposure, e.g. one or two hours, but there is still a risk of health effects, albeit less certain.
- F A Habitat Regulation Assessment of the site may be required as part of the planning process, if the site lies close to an internationally designated site i.e. Special Conservation Areas (SACs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs) designated under the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) and RAMSAR sites.
- G Cheffing C. M. & Farrell L. (Editors) (2005), The Vascular Plant. Red Data List for Great Britain, Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

**Table A-3: Sensitivity of the area to dust soiling effects on people and property**

Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors	Distance from the source (m)			
		<20	<50	<100	<350
High	>100	High	High	Medium	Low
	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low

**Table A-4: Sensitivity of the area to human health effects**

Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Concentration	Number of Receptors	Distance from the source (m)				
			<20	<50	<100	<200	<350
High	>32 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
		10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28-32 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	24-28 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium	-	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	-	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

**Table A-5: Sensitivity of the area to ecological effects**

Receptor Sensitivity	Distance from the source (m)	
	<20	<50
High	High	Medium
Medium	Medium	Low
Low	Low	Low

**Table A-6: Risk of dust effects - Demolition**

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emissions Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emissions Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk

**Table A-7: Risk of dust effects - Earthworks**

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emissions Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

**Table A-8: Risk of dust effects - Construction**

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emissions Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

**Table A-9: Risk of dust effects – Trackout**

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emissions Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

