Partners in UK offshore wind

# MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

## Environmental Statement

### Volume 6, Annex 3.1: Fish and Shellfish Ecology Technical Report

Document Number: MOCNS-J3303-RPS-10071

Document Reference: F6.3.1

APFP Regulations: 5(2)(a)

February 2024

F01

Image of an offshore wind farm

## Document status

| Version | Purpose of document | Authored by | Reviewed by | Approved by | Review date |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| F01 | Application | RPS | Mona Offshore Wind Ltd | Mona Offshore Wind Ltd | Feb 2024 |

| Prepared by: | Prepared for: |
|---|---|
| **RPS** | **Mona Offshore Wind Limited.** |

## Contents

# Tables

# Figures

# Glossary

| Term | Meaning |
|---|---|
| Anadromous fish | Fish species that regularly migrate from sea to fresh water to spawn. |
| Benthic fish | Fish that live on or near the sea bottom, irrespective of the depth of the sea. Many benthic species have modified fins, enabling them to crawl over the bottom; others have flattened bodies and can lie on the sand; others live among weed beds, rocky outcrops and coral reefs. |
| Benthopelagic fish | Benthopelagic fish usually float in the water column just above the sea floor and can occupy either shallow coastal waters or deep waters offshore. Examples of benthopelagic species in Irish waters include dogfish, cod, haddock, whiting, monkfish and saithe. |
| Berried | Egg bearing individual whereby eggs are attached to its tail or some other exterior part. |
| Demersal fish | Fish species that live close to the sea floor and are bottom feeders. There are two types: benthic fish which rest on the sea floor (e.g. flatfish, dragonets, skates and rays) or benthopelagic fish (see above). |
| Demersal spawning species | Species which deposit eggs onto the seabed during spawning. |
| Diadromous fish | Fish species that regularly migrate between sea and freshwater systems. |
| Elasmobranchs | Elasmobranchs like sharks, rays and skates have a skeleton composed entirely of cartilage. |
| Fecundity | The potential for reproduction of an organism measured by number of gametes (eggs), seed set or asexual propagules. |
| Marine Conservation Zone | Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs) are a type of marine protected area that can be designated in English, Welsh and Northern Irish territorial and offshore waters. |
| Nursery habitat | A habitat where juveniles of a species regularly occur as a population. |
| OSPAR | The Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic or OSPAR Convention regulates international cooperation on environmental protection in the North East Atlantic. |
| Oviparous | A mode of reproduction in which eggs laid with little or no other embryonic development within the mother. This is the reproductive method of most fish, amphibians, reptiles and birds. |
| Pelagic fish | Pelagic fish are species which live and feed within the water column. |
| Shellfish | For the purposes of this assessment, shellfish is considered a generic term to define molluscs and crustaceans. |
| Spawning grounds | Spawning grounds are the areas of water or seabed where fish spawn or produce their eggs. |
| Special Area of Conservation (SAC) | A site designation specified in the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC). Each site is designated for one or more of the habitats and species listed in the Directive. The Directive requires that a management plan be prepared and implemented for each SAC to ensure the favourable conservation status of the habitats or species for which it was designated. In combination with Special Protection Areas, these sites contribute to the 'Natura 2000' or 'European' Sites network. |
| Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) | A Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) is a formal conservation designation. Usually, it describes an area that's of particular interest to science due to the rare species of fauna or flora it contains - or even important geological or physiological features that may lie in its boundaries. |

# Acronyms

| Acronym | Description |
|---|---|
| AFBI | Agri-Food Biosciences Institute |
| Cefas | Centre for Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science |
| CIEEM | Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management |
| CITES | Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species |
| CMACS | Centre for Marine and Coastal Studies |
| CPUE | Catch per unit of effort |
| DDV | Drop Down Video |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| EEZ | Exclusive Economic Zone |
| EMODnet | European Marine Observation and Data Network |
| IBTS | International Bottom Trawl Survey |
| ICES | International Council for Exploration of the Sea |
| IEF | Important Ecological Feature |
| IFCA | Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority |
| IUCN | International Union for Conservation of Nature |
| JNCC | Joint Nature Conservation Committee |
| MarLIN | Marine Life Information Network |
| MCZ | Marine Conservation Zone |
| MHWS | Mean High Water Springs |
| MMO | Marine Management Organisation |
| MNR | Marine Nature Reserve |
| NBN | National Biodiversity Network |
| NIGFS | Northern Irish Ground Fish Trawl Survey |
| NINEL | Northern Irish Herring Larvae Survey |
| NRW | Natural Resources Wales |
| OSPAR | Oslo-Paris Convention |
| PEIR | Preliminary Environmental Information Report |
| PSA | Particle Size Analysis |
| SAC | Special Area of Conservation |
| SSSI | Site of Special Scientific Interest |
| SPI | Species of Principal Importance |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| UKOOA | United Kingdom Offshore Operators Association |
| VMS | Vessel Monitoring Systems |

| Acronym | Description |
|---|---|
| ZoI | Zone of Influence |

## Units

| Unit | Description |
|---|---|
| % | Percentage |
| $km^2$ | Square kilometres |
| km | Kilometres (distance) |
| m | Metre (distance) |
| mm | Millimetre |
| cm | Centimetre |
| $m^2$ | Square metres |
| nm | Nautical mile |
| kg | Kilogram |

# 1 Fish and shellfish ecology technical report

## 1.1 Introduction

1.1.1.1 This Fish and shellfish ecology technical report provides a detailed baseline characterisation of the fish and shellfish ecology (e.g. species, communities and habitats) associated with the Mona Offshore Wind Project. The Mona Offshore Wind Project is located within the east Irish Sea, north of Conwy, Wales, west of Lancashire, England and southeast of the Isle of Man.

1.1.1.2 Data were collated through a detailed desktop study of the fish and shellfish species, habitats and communities within a defined fish and shellfish ecology study area within the east Irish Sea (Figure 1.1), incorporating site-specific survey data and data from third party organisations.

1.1.1.3 The aim of this technical report is to provide a robust baseline characterisation of the fish and shellfish receptors within the defined study area (see section 1.2) against which the potential impacts of the Mona Offshore Wind Project can be assessed. To support the assessment of effects in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), the ecological information presented in this technical report was used to identify a number of Important Ecological Features (IEFs). IEFs were determined based on the conservation, ecological and commercial importance of each identified feature within the Mona Offshore Wind Project and within the wider fish and shellfish ecology study area, in line with published EIA guidelines (CIEEM, 2018; updated in 2022).

1.1.1.4 This technical report is structured as follows:

- Section 1.2: Study area – Overview of the study area that is relevant to the report
- Section 1.3: Methodology – Overview of desktop reports, data and site-specific surveys used to inform the baseline
- Section 1.4: Baseline characterisation – Details the results of the desktop study and site-specific surveys
  - Section 1.4.1: Broad overview and description of the fish and shellfish assemblages within the east Irish Sea
  - Section 1.5: Fish spawning and nursery grounds – Spawning and nursery grounds are described for key species
  - Section 1.6.1: Herring – A description of herring habitats and ecology (focussing on spawning)
  - Section 1.7: Sandeel – A description of sandeel habitats and ecology
  - Section 1.8: Elasmobranchs – A description of elasmobranch fish ecology
  - Section 1.9: Diadromous fish – A description of diadromous fish ecology and designated sites associated with them
  - Section 1.10: Shellfish – A description of shellfish habitats and ecology
  - Section 1.11: Designated sites – A description of designated sites within the east Irish Sea which may be affected by the Mona Offshore Wind Project
- Section 1.12: Summary – A summary of the information provided in the report.
  - Section 1.12.1: Baseline – A summary of the fish and shellfish ecology baseline characterisation

  - Section 1.12.2: Important Ecological Features – Describing the IEFs to be considered within the EIA.

## 1.2 Study area

1.2.1.1 Fish and shellfish species, habitat and communities are spatially and temporally variable, therefore for the purposes of the fish and shellfish ecology characterisation, a broad study area has been defined. The fish and shellfish ecology study area is presented in Figure 1.1 and described below:

- The fish and shellfish ecology study area covers the east Irish Sea, extending from Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) west from the Mull of Galloway in Scotland to the west tip of Anglesey, following the territorial waters 12 nm limit of the Isle of Man. This study area has been selected to account for the spatial and temporal variability of fish and shellfish populations, including fish migration. This area was considered appropriate as it will ensure the characterisation of all fish and shellfish receptors within the east Irish Sea and is therefore large enough to consider all direct (e.g. habitat loss/disturbance within project boundaries) and indirect impacts (e.g. underwater noise over a wider area) associated with the Mona Offshore Wind Project on the identified receptors.



Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client,

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-008-00

**Drawing Title:**
MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.1: Fish and shellfish ecology study area for the Mona Offshore Wind Project

## 1.3 Methodology

### 1.3.1 Desktop study

1.3.1.1 Information on fish and shellfish ecology within the fish and shellfish ecology study area was collected through a detailed desktop review of existing studies and datasets. Additionally, information collected as part of the commercial fisheries baseline characterisation (including landings data and consultation with fisheries organisations) has been incorporated into this baseline (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information), with regard given to the best practice advice for offshore wind assessments recently published by Natural England (2022). These are summarised in Table 1.1.

**Table 1.1: Summary of key desktop data and reports.**

| Title | Source | Year | Author |
|---|---|---|---|
| Annual scallop surveys | Agri-Foods and Biosciences Institute (AFBI) | 1992 to 2022 | AFBI |
| Isle of Man scallop surveys | Bangor University - Sustainable Fisheries Isle of Man | 1992 to 2022 | Bangor University Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture Group |
| Herring larvae surveys of the northern Irish Sea | AFBI | 1993 to 2021 | AFBI |
| Fisheries Sensitivity Maps in British Waters | United Kingdom Offshore Operators Association (UKOOA) Ltd. | 1998 | Coull *et al.* |
| Rhyl Flats Offshore Wind Farm, Fish and Fisheries Baseline Study | Marine Data Exchange | 2002 to 2006 | Coastal Fisheries Conservation and Management |
| Effects of climate variability on basking shark abundance off southwest Britain | Fisheries Oceanography | 2005 | Cotton *et al.* |
| Walney and West of Duddon Sands Offshore Wind Farms, Baseline Benthic Survey – Epifaunal Beam Trawl Results | Marine Data Exchange | 2005 | Titan Environmental Surveys Ltd. |
| Burbo Bank Offshore Wind Farm, Pre-construction Commercial Fish Survey (2 m Beam Trawl) | Marine Data Exchange | 2006 | CMACS |
| Walney Offshore Wind Farm Pre-Construction Fish Survey | Marine Data Exchange | 2009 | Brown and May Marine Ltd. |
| Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm Pre-Construction Juvenile & Adult Fish Survey | Marine Data Exchange | 2009 | Brown and May Marine Ltd. |
| Burbo Bank Offshore Wind Farm, Post-construction (Year 3) Commercial Fish Survey | Marine Data Exchange | 2010 | CMACS |

| Title | Source | Year | Author |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm, Construction (Year 1) Environmental Monitoring | Marine Data Exchange | 2010 | RPS Energy |
| Rhiannon Wind Farm Round 3 Autumn Fish Trawl Survey | Marine Data Exchange | 2010, 2013 | CMACS |
| Burbo Bank Extension Offshore wind farm: Adult and Juvenile Fish Characterisation Survey | Marine Data Exchange | 2011 | Brown and May Marine Ltd. |
| Gwynt y Mor Offshore Wind Farm, Pre-construction Baseline Beam Trawl Data | Marine Data Exchange | 2011 | Centre for Marine and Coastal Studies Ltd. (CMACS) |
| Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epi-benthic Post-construction Survey | Marine Data Exchange | 2012 - 2014 | Brown and May Marine Ltd. |
| West of Duddon Sands Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epibenthic Pre-Construction Surveys | Marine Data Exchange | 2012 | Brown and May Marine Ltd. |
| Mapping the Spawning and Nursery Grounds of Selected Fish for Spatial Planning | Cefas | 2012 | Ellis *et al.* |
| Northern Irish Ground Fish Trawl Survey (NIGFS) | International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) | 2012-2022 | ICES |
| Walney Offshore Wind Farm, Year 2 Post-construction Monitoring Fish and Epibenthic Survey | Marine Data Exchange | 2013 | Brown and May Marine Ltd. |
| Welsh waters scallop survey – Cardigan Bay to Liverpool Bay July-August 2013 | Bangor University | 2013 | Lambert *et al.* |
| Celtic Array - Rhiannon Wind Farm Preliminary Environmental Information Chapter 10: fish and shellfish ecology | Marine Data Exchange | 2013 | Celtic Array Ltd. |
| Updating Fisheries Sensitivity Maps in British Waters | Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science Report | 2014 | Aires *et al.* |
| Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN) | MarLIN | 2018 | Tyler Walters *et al*. |
| ICES Celtic Seas ecoregion fisheries overview | Summary of commercial fisheries in the Celtic Sea | 2018a | ICES |
| Manx Marine Environmental Assessment | Isle of Man Government - Fisheries Division | 2018 | Howe *et al*. |
| National Biodiversity Network (NBN) Atlas | NBN Atlas | 2019 | NBN Atlas |
| Welsh Waters Scallop Surveys and Stock Assessment | Bangor University | 2019 | Delargy *et al*. |
| JNCC MPA Mapper | JNCC | 2019 | JNCC |
| ICES scallop assessment working group | ICES | 2019 | ICES |

| Title | Source | Year | Author |
|---|---|---|---|
| Marine Recorder Public UK Snapshot | JNCC | 2020 | JNCC |
| Annual Fisheries Science Report | Bangor University Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture Group | 2020 | Jenkins *et al.* |
| Bass and Ray Ecology in Liverpool Bay | Bangor University Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture Group. | 2020 | Moore *et al.* |
| UK Sea Fisheries Annual Statistics Report | Marine Management Organisation (MMO) | 2020 | MMO |
| Spawning and nursery grounds of forage fish in Welsh and surrounding waters | Cefas | 2021 | Campanella and van der Kooij |
| SeaLifeBase | https://www.sealifebase.ca/ | 2021 | Palomares and Pauly |
| International council for the exploration of the sea (ICES) working group on surveys on ichthyoplankton in the North Sea and adjacent seas | ICES | 2021 | ICES |
| Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm. Category 6: Environmental Statement | Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Ltd. | 2022 | RWE Renewables UK |
| Fisheries & Conservation Science Group | Bangor University | 2022 | Bangor University |
| Marine Recorder Public UK Snapshot | Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) | 2022 | JNCC |
| Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Generation Assets PEIR Volume 1 Chapter 10: Fish and shellfish ecology | Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd | 2023 | Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd |
| Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets PEIR Volume 2 Chapter 8: Fish and shellfish ecology | Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd | 2023 | Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd |
| Cefas OneBenthic | Cefas | 2023 | Cefas OneBenthic |
| Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch 1987 to 2022 | Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch | 2023 | Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch |
| Fish and shellfish survey results for the east Irish Sea | Environment Agency | Various | Environment Agency |
| Cefas Pelagic ecosystem in the western English Channel and eastern Celtic Sea (PELTIC) surveys | Cefas | Various | Cefas |
| Fish and shellfish sensitivity reports | https://www.marlin.ac.uk/activity/pressures_report | Various | Various |

## 1.3.2 Site-specific subtidal surveys

1.3.2.1 A summary of the site-specific surveys undertaken to inform the fish and shellfish ecology baseline characterisation is outlined in Table 1.2. The location of site-specific sampling is further presented in Figure 1.2.

1.3.2.2 Given the wide ranging and comprehensive desktop information and data sources available to characterise the fish and shellfish ecology baseline, site-specific surveys targeting fish and shellfish receptors were not proposed to inform the EIA for the Mona Offshore Wind Project. However, the results from site-specific surveys (2021 and 2022) primarily designed to inform the benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology baseline characterisation (see Volume 6, Annex 2.1: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology technical report of the Environmental Statement), which include records of small demersal fish and shellfish species, have been used to additionally inform the baseline characterisation for fish and shellfish ecology.

1.3.2.3 Site-specific subtidal benthic surveys were undertaken across the fish and shellfish ecology study area to characterise the benthic habitats in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project in 2021 and 2022. The sampling strategy was designed to adequately sample the area to provide data for baseline characterisation. The survey design was discussed and agreed with Natural England, Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and Natural Resources Wales (NRW). The 2021 Mona Array Area (and surrounding area) benthic subtidal survey was undertaken by Gardline Limited (Gardline) between June and September 2021 onboard the multi-role survey vessel MV *Ocean Resolution.*

1.3.2.4 Combined grab and Drop-down video (DDV) sampling were undertaken across 97 sampling stations, with 60 located in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, and 52 located within the Mona Array Area. Particle Size Analysis (PSA) data obtained from grabs was used to inform habitat characterisation for sandeel Ammodytidae spp. and potential spawning grounds of herring *Clupea harengus*. Further, species presence/absence records were also recorded from both grab samples and DDV sampling (Figure 1.2), although these should be noted as purely opportunistic and incidental data, as surveys were not specifically designed to target fish and shellfish species.

1.3.2.5 The 2022 survey was designed to adequately sample the Mona Array Area Zone of Influence (ZoI), the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor and to undertake some repeat sampling within the Mona Array Area, and the survey design was discussed and agreed with Natural England, JNCC and Natural Resources Wales (NRW). The Mona Array Area (and surrounding area) benthic subtidal survey was undertaken by Gardline from April to August 2022 onboard two vessels, the MV *Ocean Observer* and the MV *Titan Endeavour*.

1.3.2.6 Combined grab and DDV sampling were undertaken across 58 sampling stations, with two additional DDV-only stations. Of these 58 grab sampling stations, 12 were within the Mona Array Area, 11 were within the wider Mona ZoI, and 35 were within the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor. One of each of the two additional DDV-only stations were located within the Mona Array Area and the Mona ZoI. As in 2021, PSA data was obtained from grabs to inform habitat characterisation for sandeel and potential spawning grounds of herring, although again this survey was designed primarily for benthic characterisation (and herring spawning and sandeel substrate suitability assessment) and most other fish and shellfish data collected was opportunistic.

1.3.2.7 Herring spawning habitat characterisation was undertaken using results of the PSA to determine the composition of the sediment type at grab locations. Samples were categorised as preferred, marginal and unsuitable based on their suitability as herring spawning habitat, using classifications derived from a combination of Reach *et al*. (2013) and Folk (1954), based on the relative proportions of gravel and mud in the grab samples. Data from the Northern Irish Herring Larvae Survey (NINEL) were also utilised to demonstrate herring spawning habitats in line with guidelines published by

Boyle and New (2018). The abundances of larvae ≤10 mm per $m^2$ were plotted as density maps for the years 2012 to 2021 to show temporal variance in larval densities. Further, this data was aggregated into a single ten-year dataset, and was plotted as a heat map to indicate spatial trends based on multi-year results, and is presented in Figure 1.30. These maps, combined with the PSA data from site-specific grab sampling (as noted above, from the Mona Array Area), were used to determine where key potential herring spawning habitats were located within the vicinity of the Mona Array Area (see section 1.5, Figure 1.24 to Figure 1.30).

1.3.2.8 Sandeel habitat characterisation was completed using a similar method as above where samples were categorised into preferred, marginal and unsuitable, based on their suitability as sandeel habitat. Classifications were derived from Latto *et al*. (2013) based on the proportion of sand and mud in the grab samples. Incidental sandeel abundance data were collated from the benthic surveys to inform presence/absence data of individual sandeels caught by grab samples. The data was plotted on to maps and reviewed alongside additional desktop data sources to further characterise nearby sandeel habitats (see section 1.7 for results).

1.3.2.9 To more comprehensively characterise the herring and sandeel sediment suitability throughout the fish and shellfish ecology study area, data from 1,766 sediment samples from a broad range of nearby benthic surveys were extracted from the publicly available online Cefas OneBenthic tool (Cefas, 2023). This data was processed using the same criteria as was used for the site-specific surveys to assign suitability, and was plotted for herring in Figure 1.23 and for sandeel in Figure 1.31.

1.3.2.10 Norway lobster *Nephrops norvegicus* (hereafter referred to as *Nephrops*) presence within the vicinity of the Mona Array Area was assessed through presence/absence data derived from DDV sampling (taken at grab sample sites and specific DDV transects). Where present, these data were plotted alongside favourable *Nephrops* habitat as identified in a benthic biotope map as shown in Volume 6, Annex 2.1: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology technical report of the Environmental Statement (see section 1.10.8 for additional results).

1.3.2.11 The site-specific digital aerial surveys would have recorded basking shark *Cetorhinus maximus*, if sighted. However, they were not recorded during these surveys (Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd., 2023) although they are known to travel through the area (Cotton *et al*., 2005; Shark Trust, 2022; Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch, 2023).

**Table 1.2: Summary of surveys undertaken to inform the fish and shellfish ecology baseline characterisation.**

| Title | Survey Extent | Overview of Survey | Survey Contractor | Date | Reference to Further Information |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Benthic Subtidal Survey | Morgan and Mona Array Areas | Grab samples, Visual survey outputs (DDV sampling) and laboratory testing | Gardline Limited. | 2021 | Gardline Limited., 2022 |
| Benthic Subtidal Survey | Morgan and Mona Offshore Cable Corridors (excluding intertidal access areas), Array Areas and ZoI. | Grab samples, Visual survey outputs (DDV sampling) and laboratory testing | Gardline Limited. | 2022 | Gardline Ltd., 2023 |



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 nm
0 5 10 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-001-00

**Drawing Title:**
Site Specific Survey Locations

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:450,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 11/07/23 | First Issue | MJ | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.2: Mona Offshore Wind Project site-specific subtidal benthic survey locations

## 1.4 Baseline characterisation

### 1.4.1 East Irish Sea

### 1.4.2 Desktop study

1.4.2.1 This section provides an overview of the fish and shellfish assemblages in the fish and shellfish ecology study area through a comprehensive desktop review. This review primarily covers fish species and communities from regional datasets including other offshore developments within the area, with some additional information on shellfish species and communities. A more detailed characterisation of key shellfish species in the fish and shellfish ecology study area, including species of commercial importance, is presented in section 1.10.

1.4.2.2 The fish and shellfish ecology study area is additionally classified as comprising the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA6) area and VIIa ICES Region according to the UK Government. SEA6 corresponds to the east half of the St George's Channel and Irish Sea, comprising a major portion of the ICES division VIIa, generally used for fisheries assessment purposes (UK Government, 2022).

1.4.2.3 The east Irish Sea supports valuable fisheries assemblages, including demersal, pelagic and shellfish species. Historically, several of these species were known to be targeted by international fleets (CEFAS, 2005). Important commercial species include flatfish, gadoids and elasmobranchs, as these species are typically caught by beam and otter trawls, which are frequently used gear types in the area (CEFAS, 2005). The east Irish Sea is also known to be an important spawning ground and nursery area for several species (further discussed in section 1.5), subsequently making it a focal point of seasonal fisheries. Pelagic species are of lesser commercial importance than the demersal species, while shellfish species are known to be highly commercially important within the east Irish Sea, specifically king and queen scallop and *Nephrops* (ICES, 2021a).

1.4.2.4 The sediments of the Irish Sea can be subdivided into three broad regions: two 'mudbelts' comprising soft muds which occupy the east and west inshore areas separated by a central 'gravel belt' which comprises coarser sediment and hard substrate (Mellet *et al.,* 2015). The east and west areas of the Irish Sea are known for their muddy sediments (clay and silt) that support one of the most valuable fisheries for *Nephrops* (Lundy *et al*., 2019; Parker-Humphreys, 2004). In the area north of the Mona Array Area, in territorial waters within the 12 nm limit of the Isle of Man, the sediment is split between mixed sands to the north, and mixed gravel to the west (Howe *et al*., 2018).

1.4.2.5 The distribution of fish and shellfish species is determined by a range of factors including abiotic parameters such as water temperature, salinity, depth, local scale habitat features and substrate type, biotic parameters such as predator prey interactions, competition and anthropogenic factors such as infrastructure and commercial fishing intensity. Specific population sizes and habitat ranges within the Irish Sea tend to be limited largely by fishing activity, with increasing pressure from the renewable energy and aggregate extraction industries acting alongside broader pressures such as climate change, noise and marine litter to limit fish and shellfish distributions (van der Kooij *et al*., 2021).

1.4.2.6 The fish assemblages likely to be observed within the fish and shellfish ecology study area include demersal species: plaice *Pleuronectes platessa*, dab *Limanda limanda*, solenette *Buglossidium luteum*, Dover sole *Solea solea*, whiting *Merlangius* *merlangus*, lesser spotted dogfish *Scyliorhinus canicula* and cod *Gadus morhua*, with pelagic species including herring, European seabass *Dicentrarchus labrax* and mackerel *Scomber scombrus.*

1.4.2.7 Dominant shellfish species in the Irish Sea include blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*, European lobster *Homarus gammarus*, *Nephrops*, common whelk *Buccinum undatum*, great/king scallop *Pecten maximus*, queen scallop *Aequipecten opercularis*, edible crab *Cancer pagurus*, brown/common shrimp *Crangon crangon* and pelagic and demersal squid species. As key components of the shellfish community, these species are also commercially valuable within this region (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information).

1.4.2.8 Ellis *et al*. (2000) described the macro-invertebrate and demersal fish assemblages within the Irish Sea from 101 beam trawl survey stations and found that fine substrates in inshore waters of the east and west portions of the Irish Sea are typically dominated by flatfish including plaice, dab and Dover sole. In coarse substrates further offshore, abundant species include thickback sole *Microchirus variegatus* whilst muddy sediments are characterised by *Nephrops* and witch flounder *Glyptocephalus cynoglossus* (Ellis *et al*., 2000). Additionally, from samples collected within inshore waters, Ellis *et al*. (2000) described an *Alcyonium* assemblage in which dab and velvet swimming crab *Necora puber* were typical of the fish and shellfish features.

1.4.2.9 The International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) is an historic time series of bottom and pelagic fish trawl surveys undertaken in the Northeast Atlantic and Baltic Seas regions. The fish and shellfish ecology study area and more specifically, the Mona Offshore Wind Project sits within the IBTS S5, S6 and S7 survey zones. These areas, in addition to IBTS zones S1-S4 and S8-S10 have been utilised to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the fish assemblages present within the Irish Sea (IBTS, 2021).

1.4.2.10 Bottom trawl surveys conducted by the IBTS across the Irish Sea are conducted annually. One such survey was conducted during March 2020 and undertaken with a rockhopper trawl fitted with a 20 mm cod-end liner towed between one and three nautical miles in the Irish Sea and St George’s Channel (IBTS, 2021). Of the 58 trawls that were successfully undertaken within the area, a total of 128 species were recorded (IBTS, 2021). Catches predominantly comprised of the following species in order of abundance: whiting, haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus*, plaice, red gurnard *Chelidonichthys cuculus*, cod, lemon sole *Microstomus kitt*, thornback ray *Raja clavata*, spotted ray *Raja montagui*, European hake *Merluccius merluccius*, spiny dogfish/spurdog *Squalus acanthias*, brill *Scophthalmus rhombus*, John Dory *Zeus faber*, megrim *Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis*, and European pollock *Pollachius pollachius* (IBTS, 2021).

1.4.2.11 Trawl surveys as part of the IBTS have also been undertaken throughout the Irish Sea, and particularly in the east Irish Sea, through the Northern Irish Groundfish Survey (NIGFS). Data was reviewed for survey years between 2012 and 2022 which indicated that the distributions and species composition of catches recorded remained fairly consistent across the years reviewed, with similar proportions of catches per species recorded across the broader Irish Sea. Plaice, lesser-spotted dogfish, whiting, herring, dab, common dragonet *Callionymus lyra*, thornback ray, *Nephrops*, haddock, grey gurnard *Eutrigla gurnardus* were the most commonly caught species. The fish and shellfish assemblages recorded in NIGFS align with the other data sources which have historically surveyed the same area and corroborate the presence and long-term stability of these communities within the fish and shellfish ecology study area and around the Mona Offshore Wind Project, as supported by Campanella and van der

Kooij (2021). This indicates that older datasets detailing fish and shellfish distribution and spawning (Coull *et al*., 1998; Ellis *et al*., 2012), along with those collected from historic project-specific fish and shellfish surveys also remain broadly relevant to the current baseline conditions of the east Irish Sea and can be used to support characterisation of projects within this area.

1.4.2.12 Despite not being recorded in the 2012 and 2022 sampling, the NIGFS survey recorded queen scallop in higher abundances than *Nephrops* in the 2013 to 2021 survey years across the fish and shellfish ecology study area, with limited observations of queen scallop reported within the 12 nm inshore waters limit. Herring records were also distributed across the fish and shellfish ecology study area with only few inshore locations with herring catches (ICES, 2021b; 2022b).

1.4.2.13 Several species of both commercial and ecological importance are known to be present within the east Irish Sea. These species include plaice, dab, Dover sole, whiting, cod, European seabass, spurdog, spotted ray, herring, mackerel, sprat *Sprattus sprattus,* ling *Molva molva* and sandeel. As previously stated, the east Irish Sea hosts important and valuable populations of shellfish species including king scallop, queen scallop, European lobster, edible crab, velvet swimming crab and *Nephrops* (ICES, 2021a).

1.4.2.14 Beam trawl surveys undertaken throughout the Irish Sea from 1993 to 2001 found that plaice accounted for the largest proportion of the catches by biomass, resulting in 24.44% of the total (Parker-Humphreys, 2004). Plaice is a widespread and common species throughout European waters and in the east Irish Sea, showing a preference for sandy sediments throughout its lifespan. This species spawns in offshore areas where eggs and larvae are then transported on currents to coastal nurseries. Tagging studies show that individuals have strong site fidelity, returning to the same location to spawn and feed (Hunter *et al*., 2003). Plaice make use of tidal currents in various life stages. For example, plaice have been evidenced moving downstream with the tide in mid-water during seasonal migrations between spawning and feeding grounds (Arnold and Metcalfe, 1996). Their preferred diet is polychaete worms, small crustaceans, siphons of bivalve molluscs and in some areas, brittle stars (Rijnsdorp and Vingerhoed, 2001).

1.4.2.15 Dab was the most abundant species recorded during demersal beam trawl surveys of the Irish Sea accounting for 28.04% of the catch by number and 17.40% by biomass (Parker-Humphreys, 2004). Adult dab live mainly on sandy substrates from depths of a few metres to 100 m and feed on crustaceans and small fish (Braber and Groot, 1973). Ellis *et al*. (2000) described the inshore waters of the east Irish Sea as plaice-dab assemblages, with plaice, dab and sole dominating the fish component of the assemblage. Dover sole is widespread and abundant in European waters and lives buried in both sandy and muddy sediments. Juveniles spend the first year in shallow coastal waters and estuaries, migrating to deeper offshore waters following this period, although they are largely restricted to depths of <50 m. From the months of March to May, sole return to inshore waters to spawn with spawning migrations occurring at night (Kruuk, 1963). Sole is both a nocturnal and olfactorial feeder, making use of sensory organs to detect prey. They are known to feed on polychaete worms and small echinoderms such as sea urchins (Braber and Groot, 1973).

1.4.2.16 Herring, European hake, whiting, blue whiting *Micromesistius poutassou*, mackerel, and cod are predominantly found in deeper waters in the benthopelagic or pelagic zone and have been observed throughout the east Irish Sea. Their core range includes St. Georges Channel (at the south boundary of the Irish Sea); however, they have additionally been found to be present around the south and west coast of Ireland and north coast of Northern Ireland.

1.4.2.17 European hake is focused within the northeast Atlantic as one population and is distributed across the Irish Sea, Celtic Sea, English Channel and the North Sea. They are a relatively fast-growing species, with males maturing at around 35 cm and females at 50 cm within 3 to 5 years for both sexes (FishBase, 2020a). Adult hake live close to the seabed during the day but move up from the seabed at night for opportunistic feeding within the water column (Riccioni *et al*., 2018). The ICES 2019 stock assessment which included the Celtic Sea, suggests a relatively stable population of this species since 1978 (ICES, 2019a).

1.4.2.18 Whiting is abundant throughout the northeast Atlantic, Mediterranean and European Seas. They are most commonly found in depths of 10 m to 100 m, predominantly on mud and gravel bottoms, but also on sand and rock. Year one fish feed primarily on crustaceans such as shrimps and crabs, and on small fish and molluscs. After the first year, whiting have been evidenced to move further offshore in search of prey (FishBase, 2020b).

1.4.2.19 Similar to whiting, blue whiting is distributed throughout the north Atlantic and found on continental shelves at depths of 300 m to 400 m but have been found at depths exceeding 1,000 m. This species is known to make daily migrations from the surface waters at night to the benthos during the day where they feed on small crustaceans, small fish and cephalopods (FishBase, 2020c).

1.4.2.20 Mackerel is abundant and widespread in the cold and temperate shelf areas of the east Irish Sea. This species overwinters in deeper waters but moves closer inshore during spring when water temperatures increase. Mackerel within the Irish Sea are part of the British Isles (west) stock (the other being the North Sea (east) stock). They are generally a pelagic species, forming large schools near the surface, but their habitat preferences are poorly understood (FishBase, 2020d).

1.4.2.21 Cod are widely distributed throughout a variety of habitats. Juveniles typically inhabit shallow sublittoral waters with seagrass or coarse substrate (gravel, rocks or boulders). Adults prefer deeper, colder waters; during the day they form large schools which swim just above the seabed, whilst at night they disperse to feed. Cod migrate between spawning, feeding and overwintering areas within the boundaries of their stock. Spawning occurs between winter and start of spring when they congregate in large numbers to spawn, utilising vocalisations during courtship and spawning behaviour (Finstad and Nordeide, 2004). Spawning sites are usually in offshore waters, at or near the bottom at depths of 50 m to 200 m (FishBase, 2020e). An omnivorous species, cod feed on invertebrates and fish, including young cod.

1.4.2.22 European seabass are known to support an important commercial and recreational fishery within the UK (Moore *et al*., 2020). Bass caught within the Irish Sea fisheries consistently showed a significant bias (79.8% of catches) towards females; findings which were supported by data collected from North Wales, suggesting potential localised spawning within the area (Moore *et al*., 2020). Monthly landings data between 2019 and 2020 illustrated that the bass fishery within Liverpool Bay is highly seasonal, with the majority of spawning occurring before May and peak landings between July and (Moore *et al*., 2020).

1.4.2.23 Many of these aforementioned fish species have high ecological value as prey species for marine mammals, seabirds and other fish (e.g. sandeel, herring, mackerel, whiting and sprat) as well as being of high importance to commercial fisheries (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement).

1.4.2.24 Additional offshore wind farm developments, either in the construction or planning stages, are present within the fish and shellfish ecology study area (Figure 1.3). Data collected through site-specific surveys undertaken for additional offshore renewable energy developments can therefore be utilised to help better characterise the fish and shellfish assemblages within the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, The Crown Estate

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm

0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-002-03

**Drawing Title:**
ADDITIONAL OFFSHORE WIND FARMS AND RENEWABLE ENERGY INSTALLATIONS

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,500,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 11/07/23 | First Issue | MJ | HM |
| 03 | 08/09/23 | Revision | MJ | HM |

Figure 1.3: Locations of other offshore wind projects in the fish and shellfish ecology study area[1].

---

[1] The Awel y Môr agreement for lease area extends further to the west than the application boundary presented, however Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Ltd. have decided to develop in the area presented.

## Irish Sea round 3 development zone

1.4.2.25 Beam trawl surveys were undertaken in the autumn of both 2010 and 2011 across the Irish Sea Round 3 Development Zone which overlaps the west portion of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. In terms of the fish and shellfish ecology study area, the Irish Sea Round 3 Development Zone is located within the southwest corner of the area (Figure 1.3).

1.4.2.26 The surveys conducted within the Irish Sea Round 3 Development Zone reported that the most dominant fish species present were poor cod *Trisopterus minutus* and the lesser spotted dogfish. The next most common species were common dragonet, grey gurnard and red gurnard. The most common commercially valuable fish species was plaice, followed by thickback sole and lemon sole (CMACS, 2010; Celtic Array Ltd., 2013).

1.4.2.27 Furthermore, seven elasmobranch species were recorded, including lesser spotted dogfish, cuckoo ray *Raja naevus*, spotted ray, thornback ray, nursehound *Scyliorhinus stellaris*, starry smoothhound *Mustelus asterias* and starry ray *Amblyraja radiata*. Moreover, there were no observations of rare or endangered fish species reported from the survey (CMACS, 2010; Celtic Array Ltd., 2013).

## Gwynt y Môr offshore wind project

1.4.2.28 The Gwynt y Môr offshore wind project is located approximately 18 km southeast of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (Figure 1.3). Pre-construction beam trawl and benthic grab surveys were undertaken in autumn 2010 to monitor the status of organisms and seabed sediments.

1.4.2.29 Across the Gwynt y Môr offshore wind project, 472 individual fish from 23 species were recorded at 30 trawl stations. The highest number of individuals encountered were consistently observed from inshore, shallow waters compared to those further offshore in water depths ranging from 20 m to 30 m (CMACS, 2011).

1.4.2.30 Utilising beam trawl, benthic grab and DDV data, the general sediments within the site were described as coarse sands and gravels with flatfish such as plaice, dab, and solenette being the predominant fish species present. During the survey relatively few elasmobranch species were encountered (CMACS, 2011).

1.4.2.31 Plaice was found to be the most commonly recorded fish species during the surveys and was found in 15 of 30 (50%) sampling sites. The second most abundant species was solenette, recorded in 14 of 30 (47%) sites and sand goby *Pomatoschistus minutus*, recorded in 15 of 30 (50%) sites. The only elasmobranch species that were recorded within the Gwynt y Môr offshore wind project were lesser spotted dogfish, thornback ray and blonde ray *Raja brachyura*. Other teleost species recorded infrequently and in low numbers include grey, red and tub gurnard *Chelidonichthys lucerna*, John Dory, thickback sole and whiting (CMACS, 2011).

1.4.2.32 Five commercially valuable shellfish species were recorded from within and surrounding the Gwynt y Môr offshore wind project. These species include whelk, edible crab, common mussel, brown shrimp and pink shrimp *Pandalus montagui*. With the exception of whelk, none of these species were known to be commercially targeted within the Gwynt y Môr offshore wind project or wider surrounding area at the time of survey reporting (CMACS, 2011).

1.4.2.33 Although the sand goby, which was commonly encountered during the beam trawl surveys, is a scheduled species in the Bern Convention and protected for its important contribution to the marine trophic level, the species is not subject to any UK conservation measures and is known to be abundant in shallow, sandy habitats (CMACS, 2011).

### West of Duddon Sands offshore wind project

1.4.2.34 Beam and otter trawl surveys were conducted in September 2012 for the West of Duddon Sands offshore wind project pre-construction surveys, located approximately 31 km northeast of the Mona Offshore Wind Project in inshore waters (Figure 1.3).

1.4.2.35 The West of Duddon Sands offshore wind project found that plaice, dab and lesser spotted dogfish were the most abundant species encountered and the total catch rates (catch per unit of effort; Catch per unit of effort (CPUE)) were highest along the export cable corridor (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2012a; 2012b). Additionally, it was found that plaice and dab represented the majority of the catch at most of the 23 otter trawl sampling stations.

1.4.2.36 Otter trawl catch rates within the West of Duddon Sands offshore wind project evidenced abundances as high as 2,886 individuals per hour and 3,712 individuals per hour from surveys taking place in the wind farm and along the export cable corridor, respectively. Between the wind farm and export cable corridor, plaice, dab lesser spotted dogfish, whiting, grey gurnard, tub gurnard, thornback ray, Dover sole, sprat, starry smoothhound, common dragonet, nursehound, poor cod, cod, lemon sole, herring, tope, anglerfish *Lophius piscatorius*, bib, mackerel and brill were the fish species observed in order of abundance. The shellfish species that were found to be present within the wind farm and export cable corridor included edible crab, whelk, velvet swimming crab, lobster, spiny spider crab *Maja brachydactyla* and *Nephrops* (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2012a; 2012b).

1.4.2.37 Beam trawl surveys found a total of 10 species of fish within the aforementioned wind farm and along the export cable corridor during sampling. Overall, solenette was the most abundant species caught, followed by plaice and undetermined species of goby Gobiidae sp. within the areas. Beam trawl catch rates illustrated abundances as high as 422 individuals per hour from within the wind farm boundary and 281 individuals per hour from along the export cable corridor. West of Duddon Sands offshore wind project beam trawl monitoring was specifically undertaken to sample fish species within the area and included solenette, dab, goby (Gobiidae spp.), scaldfish *Arnoglossus laterna*, sand goby, plaice, whiting, Dover sole, common dragonet, pogge *Agonus cataphractus*, lesser spotted dogfish, poor cod, lesser pipefish *Syngnathus rostellatus* and grey gurnard (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2012a; 2012b).

### Walney offshore wind project

1.4.2.38 Beam and otter trawl surveys were undertaken for the Walney offshore wind project (Walney 1 and Walney 2) from May 2009 (pre-construction surveys) to June 2013 (year 2 post-construction surveys), with surveys typically conducted in summer of each survey year. The Walney offshore wind project is located approximately 30 km northeast of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (Figure 1.3). Walney 1 is located to the east of Walney 2, in inshore waters. The key species of commercial importance that were observed during these surveys were *Nephrops*, Dover sole and cod (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2009a; 2013a).

1.4.2.39 Collectively, between Walney 1 and Walney 2, plaice, dab, solenette, whiting and lesser spotted dogfish were the most abundant fish species observed during the surveys, while *Nephrops* was the most abundant shellfish species encountered.

*Nephrops* grounds are known to occur within the Walney offshore wind project area and were identified during the pre-construction and post-construction monitoring surveys (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a).

1.4.2.40 Walney 1 pre- and post- construction surveys found that Dover sole had a slightly increased abundance in most post-construction surveys, but overall, there were no significant changes observed between the pre- and post-construction survey results (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a). *Nephrops* were highly varied in the Walney 1 surveys and higher yields were consistently recorded in the summer months of May and June, illustrating a degree of seasonality with the caveat that further study in different seasons would provide a more rounded view of the post-construction population characteristics (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a). Otter trawl catch rates in Walney 1 evidenced abundances as high as 3,900 *Nephrops* individuals per hour trawled.

1.4.2.41 Walney 2 catch rates for fish and shellfish species illustrated that the overall number of species caught slightly increased during post-construction surveys, suggesting that the Walney offshore wind project may have had a positive effect on the localised fish and shellfish populations, although it’s important to note that these surveys provide only a snapshot of highly mobile species at the time of sampling (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a). Otter trawl catch rates during the survey recorded abundances as high as 1,700 individuals per hour trawled.

1.4.2.42 Infrequent numbers of cod, herring, dragonet, grey gurnard, lesser spotted dogfish, tub gurnard and scaldfish were recorded within the Walney 1 and Walney 2 otter trawl surveys (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a). Higher catch rates were recorded in April for whiting and herring, whereas the highest catch rates pertaining to *Nephrops*, lesser spotted dogfish, dragonet, scaldfish, grey gurnard and tub gurnard were recorded in June, suggesting some degree of seasonality among these species (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a).

1.4.2.43 Beam trawl surveys undertaken at Walney 1 evidenced abundances as high as 369 individuals per hour trawled, while Walney 2 illustrated a slightly lower number of individuals caught per hour of 293 (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a). Solenette was found to be the most abundant species encountered within both of these survey areas during the months of April and June. At the survey stations with the highest total catch rates in Walney 1 and Walney 2, solenette represented more than 50% of the catch repeatedly (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a).

### Ormonde Offshore Wind Project

1.4.2.44 The Ormonde offshore wind project is located approximately 30 km northeast of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (Figure 1.3). Pre-construction otter and beam trawl surveys were undertaken in April and October 2009 and post-construction surveys were undertaken in 2012 to 2014 (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2009b, 2009c, 2013b, 2013c, 2013d, 2014).

1.4.2.45 The Ormonde offshore wind project otter trawl surveys found that dab and plaice were the most abundant species encountered during all surveys. The 2 m beam trawl samples generally reflected highest abundances of solenette and dab from all surveys. The total number of fish and shellfish species captured during otter trawling ranged from 14 in the spring pre-construction survey to 38 in the October 2012 and 2013 post-construction surveys. During beam trawl sampling, the total number of fish species captured ranged from 13 in October 2009 to 28 in October 2013. Elasmobranch species recorded during the otter trawl sampling included lesser spotted dogfish, thornback ray, nursehound, starry smooth-hound, blonde ray and cuckoo ray. Shellfish species captured with the otter trawl included edible crab, *Nephrops*, European lobster, long-finned squid *Loligo forbesii* and velvet crab. Beam trawl surveys also recorded a similar array of species, with the addition of razor clam *Ensis ensis*.

### Burbo Bank and Burbo Bank Extension Offshore Wind Projects

1.4.2.46 Beam trawl surveys were undertaken for the Burbo Bank and Burbo Bank Extension offshore wind projects between 2005 and 2010 and in May and September 2011 respectively (CMACS, 2006a, 2006b; Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2011a, 2011b; Dong Energy Burbo Extension Ltd., 2013). The Burbo Bank offshore wind project is located approximately 30 km southeast of the Mona Array Area, with the Burbo Bank Extension approximately 29.5 km to the southeast of the Mona Array Area.

1.4.2.47 Pre-construction surveys at Burbo Bank in 2005 and 2006 were undertaken using a 2 m beam trawl and 4 m beam trawl, respectively (CMACS, 2006a; 2006b). In addition, the 2 m beam trawl survey reports from 2007 and 2009 Burbo Bank post-construction surveys and the 4 m beam trawl survey report from 2010 were available for review (SeaScape, 2008, 2011a, 2011b). A total of 22 species of fish were recorded from both the 2006 and 2010 4 m beam trawl surveys at Burbo Bank. The fish species composition remained similar during all pre- and post-construction fish surveys with dab, plaice, solenette and whiting being the most commonly recorded species. One juvenile sea trout was captured during the 2006 4 m beam trawl survey which was surmised to be present in the area for feeding.

1.4.2.48 Three species of elasmobranchs were caught during the pre-construction 4 m beam trawl survey: thornback ray, lesser spotted dogfish and starry smooth-hound and four species were reported from the 2010 post-construction survey, encompassing the above three species plus the spotted ray. Thornback ray were abundant during both pre- and post-construction surveys and were largely identified as juvenile, with approximately equal proportions of males and females present; the area was considered important for juvenile ray species.

1.4.2.49 Baseline otter trawl surveys within the Burbo Bank Extension area in 2011 found dab, plaice and flounder to be most abundant in catches in the spring survey, with the addition of herring to this group of species in the autumn survey (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2011a, 2011b; Dong Energy Burbo Extension Ltd., 2013). During 2 m beam trawl sampling, dab and sandeel were the most abundant species caught in May whereas in September, whiting and dab were most commonly captured. The overall composition was broadly consistent with that reported from the Burbo Bank pre- and post-construction surveys between 2005 and 2010. Thornback ray and lesser-spotted dogfish were the most abundant elasmobranch species during both 2011 otter trawl surveys. Other elasmobranch species recorded using the two techniques were starry smooth-hound, spotted ray, blond ray and nursehound. Herring and sprat were among the most abundant pelagic teleost species caught during both otter trawl surveys with higher catches for herring in September and sprat in May. Other fish species of interest, such as Dover sole, sandeel, horse mackerel *Trachurus trachurus*, cod, river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis* and seabass were caught in relatively low numbers.

### Awel y Môr offshore wind project

1.4.2.50 The Awel y Môr offshore wind project is located approximately 12 km south of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (Figure 1.3). The Awel y Môr offshore wind project utilised findings from Gwynt y Môr, Burbo Bank Extension, North Hoyle, Rhyl Flats and the

Celtic Array to better inform and undertake their 2021 Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR). Data assessed from other offshore wind projects in the region illustrated similar patterns as discussed above regarding the dominant species that would be expected within this part of the Irish Sea.

1.4.2.51 Based on the data sources described above and findings presented within the Awel y Môr PEIR, it was found that a wide range of fish and shellfish species were expected to inhabit the Awel y Mor offshore wind project which could also be found within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. These species include Atlantic salmon, cod, whiting, plaice, Dover sole, herring, mackerel, lesser sandeel (*Ammodytes tobianus*) and spotted and thornback ray (MacNab and Nimmo, 2021).

1.4.2.52 Additionally, the Awel y Môr offshore wind project analysed long-term time series data across the whole of the Irish Sea, including findings from the North Irish Groundfish Survey. Otter trawls conducted across the Irish Sea from 2005 to 2018 were found to be dominated by whiting, haddock, lesser spotted dogfish, plaice and herring, similar results to those illustrated within the IBTS survey zones overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project (ICES, 2010; MacNab and Nimmo, 2021).

1.4.2.53 Furthermore, characterising species recorded within site-specific surveys for various local offshore wind projects (Gwynt y Môr, Burbo Bank Extension, North Hoyle, Rhyl Flats, Celtic Array, Walney and West of Duddon Sands) within the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project and located inside the fish and shellfish ecology study area, illustrated good agreement with species recorded in regional surveys, further suggesting that monitoring data is not only consistent within the area, but remains relevant as the temporal span of these surveys covers the last decade (MacNab and Nimmo, 2021).

### Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets

1.4.2.54 The fish and shellfish ecology baseline characterisation for Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets was based upon a combination of desktop information sources, findings from previous targeted fish and shellfish surveys undertaken for wind farm projects within the east Irish Sea and relevant results from the 2021 subtidal benthic site-specific survey (Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd, 2023). Digital aerial surveys were also undertaken for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets to inform the marine mammals and ornithological assessments. Imagery data were reviewed for the presence of basking shark however none were identified from the surveys.

1.4.2.55 Site-specific benthic subtidal survey results supported the assessment for habitat suitability for herring spawning and sandeel and provided opportunistic observations of fish and shellfish species. Data from the Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets illustrated similar patterns as would be expected within this part of the Irish Sea. Specifically, observations of razor clam, queen scallop, *Nephrops*, Dover sole, solenette, common topknot *Zeugopterus punctatus*, common dab, lemon sole, plaice, thickback sole, haddock, common dragonet, gurnards Triglidae spp., gadoids Gadidae spp., gobies, sandeel, blonde ray, thornback ray, cuckoo ray and lesser spotted dogfish were recorded during the benthic subtidal surveys (Gardline, 2023).

### Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Generation Assets

1.4.2.56 No survey specifically targeting fish or shellfish species was undertaken for the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Generation Assets (Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd, 2023). However, benthic subtidal surveys and digital aerial surveys have been used to inform the baseline characterisation for fish and shellfish ecology, notably for habitat suitability for herring spawning and sandeel, opportunistic observations of fish and shellfish species (Figure 1.4) and use of the aerial imagery to determine the presence of basking shark, although none were noted during these surveys. Observations of razor clam and unspecified fish were recorded during the benthic subtidal surveys at Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Generation Assets (Ocean Ecology, 2022).

## 1.5 Spawning and nursery grounds

1.5.1.1 A number of fish species are known to have spawning and nursery grounds within the fish and shellfish ecology study area. Data from Cefas (Ellis *et al*., 2012; Coull *et al*., 1998) provides spatially explicit maps of the spawning and nursery areas of multiple key species. It is worth noting that Coull *et al*. (1998) data may lack accuracy due to the age of the study and for this reason, it has only been used where no other data from Ellis *et al*. (2012) is available.

1.5.1.2 Potential nursery and spawning areas in the Irish Sea for a range of species were identified by Coull *et al*. (1998), based on larvae, egg and benthic habitat data. Ellis *et al*. (2012) reviewed these data for several finfish species in the Irish Sea, including cod, whiting and herring, providing an updated understanding of areas of low and high intensity nursery and spawning grounds. Further information regarding nursery areas is provided in Aires *et al*. (2014). This study assessed evidence of aggregations of ‘0-group fish’ (fish in the first year of their lives) around the UK coastline. These data were ascertained from species distribution modelling combining observations of species occurrence or abundance with environmental data (Aires *et al*., 2014). The outputs of this process have been suggested to be used as a guide for the most likely locations of aggregations of 0-group fish. Recent modelling based on collated survey data in the Isle of Man territorial waters (Campanella and van der Kooij, 2021) provides up-to-date evidence to support the distribution of the previously identified spawning and nursery grounds for a range of foraging species, with any slight changes in mapped species distribution likely being due to natural interannual variation. Broadly, these studies all describe the same patterns of spawning and nursery habitat within the fish and shellfish ecology study area, and thus the maps available from Coull *et al*. (1998) and Ellis *et al*. (2012) data can be considered reliable.

1.5.1.3 Based on the above data sources, spawning areas for several species overlap the Mona Offshore Wind Project, including high intensity spawning areas for cod, plaice, sole, sandeel and herring. Species with known spawning periods and nursery habitats identified within the Mona Array Area have been summarised in Table 1.3, Table 1.4 and Figure 1.4 to Figure 1.22.

1.5.1.4 Spawning and nursery habitats are often influenced by the seabed sediments and substrates. As such, site-specific information on sediments can be useful to characterise spawning and nursery habitats within the Mona Offshore Wind Project and have been utilised to characterise herring and sandeel habitats in later sections of this Technical Report (section 1.6.2 and section 1.7.2).

1.5.1.5 Subtidal benthic sediments across the Mona Array Area were found to range from gravelly sand to muddy sandy gravel, with gravelly muddy sand (52% of samples), gravelly sand (21%) and muddy sandy gravel (19%), representing the three most common sediment types reported. All sediment samples classified as slightly gravelly sand were detected in the southeast section of the Mona Array Area. The sediments within the east of the Mona Array Area were dominated by gravelly muddy sand with areas of muddy sandy gravel in the north and south, and gravelly sand in the north.

The sediments within the west of the Mona Array Area were typically slightly coarser and characterised by gravelly muddy sand in addition to muddy sandy gravel (see Volume 6, Annex 2.1: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology technical report of the Environmental Statement for comprehensive details on results of the benthic survey).

1.5.1.6 Areas important for supporting juvenile fish (i.e. that provide adequate food resources and shelter) are known as nursery areas. Nursery areas for several species, including herring, mackerel, lemon sole, anglerfish, haddock, cod, whiting and *Nephrops* are found within the Irish Sea (Figure 1.4 to Figure 1.22). A large proportion of the east Irish Sea, including the environment around the Mona Offshore Wind Project, has been mapped as a nursery habitat for cod and whiting.

1.5.1.7 Cod are commonly found throughout the east Irish Sea and have high intensity spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the majority of the Mona Array Area, and all of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (Figure 1.4) (Ellis *et al*., 2012), with spawning occurring between January and April and peaking in February and March. Spawning behaviour involves courtship in demersal environments typically consisting of sandy and boulder sediments (Grabowski *et al*., 2012), following by release of buoyant eggs into the water column (Hutchings *et al*., 1999, Fishbase, 2021a). The presence of cod nursery grounds is supported by Aires *et al*. (2014).

1.5.1.8 Haddock spawning occurs predominantly between February and May. Similar to cod and whiting, haddock have a demersal courtship period followed by pelagic egg release and larval phases (Casaretto and Hawkins, 2012), feeding on plankton before juveniles move down towards the seabed to exploit demersal prey resources, including small crustaceans and small fish. There is an unspecified intensity nursery ground to the north of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (Figure 1.4). There are no haddock spawning grounds denoted within the Mona Array Area (Coull *et al*., 1998). The lack of haddock nursery grounds is supported by outputs from Aires *et al*. (2014) and may suggest higher intensity nursery grounds extend further north of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.

1.5.1.9 Ling were found to have a low intensity spawning ground located across most of the Mona Array Area and the associated Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, extending north towards Solway Firth and west to Ireland (Figure 1.6; Ellis *et al*., 2012). Ling are known to spawn in March to July (Cohen *et al*., 1990), with pelagic eggs released into the water column (Wheeler, 1992).

1.5.1.10 Whiting are common in the Irish Sea and spawning occurs between February and June. The Irish Sea provides ideal conditions for whiting spawning with sandy substrate and fast movement of water for release of eggs into the water column. After the eggs hatch, the larvae drift in surface waters for a year, and then move closer to the seabed as juveniles. The majority of the Mona Array Area coincides with high intensity spawning and nursery grounds for whiting, while the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor is located within high intensity nursery grounds and low intensity spawning grounds (Figure 1.8).

1.5.1.11 Herring have high intensity nursery grounds found primarily within the areas inshore of the Mona Array Area, with both high and low intensity spawning grounds located near the Isle of Man (Figure 1.8; Ellis *et al.,* 2012; Coull *et al*., 1998). Spawning times for herring are dependent on sub populations, with both spring and autumn spawning populations occurring in the fish and shellfish ecology study area.

1.5.1.12 Generally, for the Mourne herring stock, spawning is seen between September and October. The Manx herring stock (which includes the Douglas Bank spawning population) spawn over a period of three to four weeks from late September, which is reported to have remained consistent since the 1940s (Dickey-Collas *et al.*, 2001). Sticky eggs are deposited preferably on gravel substrate and the eggs adhere to the seabed forming extensive beds which can be several layers thick (Drapeau, 1973; Rogers and Stocks, 2001). After hatching the larvae enter the plankton and drift with the current until reaching inshore nursery grounds. A year later they migrate further offshore to join adults at feeding grounds. The presence of high intensity nursery grounds for herring is supported by outputs from Aires *et al*. (2014), with predicted aggregations of 0-group herring found inshore and near the Isle of Man. A further review of herring spawning has been included in section 1.5. The Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI) in Northern Ireland has undertaken herring larvae surveys of the northern Irish Sea in November every year since 1993. The 2019 survey results recorded that the majority of herring larvae were captured in the east Irish Sea in the vicinity of the Douglas Bank spawning ground and to the north of the Isle of Man (ICES, 2021b).

1.5.1.13 Sprat spawning grounds (unspecified intensity) coincide with the Mona Offshore Wind Project and the whole of the east Irish Sea (Figure 1.10). The presence of sprat nursery grounds is supported by outputs from Aires *et al*. (2014), with aggregations of 0-group fish occurring throughout the fish and shellfish ecology study area.

1.5.1.14 Mackerel have low intensity spawning grounds which coincide with the entirety of the Mona Array Area and low intensity nursery grounds across the Mona Array Area and Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (Figure 1.10; Ellis *et al*., 2012). Mackerel spawn over spring and summer months from March to July. Peak spawning occurs during the months of May and June (Table 1.4). Spawning behaviour involves the release of eggs into the water column, where fertilisation also occurs (Walsh and Johnstone, 2006), indicating a low level of reliance on sedimentary habitats for spawning. The presence of mackerel nursery grounds is not supported by outputs from Aries *et al*. (2014), with no modelled observations of 0-group fish within the fish and shellfish ecology study area.

1.5.1.15 Lemon sole are found throughout the fish and shellfish ecology study area but have unspecified spawning and nursery grounds within the Mona Offshore Wind Project, specifically within the north portion of the Mona Array Area (Figure 1.12; Coull *et al*., 1998). These findings are supported by outputs from Aires *et al*. (2014). Lemon sole are known to spawn primarily in April to September (Smith, 2014), although evidence exists of spawning in October to November dependent on stock and location (Geffen *et al*., 2021), with lemon sole utilising their preferred benthic habitats for spawning behaviour (Hinz *et al*., 2006).

1.5.1.16 Plaice spawn between January and March, with each female producing up to half a million eggs which drift passively in the plankton. Once the larvae reach a suitable size for settlement, they metamorphose into the asymmetric body shape. As juveniles, they inhabit mostly shallow water including tidal pools. In their second year they move into deeper water and are mostly found in a depth range of 10 m to 50 m. Plaice have high intensity spawning grounds within the Mona Array Area and the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, with high intensity nursery grounds occurring in the east portions of the Mona Array Area and the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (Figure 1.14; Ellis *et al*., 2012).

1.5.1.17 Sole spawning and nursery grounds are similar to those presented above for plaice, with similar spawning behaviour to the lemon sole in the April to June period annually (Savina *et al*., 2010). High intensity spawning and nursery grounds were found to be concentrated along the east portions of the Mona Array Area and the entirety of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, located in inshore waters (Figure 1.14). These findings are further supported by outputs illustrating the presence of 0-group aggregations in inshore waters from Aires *et al*. (2014).

1.5.1.18 During the winter months, sandeel remain in the sediment only emerging to spawn. The eggs are laid in clumps within sandy substrate until they hatch, after which they enter the water column. Sandeel will then metamorphose and settle in sandy sediments amongst adults (Van Deurs *et al.,* 2009). A review of spawning and nursery grounds suggests that there is an overlap of the Mona Offshore Wind Project with both sandeel spawning and nursery grounds (Figure 1.16). Low intensity nursery grounds are present amongst the east portions of the Mona Array Area and across the entirety of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor. High intensity spawning grounds are denoted as being present within the majority of the Mona Array Area, and along Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (Figure 1.16; Ellis *et al*., 2012).

1.5.1.19 There are several low intensity nursery grounds for elasmobranch species within or in close proximity to the Mona Offshore Wind Project including spotted ray, tope and thornback ray (Figure 1.18 and Figure 1.20). Additionally, the offshore areas which comprise the Mona Array Area has been classified as a high intensity nursery ground for spurdog (Figure 1.18; Ellis *et al*., 2012). This classification is in line with desktop data sourced from other offshore wind projects in the area and data related to commercial fisheries landings.

1.5.1.20 *Nephrops* are opportunistic predators that leave their burrows at dawn and dusk to forage. They reach sexual maturity after 2 to 3 years and they have an annual reproductive cycle (North Western Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority (IFCA), 2022). *Nephrops* spawning and nursery grounds (unspecified intensity) coincide with a small area in the north of the Mona Array Area (Figure 1.22). It is worth noting that no part of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor is located within the unspecified intensity areas for *Nephrops* spawning and nursery grounds (Coull *et al*., 1998).

1.5.1.21 Of the shellfish species within the fish and shellfish ecology study area and more specifically, in proximity to and overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project, queen scallop are known to spawn in the region. Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS) data and feedback from commercial fisheries stakeholders indicated that the east portions of the Mona Array Area are known to be important queen scallop spawning areas (further discussed in section 1.10.2).

**Table 1.3: Key species with spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project (Coull *et al*., 1998 and Ellis *et al*., 2012).**

| Common Name | Species Name | Spawning | Nursery |
|---|---|---|---|
| Anglerfish | *Lophius piscatorius* | | ✓ |
| Cod | *Gadus morhua* | ✓ | ✓ |
| Herring | *Clupea harengus* | | ✓ |
| Horse Mackerel | *Trachurus trachurus* | ✓ | |
| Lemon Sole | *Microstomus kitt* | ✓ | ✓ |
| Ling | *Molva molva* | ✓ | |
| Mackerel | *Scomber scombrus* | ✓ | ✓ |
| Nephrops | *Nephrops norvegicus* | ✓ | ✓ |
| Plaice | *Pleuronectes platessa* | ✓ | ✓ |

| Common Name | Species Name | Spawning | Nursery |
|---|---|---|---|
| King scallop | *Pecten maximus* | | ✓ |
| Queen scallop | *Aequipecten opercularis* | | ✓ |
| Sandeels | Ammodytidae spp. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Dover Sole | *Solea solea* | ✓ | ✓ |
| Spotted Ray | *Raja montagui* | | ✓ |
| Sprat | *Sprattus sprattus* | ✓ | |
| Spurdog | *Squalus acanthias* | | ✓ |
| Thornback Ray | *Raja clavata* | | ✓ |
| Tope | *Galeorhinus galeus* | | ✓ |
| Whiting | *Merlangius merlangus* | ✓ | ✓ |

**Table 1.4: Periods of spawning activity for key species in the fish and shellfish ecology study area (Adapted from Coull *et al*., 1998; Ellis *et al*., 2012).**

Spawning periods are highlighted in light blue, peak spawning periods are marked dark blue. *Refers to Mourne Stock, although the Isle of Man herring are considered to spawn during the same period.

| Species | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Anglerfish | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | | | | | |
| Cod | ▨ | ■ | ■ | ▨ | | | | | | | | |
| European Hake | ▨ | ■ | ■ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | | | | | | |
| Haddock | | ■ | ■ | ■ | ▨ | | | | | | | |
| Herring* | | | | | | | | | ▨ | ▨ | | |
| Horse Mackerel | | | ▨ | ▨ | ■ | ■ | ▨ | ▨ | | | | |
| Lemon Sole | | | ▨ | ■ | ▨ | | | | | | | |
| Ling | | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | | | | | | | |
| Mackerel | | | ▨ | ▨ | ■ | ■ | ▨ | | | | | |
| Nephrops | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ |
| Plaice | ■ | ■ | ▨ | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandeels | ▨ | ▨ | | | | | | | | | | |

| Species | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sole |  | 🟦 | ■ | 🟦 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spotted Ray |  |  |  |  | ■ | ■ | ■ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sprat |  |  |  |  | ■ | ■ | 🟦 | 🟦 |  |  |  |  |
| Spurdog | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 |
| Thornback Ray |  | 🟦 | 🟦 | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | 🟦 |  |  |  |
| Tope | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 |
| Whiting |  | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 | 🟦 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



**Figure 1.4: Cod spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-010-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - HADDOCK

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.5: Haddock nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-011-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - EUROPEAN HAKE

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.6: European hake spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

Partners in UK offshore wind

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-012-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - LING

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.7: Ling spawning grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Figure 1.8: Whiting spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-014-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - HERRING

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.9: Herring spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



Figure 1.10: Sprat spawning grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-016-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - MACKEREL

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.11: Mackerel spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-017-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - HORSE MACKEREL

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.12: Horse mackerel spawning grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-018-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - LEMON SOLE

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |

**Figure 1.13: Lemon sole spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-019-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - PLAICE

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.14: Plaice spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-020-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA -SOLE

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.15: Sole spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-021-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - ANGLERFISH

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.16: Anglerfish nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

Partners in UK offshore wind

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-022-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - SANDEEL

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Figure 1.17: Sandeel spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

Partners in UK offshore wind

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-023-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - SPOTTED RAY

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.18: Spotted ray nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-024-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - SPURDOG

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |

**Figure 1.19: Spurdog nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-025-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - THORNBACK RAY

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.20: Thornback ray nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

Partners in UK offshore wind

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-026-00

**Drawing Title:**
SPAWNING AND NURSERY GROUNDS THAT OVERLAP WITH THE MONA FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY STUDY AREA - TOPE SHARK

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.21: Tope shark nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



Figure 1.22: *Nephrops* spawning and nursery grounds overlapping the Mona Offshore Wind Project.

# 1.6 Herring

## 1.6.1 Desktop study

1.6.1.1 Herring are a commercially and ecologically important pelagic fish species as they are an important prey species for numerous fish, marine mammal, and bird species, and are common across much of the Irish Sea (Dickey-Collas *et al*., 2001). Herring is the target of a relatively large fishery; however, it is predominantly targeted by the Scottish fleet, known to target higher volume and lower value marine species (MMO, 2021).

1.6.1.2 Herring are predominantly found in deeper waters in the benthopelagic and pelagic zone and have been observed throughout the Irish Sea. Their core range has been known to include St. Georges Channel (at the south boundary of the Irish Sea); however, they are also present around the south and west coasts of Ireland and the north coast of Northern Ireland. In the northeast Atlantic, herring are encountered from the north Bay of Biscay to Greenland and into the Barents Sea. The NIGFS has confirmed the presence of schools of herring within the fish and shellfish ecology study area, and around the Mona Array Area in data reviewed for the years 2012 to 2020, indicating a relatively consistent presence over time within this area.

1.6.1.3 Adult herring can be found on continental sea shelfs to depths of 200 m, however they can disperse over the abyssal plains during feeding migrations. Juvenile herring tend to occur in shallower waters, further away from adults and spawning grounds, moving into deeper waters after a couple years. During the daytime hours, herring shoals tend to remain close to the seabed or in deeper waters, moving towards the surface at dusk and dispersing over a wider area during night-time hours (FishBase, 2020f).

1.6.1.4 Herring nursery grounds, as described in section 1.5 and shown in Figure 1.8, are also concentrated inshore of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, within the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (Ellis *et al.,* 2012), with post larvae juveniles up to sub adults that are yet to reach sexual maturity feeding here until migrating to feeding grounds further offshore where they remain until reaching sexual maturity (ICES, 2006).

1.6.1.5 Herring are known to utilise specific benthic habitats during spawning, normally preferring to lay their eggs on gravel, stones or rock (O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2013), which increases their vulnerability to activities impacting the seabed. Further, as a hearing specialist, herring are increasingly vulnerable to impacts arising from underwater noise. Herring deposit eggs on a variety of substrates from coarse sand and gravel to shell fragments and macrophytes; although gravel substrates have been suggested as their preferred spawning habitat. The peak spawning months being September and October for the Mourne stock and the Isle of Man stock, with an approximately 8 km$^2$ area to the south of Douglas highlighted as a significant spawning ground (Bowers, 1969). This was supported and expanded by Coull *et al*. (1998) and evidenced around the entire east of the Isle of Man up to at least 2021 through collection of NINEL data (2021). Once spawning has taken place, the eggs take approximately three weeks to hatch after which the larvae drift in the plankton (Dickey-Colas *et al.,* 2010; Ellis *et al*., 2012).

1.6.1.6 A detailed review of herring spawning has been undertaken following guidelines set out by Boyle and New (2018) and Reach et al. (2013) considering seabed sediment type and herring larval abundances. Sediment data for the fish and shellfish ecology study area from the OneBenthic tool has been classified using the defined process for herring suitability assessment and is presented in Figure 1.23, combined with site-specific survey results from the 2021 and 2022 benthic subtidal ecology surveys for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS, EMODnet, OneBenthic

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 5 10 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-005-00

**Drawing Title:**
HERRING SPAWNING SUBSTRATE SUITABILITY CLASSIFICATION – ONEBENTHIC

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,500,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 17/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.23: OneBenthic and site-specific survey data showing suitability of sediment within the fish and shellfish ecology study area for herring spawning.

## 1.6.2 Site-specific surveys

### Particle size data

1.6.2.1 As outlined in section 1.3.2, site-specific survey data were collected in 2021 and 2022 alongside desktop studies to assess the extent of potential suitable spawning habitat within the Mona Array Area. Grab sampling surveys were completed, and PSA was undertaken on the sediment samples collected which allowed classification of the sediment types according to Reach *et al*. (2013), as described in Table 1.5. These classifications were originally developed for the marine aggregates industry, drawing on work investigating spatial interactions between the aggregate application areas and herring spawning habitat.

**Table 1.5: Herring potential spawning habitat sediment classifications derived from Reach *et al*. (2013).**

| % Contribution (mud = <63 µm) | Habitat sediment preference (adapted from Reach *et al.* (2013) | Habitat sediment classification (adapted from Reach *et al.* (2013) |
|---|---|---|
| <5% mud, >50% gravel | Prime | Preferred |
| <5% mud, >25% gravel | Sub-prime | Preferred |
| <5% mud, >10% gravel | Suitable | Marginal |
| >5% mud, <10% gravel | Unsuitable | Unsuitable |

1.6.2.2 Habitat suitability classifications for herring spawning, based on site-specific data, illustrated that the overwhelming majority of the Mona Array Area, ZoI and Mona Offshore Cable Corridor has unsuitable sediment composition for herring spawning. Within the Mona Array Area, out of 48 successfully sampled stations in 2021, a total of four were assessed as marginal habitat for herring spawning and just one station was classified as preferred spawning habitat based on the criteria outlined above (Gardline, 2022). Stations classed as marginal habitat were mostly located in the south of the Mona Array Area, with one positioned along the northeast flank adjacent to the preferred station. Outside of the Mona Array Area, three further stations were classified as marginal spawning habitat, and one station as preferred; these were located due north and north northwest of the Mona Array Area (Gardline, 2022).

1.6.2.3 Of the 58 stations sampled in 2022, one station to the north of the Mona Array Area within the ZoI (ENV043) revealed marginal suitability for herring spawning, and all sampled stations within the Mona Array Area were found to be unsuitable. The Mona Offshore Cable Corridor contained three stations of marginal suitability, and four stations of preferred suitability, with these stations being concentrated in gravelly sediment located near to the landfall. The remaining 50 stations sampled were classified as unsuitable for herring spawning (Gardline, 2023).

1.6.2.4 Although the Mona Array Area was found to predominantly comprise sand and gravel substrates, which are considered optimal for herring spawning, results illustrated that the majority of the surveyed stations within the Mona Array Area in both the 2021 and 2022 surveys comprised mud content in excess of 5%, rendering the sediments within the Mona Array Area as unsuitable for this purpose.

1.6.2.5 Figure 1.24 illustrates 2021 and 2022 site-specific survey data alongside European Marine Observation and Data Network (EMODnet, 2023) broadscale seabed substrate data, aligned with the Folk (1954) classification. The European Marine Observation and Data Network (EMODnet) seabed substrate data can also be used to assign habitat suitability for herring spawning, showing sandy gravel and gravel as preferred spawning habitat and gravelly sand as marginal spawning habitat. Where no shading is present, the habitat in that area is unsuitable for herring spawning. The Mona Array Area contains areas of marginal (suitable), preferred and unsuitable substrata according to the broadscale Folk (1954) classifications. Despite numerous sampling stations being located within areas mapped to resemble preferred and marginal substrata, the majority of samples reflected unsuitable substrata. This highlights one of limitations of broadscale data composites, which do not account for fine scale variation in substrates. It is worth noting that the EMODnet seabed substrate data is of lower resolution and accuracy than the results of the site-specific survey data but provide an overall picture of the surrounding substrate.

1.6.2.6 Additionally, based on the broadscale EMODnet substrate data (Folk, 1954), the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor contains both unsuitable and marginal habitat, with no preferred sediment present. The four site-specific samples from the Gardline (2023) survey of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor which were characterised as preferred substrate for herring spawning were mostly associated with EMODnet broadscale substrates of marginal gravelly sand. These preferred site-specific samples were present within a mosaic of stations classified as unsuitable, marginal and preferred, which highlights the fine scale sediment variability within the east Irish Sea, which the broadscale EMODnet substrate data is not able to fully represent.

### 1.6.3 Northern Irish Herring Larvae Survey

1.6.3.1 As outlined above in section 1.6.1, herring spawning grounds can be identified through monitoring of herring larval abundances, alongside data on sediment type. The NINEL conducts monitoring programmes in November each year in the Irish Sea (ICES, 2022a). Herring larvae are identified as being recently hatched by their size, and therefore small herring larvae can be assumed to have been hatched recently and in close proximity to the area where eggs were laid. The NINEL datasets present raw herring larvae counts with flowrates and haul depths, which were used to calculate the number of larvae per $m^2$, with larvae <10 mm long used as a cut off point for recently spawned larvae (in line with standard International Herring Larvae Survey practice).

1.6.3.2 It should be noted that the NINEL datasets, despite being useful indicators of specific herring spawning locations, are considered to underestimate the true recruitment numbers in this area, which is up to orders of magnitude higher in some cases (Dickey-Collas and Nash, 2001). The NINEL surveys were re-evaluated in 2012 and are no longer used in Irish Sea stock assessments due to recorded herring larval abundances underestimating populations to such a large extent, when compared to acoustic surveys in the area (ICES, 2012). However, the survey is still conducted annually, and have been used in this report because of the value of having a long-term dataset based on standardised methods to indicate the spatial coverage of the herring spawning grounds. These can also, to some degree, act as an indicator of changes in broader spawning patterns over time.

1.6.3.3 Recently spawned larvae will not have drifted far from the location where eggs were spawned on the seabed and high abundances of these larvae are therefore a good indication of recent spawning activity local to where these were sampled. These data were plotted for each year from 2012 to 2021 in Figure 1.25 to Figure 1.29 showing the changing spatial distribution of herring spawning over time relative to areas of historical spawning grounds as identified by Coull *et al*. (1998), in line with guidance from Boyle and New (2018). In addition, larval densities from 2012 to 2021 have been aggregated and plotted as a heatmap in Figure 1.30 to highlight areas of consistently higher larval densities.

1.6.3.4 These data show that the spawning area directly northwest of the Mona Array Area identified by Coull *et al*. (1998) has consistently shown evidence of recent spawning, albeit at relatively low abundances, with up to 24.3 individuals per $m^2$. Notably, the average numbers of herring larvae decreased overall between 2012 and 2021, dropping to a minimum of 0.73 herring larvae per $m^2$ in 2018, but rising again to an average of 4.05 herring larvae per $m^2$ in 2021. The highest average was found in 2013 (4.7 herring larvae per $m^2$), however this result demonstrates skew based on low numbers of herring larvae in places. The highest individual number of herring larvae per $m^2$ (24.3) was found in 2017, highlighting very high interannual variability as a limitation of this dataset when examining spawning population densities. This interannual variability in spawning population size is a well-documented feature of the Irish Sea Mourne Herring Stock (Marine Institute, 2021), likely due to regular mixing with the Celtic Sea Herring Stock. The NINEL data therefore does capture this variability generally but gives large underestimates of actual population densities. Acoustic data indicates populations of up to approximately 50,000 tonnes of herring in the same area overall (ICES, 2020), with approximately 49% of the population in the 2020 survey being herring of 0 to 1 years old (ICES, 2021b). Spatial variability of larval densities within the NINEL data is likely from variations in ocean and tidal current speeds and direction over time. The surveys were carried out in the same month each year directly following the spawning period of the Mourne stock of herring (Table 1.4), thus controlling for any variability potentially caused by changes in survey timings.

1.6.3.5 As noted above, the NINEL dataset is useful as a spatial indicator of spawning grounds, due to being a repeated survey covering approximately the same area across the north Irish Sea. Specifically, the spatial distribution seen in the NINEL data, with the highest herring larvae densities to the southeast and northeast of the Isle of Man, broadly matches the high intensity grounds identified by Coull *et al*. (1998) (Figure 1.24), with a distribution of low intensity spawning surrounding these areas in all years from 2012 to 2021. This is supported by the heat map generated using 10 years of aggregated larval density data (2012 to 2021), shown in Figure 1.30. Figure 1.24 shows the area of high intensity spawning southeast of the Isle of Man, and northeast of the Mona Array Area, being predominantly sandy gravel (EMODnet Folk Classification 321), and the high intensity spawning area northeast of the Isle of Man has a mix of sandy gravel and gravelly sand (EMODnet Folk Classification 311).

1.6.3.6 No high intensity spawning grounds identified by Coull *et al*. (1998) overlap with any part of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, and the NINEL data shows highly variable low to medium intensity larval densities throughout the entire north of the fish and shellfish ecology study area. This is supported by the habitat suitability data from both site-specific sampling effort and EMODnet (following classifications in Reach *et al*., 2013), as shown in Figure 1.24. The large patches of gravelly sand and >5% mud content reported provide unsuitable spawning habitat throughout much of the Mona Array Area, with only four areas of suitable spawning habitat, and one sub-prime habitat identified out of a total of 48 stations. Sampling conducted in 2022 encompassing repeated stations in the Mona Array Area along with new stations within the ZoI and along the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor revealed similar results.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS, EMODnet

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 nm
0 5 10 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-003-01

**Drawing Title:**
POTENTIAL HERRING SPAWNING SEDIMENT CLASSIFICATIONS

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 11/07/23 | First Issue | MJ | HM |
| 01 | 17/07/23 | Revision | NA | HM |

**Figure 1.24: Herring spawning habitat preference classifications from EMODnet and site-specific survey data.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 5 10 20 30 nm
0 10 20 40 60 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-028-00

**Drawing Title:**
NINEL HERRING LARVAE DISTRIBUTION 2012-2013

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:2,350,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.25: NINEL Herring Larvae population densities (larvae/m$^2$) in 2012-2013.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 5 10 20 30 nm
0 10 20 40 60 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-029-00

**Drawing Title:**
NINEL HERRING LARVAE DISTRIBUTION 2014-2015

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:2,350,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |

**Figure 1.26: NINEL Herring Larvae population densities (larvae/m$^2$) in 2014-2015.**



**Figure 1.27: NINEL Herring Larvae population densities (larvae/m$^2$) in 2016-2017.**



| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community | **Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS | |
| **Project Name:**<br>MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT | 0 5 10 20 30 nm<br>0 10 20 40 60 km | **Drawing Number:**<br>RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-031-00 |
| **Drawing Title:**<br>NINEL HERRING LARVAE DISTRIBUTION 2018-2019 | **Geodetic Information:**<br>Datum: ETRS 1989<br>Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N<br>Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:2,350,000 | |

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |

**Figure 1.28: NINEL Herring Larvae population densities (larvae/m$^2$) in 2018-2019.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 5 10 20 30 nm
0 10 20 40 60 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-032-00

**Drawing Title:**
NINEL HERRING LARVAE DISTRIBUTION 2020-2021

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:2,350,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.29: NINEL Herring Larvae population densities (larvae/m$^2$) in 2020-2021.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, NINEL

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 5 10 20 nm
0 10 20 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-036-02

**Drawing Title:**
AGGREGATED HERRING LARVAL DENSITY (2012-2021)
HEAT MAP BASED ON KERNEL DENSITY ESTIMATION

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,600,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 09/08/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| 02 | 09/08/23 | Revision | NA | LS |

**Figure 1.30: Aggregated herring larvae density (larvae/m$^2$; 2012 to 2021) heat map based on kernel density estimation.**

## 1.7 Sandeel

### 1.7.1 Desktop study

1.7.1.1 While there are several species of sandeel present within the east Irish Sea (greater sandeel *Hyperoplus lanceolatus*, lesser sandeel, smooth sandeel *Gymnammodytes semisquamatus*, Raitt's sandeel *Ammodytes marinus* and Corbin's sandeel *Hyperoplus immaculatus*), this section will refer to sandeel species collectively as Ammodytidae spp., unless otherwise stated. Liverpool Bay, and the wider east Irish Sea has been historically known to support important and ecologically valuable sandeel populations. This was supported by the NIGFS (2021), which found evidence of the presence of Raitt's sandeel, greater sandeel, smooth sandeel and Corbin's sandeel within the wider east Irish Sea in areas surrounding the Mona Offshore Wind Project, in relatively low but consistent densities during annual surveys conducted between 2012 and 2022.

1.7.1.2 Sandeel species are known to feed exclusively on phytoplankton and zooplankton which inhabit the water column and survive by filter feeding during daylight hours (Freeman *et al*., 2004). Sandeels are evidenced to be an important prey for numerous fish, bird, and marine mammal species due to their small size and aggregations in large numbers (Engelhard *et al*., 2008). For this reason, sandeel are known to be a critical part of the marine food web and act as an umbrella species, linking primary productivity throughout the food chain to higher trophic levels and ultimately, apex predators (Latto *et al*., 2013).

1.7.1.3 Recent findings have illustrated that sandeel species display a high level of site fidelity, which has the potential to make them vulnerable at a sub-population level in terms of direct habitat loss (Jensen *et al*., 2011; Latto *et al*., 2013).

1.7.1.4 The lesser sandeel is a priority species under the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework (JNCC, 2012) and a species garnering attention from the general public due to its significance in the marine food chain. Sandeel spend most of the year buried in the seabed, emerging in the winter to spawn (van der Kooij *et al.,* 2008). Sandeel spawn a single batch of eggs in December to January, which are deposited on the seabed, several months after the active feeding season (April to September). The larvae hatch after several weeks, usually in February or March, and drift in the currents for one to three months, after which they settle on the sandy seabed. During the spring and summer, sandeel emerge during the day to feed in schools and at night return to bury in the sand. This is an adaptation to conserve energy and to avoid predation. There are indications that the survival of sandeel larvae is linked to the availability of copepod prey in the early spring, especially *Calanus finmarchicus* and that climate generated shifts in the *Calanus* species composition can lead to a mismatch in timing between food availability and the early life history of lesser sandeel (Wright and Bailey, 1996; van Deurs *et al.,* 2009). Sandeel is a critically important prey species for many marine predators.

1.7.1.5 Sandeel have a close association with sandy substrates into which they burrow. They are largely stationary after settlement and show a strong preference to specific substrate types. Recent work, in the laboratory (Wright *et al*., 2000) and in the natural environment (Holland *et al*., 2005) has focused on identifying the sediment characteristics that define the seabed habitat preferred by sandeel. Both approaches produced similar results, indicating that sandeel preferred sediments with a high percentage of medium to coarse grained sand (particle size 0.25 mm to 2 mm), and avoided sediment containing >4% silt (particle size <0.063 mm) and >20% fine sand (particle size 0.063 mm to 0.25 mm). As the percentage of fine sand, coarse silt, medium silt and fine silt (particles <0.25 mm in diameter) increased, sandeel increasingly avoided the habitat (this finding was also supported by Wright *et al.* (2000) as reported by Mazik *et al.* (2015). Conversely, as the percentage of coarse sand and medium sand (particles ranging from 0.25 mm to 2.0 mm) increased, sandeel showed an increased preference for this substrate.

1.7.1.6 Work by Greenstreet *et al*. (2010) draws on the research by Holland *et al*. (2005), to define four sandeel sediment preference categories, using hydro acoustic seabed surveys and nocturnal grab surveys. They merged fine sand, three silt grades and two coarser sand grades, to define two particle size classes, silt and fine sand and coarse sand. They then examined the combined effect of these two size grades of sediment particles on the percentage of grab samples with sandeel present. Latto *et al*. (2013) used this research, in combination with the baseline of sandeel habitat types investigated by MarineSpace Ltd (2013b), to produce four sandeel sediment preference categories, which were defined as; Prime, Sub-Prime, Suitable and Unsuitable (see Table 1.6). This classification has been applied to relevant data available on the OneBenthic tool and is presented below in Figure 1.31 alongside the site-specific benthic subtidal ecology survey data for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS, EMODnet, OneBenthic

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-006-00

**Drawing Title:**
SANDEEL SUBSTRATE SUITABILITY CLASSIFICATION – ONEBENTHIC

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,500,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 17/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.31: OneBenthic data showing the suitability of sediments within the fish and shellfish ecology study area for sandeel.**

## 1.7.2 Site-specific survey

1.7.2.1 As outlined in section 1.3.2, site-specific survey data were collected and reviewed alongside desktop studies to assess the extent of suitable sandeel habitat within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. Grab sampling was undertaken (see section 1.3.2) and PSA completed on the sediment samples collected in 2021 in the Mona Array Area and in 2022 encompassing the Mona Array Area, ZoI and Mona Offshore Cable Corridor which allowed classification of the sediment types according to Latto *et al*. (2013), as described in section 1.3.2. These classifications were originally developed for the marine aggregates industry, drawing on work from Greenstreet *et al*. (2010) and Holland *et al*. (2005), investigating spatial interactions between the aggregate application areas and sandeel habitat.

1.7.2.2 Figure 1.32 illustrates the results of 2021 and 2022 site-specific analysis with sandeel habitat preference classifications of preferred, marginal and unsuitable denoted, presented with high intensity spawning grounds (Ellis *et al*., 2012). The distribution of habitat suitability shows that the Mona Array Area and surrounding area to the north in 2021 was largely classified as both unsuitable (40.38%) and marginal (25%) habitat, with intermittent areas of preferred habitat (Gardline, 2022).

1.7.2.3 Results specifically for the Mona Array Area illustrate that 46% (22) of stations comprised over 10% mud content; these stations are considered unsuitable habitat for sandeel. A further 37.5% (18) of stations were classified as marginal habitat with between 4% and 10% mud content, and just eight stations within the Mona Array Area were found to comprise preferred sandeel habitat, respectively. These results highlight the patchiness of the substrate composition within the Mona Array Area and demonstrate the low favourability of the site for sandeel, with over 80% of the site classified as unsuitable or marginal habitat.

1.7.2.4 The 2022 environmental baseline characterisation survey for the Mona Offshore Wind Project included benthic grab sampling at 58 surveyed stations (Gardline, 2023). Analysis of the sediment characteristics of these samples and comparison to the Latto *et al*. (2013) classification guidelines indicated significant proportions of marginal to preferred habitat for sandeel habitation and spawning. Specifically, of the 22 stations within the Mona Array Area and ZoI sampled in 2022, 14 (63.6%) stations were classified as marginal habitat, with four (18.2%) stations both classified as preferred and unsuitable habitat for sandeel.

1.7.2.5 Within the 35 stations sampled in the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, 20 (57.1%) stations were classified as preferred habitat, with these concentrated close to the landfall where sediments had a greater proportion of gravel. Of the other stations, eight (22.9%) stations were classified as marginal habitat, and seven (20%) stations were classified as unsuitable habitat for sandeel.

1.7.2.6 Figure 1.32 illustrates the site-specific survey data alongside EMODnet seabed substrate data which can also be used to assign broadscale habitat suitability for sandeel. Gravelly sand, (gravelly) sand, and sand in the EMODnet substrate data were classified as preferred habitat and sandy gravel as marginal habitat. Where no shading is present, the habitat in that area is considered unsuitable for sandeel. Overall, the EMODnet data broadly aligns with the site-specific survey findings in terms of expected spawning ground suitability. Preferred habitats resulting from the site-specific surveys are located within or on the periphery of the sandeel preferred EMODnet seabed substrates. It is worth noting that the EMODnet seabed substrate data are of lower resolution and accuracy than the results of the site-specific survey and so should be interpreted with caution due to not accounting well for local scale variance, but do provide a broadscale regional picture of the general surrounding substrate.

1.7.2.7 Further site-specific survey results from grab samples from the 2021 survey, as shown in Figure 1.33, have provided incidental data on the presence of sandeel within the Mona Offshore Array, where grab samples captured sandeel individuals. A total of eight individual lesser sandeel were captured in grab samples within the Mona Array Area at two stations; these coincided with stations assessed to be preferred sandeel habitat in the south and west of the site. However, it should be noted that this data collection method does not target sandeel specifically, therefore these results should be regarded as opportunistic, demonstrating consistency between the PSA results and faunal observations. Conversely, whilst these opportunistic data may indicate higher abundances in specific areas (with regards to higher catchability due to higher density of burrows), it cannot be interpreted as low abundance or absence where sandeels were not recorded, due to the lack of specificity of sampling methods for sandeels. The site-specific survey data and desktop data indicate that sandeels may be present across the Mona Offshore Wind Project, although habitats recorded within the Mona Array Area were largely assessed to be unsuitable or marginal. The Mona Offshore Cable Corridor was found to have a higher proportion of preferred habitats for sandeel when compared to the Mona Array Area.

**Table 1.6: Sandeel habitat sediment classifications derived from Latto *et al.* (2013).**

| **% Contribution (mud = <63 µm)** | **Habitat sediment preference (Latto *et al*., 2013)** | **Habitat sediment classification (Latto *et al.*, 2013)** |
|---|---|---|
| <1% mud, >85% sand | Prime | Preferred |
| <4% mud, >70% sand | Sub-prime | Preferred |
| <10% mud, >50% sand | Suitable | Marginal |
| >10% mud, <50% sand | Unsuitable | Unsuitable |



**Figure 1.32: Sandeel habitat preference classifications from EMODnet and site-specific survey data.**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, CEFAS, EMODnet

Partners in UK offshore wind

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 nm
0 5 10 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-007-00

**Drawing Title:**
SANDEEL OBSERVATIONS FROM SITE-SPECIFIC SURVEYS

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 17/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

Figure 1.33: Sandeel habitat preference classifications with site-specific abundance data.

## 1.8 Elasmobranchs

1.8.1.1 Elasmobranchs are a cartilaginous fish group that comprises sharks, rays and skates There are over 71 elasmobranch species that have been recorded in the Irish Sea; about half the number that live in European waters, with habitats supporting taxa ranging from sedentary to highly migratory (Clarke *et al.,* 2016). The most common elasmobranch species found in the Irish Sea are rays, including thornback ray, blonde ray *Raja brachyura*, cuckoo ray and spotted ray, with common shark species including spurdog, lesser spotted dogfish and tope shark. Since 2005, many species of skates and rays have exhibited long-term declines, however, there are signs of recovery and increased biomass in recent years that may be attributed to reduced fishing effort and effort changes in the region (from whitefish to *Nephrops* fishing) (ICES, 2019b).

1.8.1.2 Species expected to be present in the fish and shellfish ecology study area include tope, spurdog, common skate *Dipturus batis*, spotted ray and thornback ray. Some species of elasmobranchs have nursery grounds within or in close proximity to the Mona Offshore Wind Project (Ellis *et al*., 2012; see section 1.5).

1.8.1.3 Skates and rays are known to represent one of the more vulnerable fish communities in the Irish Sea, often being data poor in comparison to other commercially exploited fish species (Dedman *et al*., 2015). Within the Irish Sea, the species distribution for skate and ray species was found to be driven by a general preference for both sand and coarser substrates, as well as higher salinities, current speeds, and surrounding temperatures (Dedman *et al*., 2015). Blonde ray, thornback ray, cuckoo ray and lesser-spotted dogfish were observed within the Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets to the northeast of the Mona Array Area during site-specific benthic subtidal surveys (Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd, 2023).

1.8.1.4 Thornback ray are known to support an important commercial and recreational fishery within Liverpool Bay. Monthly landing data occurring from the North Western IFCA District in North Wales and within Liverpool Bay illustrated that ray species were landed year-round, with August being the predominant month of targeted catch (Moore *et al*., 2020). Based on the context of historic declines within UK waters, thornback ray abundance in the Irish Sea is currently thought to be increasing (ICES, 2018b).

1.8.1.5 The Irish Sea population of spotted and thornback ray are stable throughout their ranges, despite being commonly landed in fisheries. These small-bodied species have a wide geographic distribution throughout the northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean and are some of the most common ray species recorded from Irish Sea waters. There is an inshore to offshore partition in habitat preference illustrated in spotted ray between adults and juveniles, with adults occurring offshore on sand and coarse sand-gravel substrates and juveniles illustrating a preference for inshore, sheltered sandy substrates. Abundant juveniles and egg cases have been found in the east Irish Sea, around Cardigan Bay and Anglesey, as well as their continued presence in previous surveys, suggesting that these are important nursery areas for the spotted ray (Ellis *et al*., 2010; see section 1.5).

1.8.1.6 The cuckoo ray is widely distributed throughout the northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean; Moriarty *et al.* (2015) suggests that the population in the Irish/Celtic Seas is separate to the population in the west and north of Ireland. Cuckoo ray is a small bodied species that typically occurs offshore on the continental shelf and slope at depths of 20 m to 500 m. In the Irish Sea, the habitat preferences of cuckoo ray are coarse sand or gravel substrates, but the scarcity of egg cases recovered on the coast suggests that nurseries for this species are in deeper, offshore waters (Moriarty *et al*., 2015).

1.8.1.7 Both spurdog and tope are known to occur throughout the Irish Sea, around the Isle of Man territorial waters and therefore within the fish and shellfish ecology study area (Stone *et al*., 2013). This study identified the presence of 28 tope and six spurdog, indicating relatively low adult population densities within this area, although Ellis *et al*. (2012) provides evidence of high intensity spurdog nursery grounds, and low intensity tope nursery grounds within the fish and shellfish ecology study area, highlighting the potential importance of this area to these species.

1.8.1.8 Lesser spotted dogfish, present within the east Irish Sea, have a broad habitat preference and are commonly found on a variety of substrates including sand, coralline algae, and gravelly or muddy bottoms (Clarke *et al*., 2016). These findings were further reflected in the similar findings of nearby benthic and sediment surveys of regional wind farms which illustrated this species to be common in trawl surveys (NBDC, 2019). Lesser spotted dogfish is an oviparous species that lays its young in egg cases deposited on macroalgae in shallow coastal waters or on sessile invertebrates (such as sponges, hydroids and soft corals) in deeper waters (Ellis *et al.,* 1996). The population trend of lesser spotted dogfish in the UK is stable and is listed on Europe’s Red List for cartilaginous fish as Least Concern (IUCN, 2021).

1.8.1.9 Angel shark *Squatina squatina* are a Critically Endangered demersal elasmobranch (Morey *et al*., 2019) with a preference for relatively shallow coastal and continental shelf soft sediment habitats for feeding (Lawson *et al*., 2019), and historical evidence shows the use of stony reef habitats as juvenile nursery grounds around Wales (Moore and Hiddink, 2022). This habitat preference has caused them to be highly susceptible to demersal fishing activities (Ellis *et al*., 2020), with significant decreases in population historically related directly to these activities within the Irish Sea (Quigley, 2006, Hiddink *et al*., 2019). Most recently, the majority of sightings in the Irish Sea were between Bardsey Island and Strumble Head, but this was outside of the fish and shellfish ecology study area. Within the southwest of the fish and shellfish ecology study area, up to 100 individuals in total were historically and recently sighted within Conwy Bay (Barker *et al*., 2022), indicating a potentially significant population concentration approximately 30 km from the Mona Array Area, although this population is reportedly only present intermittently throughout spring and summer for feeding.

1.8.1.10 Basking sharks *Cetorhinus maximus* are known to migrate throughout the fish and shellfish ecology study area (Cotton *et al*., 2005; Shark Trust, 2022; Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch, 2023) and therefore have the potential to be encountered within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. The basking shark is a large, filter feeding species that is predominately solitary, but may also occur in aggregations where there is dense zooplankton abundance (Speedie, 1999). The basking shark’s unique feeding strategy dominates all aspects of its ecology and life history; the basking shark is an obligate ram filter feeder whereby the flow of water across gill rakers within the mouth is controlled by swimming speed (Sims, 1999; Sims, 2008).

1.8.1.11 Basking shark migration routes cover large distances from north Africa to Scotland, using both the continental shelf and oceanic habitats in the upper 50 m to 200 m of the water column (Doherty *et al*., 2017). Distribution has been shown to be influenced by a range of environmental conditions (Austin *et al*., 2019); surface sightings of basking sharks are typically reported where sea surface temperatures range between 15 °C and 17.5 °C (Cotton *et al*., 2005; Skomal *et al*., 2004) where thermal fronts are present (Sims and Quayle, 1998; Jeewoonarain *et al*., 2000) and where zooplankton is in its greatest abundance (Sims and Quayle, 1998; Sims, 1999).

1.8.1.12 Basking shark migrations have been evidenced throughout the Irish Sea, with high numbers of sighting recorded around the Isle of Man (NBN Atlas, 2019). This is corroborated by the data available from the Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch (2023), with at least 20 sightings around the Isle of Man within the first half of 2023. Historically, basking sharks have been sighted in a density of 11-50 individuals sighted per 0.5 by 0.5 degrees to the north of the Isle of Man, within the fish and shellfish ecology study area (Sims *et al*., 2005). Basking shark have a north to south migration and are expected to occur within and surrounding the Mona Offshore Wind Project during August to October and during the return migration in March to June (Doherty *et al*., 2017). Basking shark were not sighted and therefore not recorded in the site-specific aerial surveys undertaken for birds and marine mammals across the Mona Offshore Wind Project.

1.8.1.13 More recently, twenty-eight basking shark tagged off the coast of Scotland and the Isle of Man in summer months over four years (2012 to 2015) illustrated an average post-summer migration distance of 1,057 km (Doherty *et al*., 2017). Some remained in UK and Irish waters but moved further offshore, whilst others migrated as far as the Bay of Biscay and north Africa. The tagging data also demonstrated that several sharks in this study migrated through the fish and shellfish ecology study area and therefore in proximity to the Mona Offshore Wind Project. In addition, 17 basking shark that migrated outside UK waters returned to the Celtic Sea in March to June (Doherty *et al.,* 2017). In summary, 18% of basking sharks tracked in this study entered the Economic Exclusive Zone (EEZ) of the UK, including the Irish Sea, indicating that this is an important area for overwintering that links foraging grounds in the waters off the west coast of the UK to migration destinations in the south (Doherty *et al*., 2017).

1.8.1.14 Mating has not been observed in basking sharks and most likely occurs in deep water with courtship-like behaviour as the precursor, particularly where individuals aggregate in food-rich waters (Sims, 2008). Individuals are thought to pair and mate in early summer (Sims *et al.,* 2000) and gestation has been estimated over a range of 12 to 36 months (Parker and Stott, 1965; Sims *et al*., 2008). As an ovoviviparous species, basking sharks bear live young, hatched from eggs within the uterus of the female. Basking shark are a slow-growing species with late maturation (at 12 to 20 years of age) and a relatively low fecundity (producing litters of around six pups; Sund, 1943). These characteristics suggest that basking shark would be vulnerable to environmental changes and the population would be slow to recover from any major losses. With a long history of exploitation, this species is listed as a Protected Species in the Isle of Man Wildlife Act 1990 (Isle of Man Government, 1990); on the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List globally as Vulnerable (Fowler, 2009), and on the European Red List for cartilaginous fish as Endangered (Sims *et al*., 2015).

## 1.9 Diadromous fish

1.9.1.1 The term diadromous fish is utilised to describe fish that migrate between both freshwater and the marine environments. There is the potential for diadromous fish species to migrate to and from English and Welsh rivers in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. Therefore, the diadromous fish species have the potential to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project to rivers during certain periods of the year (National Biodiversity Network (NBN) Atlas, 2019).

1.9.1.2 The east Irish Sea is home to diadromous fish species, which move between the sea and freshwater at different stages of their life cycle and may migrate through the fish and shellfish ecology study area and therefore the Mona Offshore Wind Project.

Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* and sea trout *Salmo trutta* are two commercially important diadromous fish species found in the Irish Sea. Sea lamprey *Petromyzon marinus*, river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis* and twaite shad *Alosa fallax* are known to occur in inshore waters off the coasts of England and Wales. Brook lamprey *Lampetra planeri* are also recorded in the north areas of the fish and shellfish ecology study area, although as a purely freshwater species, this species migrates between downstream river habitat to upstream areas to spawn and are therefore not considered further in this report as it is unlikely to interact with offshore components of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. With the exception of sea trout, all of these diadromous fish species are listed on Annex II of the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC) which makes provision for their protection through designation of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs). The Solway Firth SAC, Aber Dyfrdwy SAC, River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC, River Dee and Bala Lake/Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid SAC and River Ehen SAC have all been designated for the protection of diadromous fish species (see section 1.9). Allis shad *Alosa alosa*, twaite shad, European eel *Anguilla anguilla*, river lamprey and sea lamprey in Welsh waters are also protected under Section 7 of the Wales Biodiversity Partnership (Welsh Government, 2016).

1.9.1.3 Fish and epibenthic surveys carried out in 2013 for the Walney offshore wind farm and in 2012 for the West of Duddon Sands offshore wind farm recorded sea trout, a diadromous species of relevance within the fish and shellfish ecology study area (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a).

1.9.1.4 Sea trout, European eel, river lamprey, and Atlantic salmon have been recorded in the estuaries of rivers across the northwest coast of England, in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor and within the inshore area of the fish and shellfish ecology study area. Twaite shad and allis shad have only been recorded at the mouth of the river Esk, north of the Mona Array Area (NBN Atlas, 2019).

1.9.1.5 Sea lamprey have been recorded in the estuaries of the River Dee and the River Mersey however these records are from the 1960s and 1970s (NBN Atlas, 2019).

1.9.1.6 No site-specific surveys were undertaken to inform the baseline characterisation for diadromous fish species. For the purposes of the impact assessment, it will be assumed that the aforementioned species have the potential to occur within the Mona Array Area and the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, during key migration periods (e.g. adult migration to spawning rivers and smolt migration from natal rivers in the vicinity and surrounding the Mona Offshore Wind Project). Depending on the key migration periods of the diadromous fish species discussed, there will be both a greater and lesser likelihood of these species being present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project during all phases of the project, depending on the timings of particular activities.

1.9.1.7 Timings of diadromous fish species migrations are presented in Table 1.7, which displays the key migration times of diadromous fish species and the length of time each species spends in fresh water and at sea. Uncertainty exists in the exact timings and routes of migrations due to the wide range of factors influencing these, and a precautionary approach has therefore been adopted where species may be present in the areas surrounding the Mona Offshore Wind Project year-round. This approach is supported by evidence from the NIGFS (2021), which indicated the presence of European eel, trout and sea lamprey within the fish and shellfish ecology study area throughout the year, outside of the specific migration periods. Peak migration periods for some species are documented and it is assumed that most individuals will migrate during the timeframe outlined in Table 1.7, however acknowledgement of the degree of uncertainty thereby warrants application of a precautionary approach.

**Table 1.7: Overview of life histories for diadromous fish relevant to the Mona Offshore Wind Project (based on Seagreen Wind Energy Ltd., 2019).**

| Species | Time Spent in Freshwater | Timing of Downstream Migration | Time Spent at Sea Before First Return | Timing of Upstream Migration |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Atlantic salmon | 2 to 3 years | April to May | 1, 2 or 3 years | All year round with peak in late summer early autumn |
| Sea trout | 2 to 3 years | Spring | 2 or more | April to June |
| European eel | Males 7 to 20 years<br>Females 9 to 50 years | Late spring | Many do not return to fresh water | January to June |
| Sea lamprey | 3 to 4 years | July to September to open sea | 18 to 24 months | April to May, spawning in May/June |
| River lamprey | 5 years or more. Remain in burrow in river silt beds until adults | July to September to feed in estuaries | 2 years spent in estuaries | Winter and spring when temperatures are <10 °C |
| Allis and Twaite shad | Short period | N/A | 2 years spent in estuaries and marine areas do not return to fresh water until they are sexually mature. | April to May, spawning in freshwater |
| Sparling (European smelt) | Short period | N/A | Estuarine | February to April, spawning in freshwater |

## 1.9.2 Atlantic salmon

1.9.2.1 Atlantic salmon is of considerable cultural and conservation importance (Hindar *et al*., 2010) and in both England and Wales, represents an ecologically and economically important diadromous fish species in the UK (Parry *et al*., 2018). However, in recent decades, and especially the past 30 or so years, there have been declines in rod catch data across much of the species' range (Parry *et al*., 2018). There are many pressures on Atlantic salmon stocks in both marine and freshwater environments, including commercial and recreational exploitation of stocks, disease, impacts related to farmed Atlantic salmon and climate change (ICES, 2017). Atlantic salmon is an Annex II species of the EU Habitats and Species Directive and is a feature of various SACs.

1.9.2.2 The UK Atlantic salmon population is increasingly important as it has influenced the overall selection of various SACs and the site selection process has focused on the identification and designation of rivers holding significant Atlantic salmon populations across the geographical range of species within the UK (JNCC, 2022).

1.9.2.3 There are 49 rivers in England and 31 rivers in Wales that are known to regularly support Atlantic salmon, however, it is worth noting that some of these stocks are relatively small and support minimal catches overall. Of these 80 rivers located in England and Wales, 64 of them have been designated as 'principal salmon rivers' and are further utilised to give annual advice on stock status and assess the need for management and conservation measures.

1.9.2.4 The Atlantic salmon is considered a Priority Species under the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework. The species is known to be a relatively large-bodied fish that can be encountered in clean and healthy rivers throughout the UK. Like other salmon species, the Atlantic salmon spends most of its life at sea, returning to spawn in the same stretch of river or stream in which it was born.

1.9.2.5 Following spawning by adult Atlantic salmon in English and Welsh rivers, the ova mature into fry and then parr before migrating to sea as smolts. At sea, the smolts grow rapidly and after 1 to 3 years they return as adults to spawn, most commonly to their natal river. Many Atlantic salmon die after spawning, but some return to sea as kelts and may return again to rivers to spawn (Mills, 1989). Atlantic salmon are known to migrate in relation to diurnal cues. Evidence provided by Smith and Smith (1997) suggests that Atlantic salmon upstream migration into rivers is related to tidal phase and time of day. Up-estuary movements leading to river entry were found to be predominantly nocturnal and occur during ebb tides, with entry into nontidal reaches of rivers also being nocturnal, however significantly associated with tidal phase (Smith and Smith, 1997). Smolts migrating downstream/offshore have also been found to increase migratory activity nocturnally, with daytime utilised more for prey detection and predator avoidance (Hedger *et al*., 2008). Dempson *et al*. (2011) also found a small but significant increase in migratory movements nocturnally when compared to daytime, which suggests a slight preference for nocturnal migration.

1.9.2.6 An Environment Agency report on salmonid fisheries statistics for England and Wales (Environment Agency, 2022) summarised Atlantic salmon rod catches within a 5-year period between 2017 to 2021 based on completed fisheries returns. Results illustrated that there were 5,815 Atlantic salmon caught in 2021, 11,566 caught in 2020 and 9,163 caught in 2019. Additionally, the 5-year mean number of Atlantic salmon caught (2017 to 2021) was found to be 9,580. These results further illustrate a -50.7% change from 2020 to 2021 Atlantic salmon rod catches and a -29.3% change from the 5-year mean (Environment Agency, 2022).

1.9.2.7 Atlantic salmon net catches in England and Wales reported 592 caught during 2021, 900 Atlantic salmon caught during 2020, 453 caught during 2019, and 9,580 caught in the 5-year mean (2017 to 2021). This accounted for a -34% change from 2020 to 2021 and a very significant -93% change from the 5-year mean (2017 to 2021, when catches typically exceeded averaged 9,580) to 2020 (Environment Agency, 2022). Since 1993, when released Atlantic salmon started being recorded, a continuous increase in released rate was observed with almost all fish released in 2021 (95% Atlantic salmon released, 87% for 5-year average 2016 to 2020) (Environment Agency, 2022).

1.9.2.8 Data analysed from multiple acoustic telemetry studies along the west coast of England has illustrated that Atlantic salmon smolts have been evidenced to use a northward migration pathway through the Irish Sea to reach feeding grounds (Green *et al*., 2022).

1.9.2.9 Atlantic salmon is subject to many pressures in Europe, including pollution, the introduction of non-native salmon stocks, physical barriers to migration, exploitation from netting and angling, physical degradation of spawning and nursery habitat and increased marine mortality (Cefas, 2019).

### 1.9.3 Sea Trout

1.9.3.1 Sea trout are known to be found in rivers, streams, and lakes, often preferring cold and well oxygenated waters. Sea trout spawn in rivers and streams that have swift currents, which are usually characterised by the downward movement of water into gravel, favouring large streams and mountainous areas that have adequate cover resulting from submerged rocks, undercut banks, and overhanging vegetation (Fishbase, 2021b). While there is limited information regarding sea trout migration patterns identified from the Celtic Sea Trout Project (CSTP), the information available suggests preferences are primarily limited to inshore and local waters within the marine environment (Malcolm *et al*., 2010; CSTP, 2016). Findings illustrate that sea trout migrate to and from a number of rivers in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. Sea trout, like Atlantic salmon, are also known to be a host species for freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera*, see section 1.9.7 for additional detail on the freshwater pearl mussel.

1.9.3.2 Wales is widely acclaimed for the quality of its sea trout fisheries due to the larger than average weight of individual fish, numerical abundance, and innate potential to reach weights in excess of 5 kg (CSTP, 2016).

1.9.3.3 An Environment Agency report on salmonid fisheries statistics for England and Wales (Environment Agency, 2022) summarised sea trout rod catches within a 5-year period between 2017 to 2021 based on completed fisheries returns. Results illustrated that there were 12,533 sea trout caught in 2021, 19,277 caught in 2020 and 21,330 caught in 2019. Additionally, the 5-year mean number of sea trout caught (2017 to 2021) was found to be 17,777. These results further illustrate a -35% change from 2020 to 2021 sea trout rod catches and a -29.5% change from the 5-year mean (Environment Agency, 2022).

1.9.3.4 Sea trout net catches in England and Wales reported 5,482 caught during 2021, 12,703 caught during 2020, 14,599 caught during 2019 and 18,729 caught in the 5-year mean (2017 to 2021), with catches consistently decreasing annually from 36,778 in 2017 to 5,482 in 2021). This accounted for a -56% change from 2020 to 2021 and a -71% change from the 5-year mean (2017 to 2021) (Environment Agency, 2022).

### 1.9.4 European Eel

1.9.4.1 European eels are classified as critically endangered (Pike *et al.*, 2020) and inhabit various benthic habitats that range from streams, shores, rivers, lakes, and ultimately migrate to the Sargasso Sea to spawn. European eel larvae are brought to European waters by the Gulf Stream and transform into glass eel, followed by elvers which migrate up estuaries around the English, Welsh, and Irish coasts, colonising rivers and lakes. When the European eel reaches sexual maturity, the species leaves the river and migrates to sea, covering vast distances during their spawning migration (Fishbase 2021c). It is a possibility that European eel will pass through the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project and therefore, given their critically endangered status, will be considered as an IEF.

### 1.9.5 Sea Lamprey

1.9.5.1 The sea lamprey is a primitive, jawless fish that resembles an eel. It is the largest of the lamprey species found within the UK and occurs in estuaries and accessible rivers, and is an anadromous species that spawns in freshwater environments but completes its lifecycle in the sea (JNCC, 2021a). Similar to the other species of lamprey found within UK waters, sea lamprey require clean gravel for spawning, and marginal silt or sand is utilised by burrowing juveniles (ammocoetes). Sea lamprey are known to spend most of their adult life at sea and are parasitic on other fish species and marine fauna. Sea lamprey (and river lamprey) have both been recorded in the Dee estuary and in fish traps on the River Dee, near Chester Weir (Mona Offshore Wind Ltd:

Consultation Report submitted with the application – Document Reference E.5). It is a possibility that sea lamprey will be present in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project and therefore will be considered as an IEF.

### 1.9.6 River Lamprey

1.9.6.1 The river lamprey is found in coastal waters, estuaries and accessible rivers, but some populations are permanent freshwater residents, however the species is normally anadromous (i.e. spawning in freshwater but completing part of its life cycle in the sea) (JNCC, 2021b). River lamprey live on hard bottoms or attached to larger fish like cod and herring due to their parasitic feeding behaviours, with spawning taking place in pre-excavated pits within riverbeds. Due to their preference for estuarine and nearshore coastal waters, such as the Dee Estuary SAC (see above for sea lamprey), it is unlikely that river lamprey will be encountered within the Mona Array Area, however they could occur near the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor as they can be found in the vicinity of estuarine environments.

### 1.9.7 Freshwater Pearl Mussel

1.9.7.1 The freshwater pearl mussel is an endangered species of freshwater mussel. Freshwater pearl mussels are similar in shape to common marine mussels but grow much larger and live far longer. They can grow as large as 20 cm and live for more than 100 years, making them one of the longest-lived invertebrates (Skinner *et al*., 2003). These mussels live on the beds of clean, fast flowing rivers, where they can be buried partly or wholly in coarse sand or fine gravel. Mussels have a complex life cycle, living on the gills of young Atlantic salmon or sea trout, for their first year, without causing harm to the fish (Skinner *et al*., 2003). Freshwater pearl mussel is fully protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and is also listed on Annexes II and V of the Habitats Directive and Appendix III of the Bern Convention. The conservation status of the species is reflected in its listing as Endangered on the IUCN Invertebrate Red List. While there is no potential for direct impacts on this species from the Mona Offshore Wind Project (as this is an entirely freshwater species), indirect impacts may occur due to effects on their host species (i.e. Atlantic salmon and sea trout) during their marine phase.

### 1.9.8 Allis and Twaite Shad

1.9.8.1 The allis and twaite shad are both members of the herring family and are difficult to distinguish between one another in the field (JNCC, 2021c; JNCC, 2021d). The habitat requirements of twaite shad are not decisively understood. On the River Usk and the River Wye, twaite shad are known to spawn at night in shallow areas near deeper pools, in which the species congregate. Their eggs are then released into the water column, sinking into the interstices between coarse gravel and cobble substrates (JNCC, 2021c). The allis shad also has poorly understood habitat requirements. It grows in coastal waters and estuaries, spending most of its adult phase in the marine environment, but migrates into rivers to spawn, swimming up to 800 km upstream in continental Europe. Both species have been heavily researched in their freshwater life phases which has subsequently resulted in scarce understanding of their spatial ecology during the species marine life-phases (Davies *et al*., 2020). Adult allis shad spawn at night with the eggs released into the current where they settle among gaps in gravelly substrates. Optimal spawning sites tend to be shallow gravelly areas adjacent to deep pools (JNCC, 2021d). Twaite shad have been recorded in fish trap data in the River Dee, although no evidence exists of a spawning population of this species in this area (Mona Offshore Wind Ltd: Consultation Report submitted with the application – Document Reference E.5).

### 1.9.9 Sparling (European Smelt)

1.9.9.1 Sparling or European smelt are known to inhabit estuaries and large lakes, spending much of their life in the estuarine zone, with short incursions into the littoral zone. Sparling have been evidenced to enter rivers to spawn on both sandy and gravelly substrates, predominantly in fast flowing waters of lake tributaries or shallow shores of lakes and rivers (Fishbase, 2021d). Due to their preference of inhabiting estuarine waters upon entering the marine environment, it is unlikely that sparling will be found within the Mona Array Area, however they could be encountered in vicinity of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, with potential populations noted in the River Ribble and River Wyre estuaries (Natural England, 2017). This species has also been recorded in the River Dee and also the River Conwy (Mona Offshore Wind Ltd: Consultation Report submitted with the application – Document Reference E.5).

## 1.10 Shellfish

1.10.1.0 Shellfish is a colloquial and fisheries term typically applied to exoskeleton or shell bearing aquatic invertebrates used as food, including various species of molluscs, crustaceans, and echinoderms; this term also applies to cephalopods despite most species within this group lacking an external shell. Shellfish communities contribute to the biodiversity of the benthic ecosystem and are an important link in the food chain, both as predators and prey. As described previously, there are a number of commercially important shellfish species within the fish and shellfish ecology study area. Edible crab, cockles *Cerastoderma edule*, *Nephrops*, king scallop and whelks are the most commonly occurring shellfish in the Irish Sea, with higher proportions of *Nephrops* and king scallops observed to the north (ICES, 2018a). Commercial landings data can be used as a proxy for identifying species present in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, which include *Nephrops*, edible crab, European lobster, velvet swimming crab, king scallop and squid.

1.10.1.1 The 2021 and 2022 site-specific survey results from grab/DDV samples within the Mona Offshore Wind Project provided incidental data on the presence of shellfish species, such as commercially important king and queen scallops, crabs, and razor clam species. However, the sampling methodology did not specifically target any shellfish species, and therefore any results should be regarded as opportunistic and only indicate presence or absence data of the relevant shellfish.

### 1.10.2 King and Queen Scallop

1.10.2.1 Both king scallop and queen scallop show a preference for areas of clean firm sand, fine or sandy gravel and may occasionally be found on muddy sand, often in high densities (MarLIN, 2022). While king scallop are generally found in sandy, gravelly substrates, they can additionally be found in coarser sediments. King scallop achieve reproductive maturity between 3 to 5 years of age, live upwards of 15 years, and are evidenced to be most abundant in depths of 20 m to 70 m (Cappell *et al*., 2018; Howarth and Stewart, 2014; Salomonsen *et al*., 2015). Queen scallop are known to have particularly important commercial grounds located around the Isle of Man and can be found in depths of up to 100 m and are specifically protected against unlicenced towed gear fishing under Isle of Man bylaws (SD 2018/0186 – Isle of Man Government, 2018). Similarly, king scallop are protected by a range of measures, such as the Isle of Man King Scallop Long-Term Management Plan 2021, which specified alterations to fishing rights and technical specifications of dredges and tow-bars to minimise damage where possible. A key physical difference between king and queen scallop is the queen scallop's two distinctive curved shells, while the king scallop's upper shell is predominantly flat and are typically larger overall. Queen scallop are known to be more highly mobile than king scallop, especially within the summer months when queen scallop are actively swimming (Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information).

1.10.2.2 King and queen scallop recruitment is generally understood to be unpredictable, due to the recruitment's dependency on larval production and spawning, in addition to the requirement for transportation of larvae to areas optimal for development (Delargy *et al*., 2019). Therefore, king and queen scallop fisheries in the UK are strictly regulated through the utilisation of gear restriction measures, minimum legal landing sizes, effort controls, and seasonal closures further described in Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement. Protected sites with designations for these scallop species have been identified in section 1.11.

1.10.2.3 Distribution of these species is invariably patchy (Carter, 2008; Marshal and Wilson, 2009; Duncan *et al*., 2016) but the areas with greatest abundance tend to be areas of little mud and with good current strength. In general, within the same sea basins, king scallop populations are well connected, although localised currents can lead to isolated populations that become dependent on self-recruitment (Hold et al., 2021). In English and Welsh waters, scallop spawn for the first time in the autumn of their second year, and subsequently spawn each year in the spring or autumn. Modelling has found that larvae travel on residual currents, dispersing up to 100 km away from spawning grounds within a five-week period, with the spawning grounds being most abundant in areas closed to bottom-gear fishing activity (Neill and Kaiser, 2008). After settlement, scallop grow until their first winter, during which growth usually ceases. Thereafter, growth resumes each spring and ceases each winter, causing a distinct ring to be formed on the external surface of the shell.

1.10.2.4 Scallop (both king and queen) were the most valuable wild-caught seafood landed in Wales in 2012. However, both their value and quantity of scallop within landings have decreased since 2012. Despite this decrease in associated value, scallop are economically important and as of 2017, were the third most valuable wild-caught seafood in Wales (Delargy *et al*., 2019). Similarly, king and queen scallops are the most important fisheries by sale values in Manx waters, around the Isle of Man (Murray *et al*., 2009; Duncan and Emmerson, 2018). However, since 2011, the stock assessment within the Manx waters indicates a decreasing trend of queen scallop biomass which is also illustrated by lower commercial landings (ICES, 2019c). In Northern Irish waters, catch per unit effort for king scallop has been decreasing from a peak between 2012 and 2014 and landings of queen scallop have dropped after a peak in 2011 which is in line with the continuous decrease of the estimated abundance of queen scallop (ICES, 2019c).

1.10.2.5 Generally, queen scallop are more mobile than king scallop, which influences the gear type used to target them, as discussed further in Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement.

1.10.2.6 King scallop have historically been targeted commercially through dredge fisheries within the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, with the majority of the activity concentrated throughout the Mona Array Area extending into the north section of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor and around the Isle of Man (Figure 1.34), as indicated from VMS data provided by local fisheries. This data, which indicated a wide distribution of this species was supported by surveys performed by the NIGFS, which confirmed the presence of king scallop in this same area at relatively stable population levels in the 2013 to 2021 survey period. Further details are provided within Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement.

1.10.2.7 While the value of landings has fluctuated over the last 10 years, high intensity queen scallop dredging is present along the west-most corner and through the middle of the Mona Array Area (Figure 1.35). Other areas around and within the Mona Offshore Wind Project and Mona Array Area are rarely fished as they are considered important spawning grounds for the overall stock. Specifically, these areas are located within the west and east portions of the Mona Array Area (Figure 1.35). Further consultation has been undertaken in autumn 2023 with relevant fishing industry stakeholders to support broader characterisation of areas of importance to queen scallop beyond the Mona Array Area boundaries; further information on the consultation conducted can be found within Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial Fisheries of the Environmental Statement. The NIGFS data indicates the presence of adult specimens of this species within the Mona Array Area and the broader fish and shellfish ecology study area in surveys from 2013 to 2022, with this presence supported by individuals of this species being present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project during the 2022 baseline characterisation survey (Gardline, 2023).

1.10.2.8 King scallop landings by weight within the fish and shellfish ecology study area were found to be greatest from November to May, with an overall landed weight range across these months ranging from 1,394 tonnes to 2,997 tonnes (Bloor, 2019; see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information). Previous research indicated significant spawning of this species around the Welsh coast, to the far south of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, in May to September, although this was significantly further south than the likely limits of Isle of Man stock (Salomonsen *et al*., 2015). The landed weight of king scallops illustrated relatively similar seasonal trends across the 2020 to 2021 period. Additionally, there is known to be limited dredging occurring from July to October, due to king scallop seasonal closures. Around the Isle of Man, king scallop fisheries are usually inshore and mostly undertaken using dredges (Beukers-Stewards *et al*., 2005).

1.10.2.9 Long term annual surveys in Isle of Man waters have shown a general increasing trend in the abundance of king scallop recruits between 1992 and 2007 and an overall decrease until 2021. Similarly, post-recruit densities have seen a general increase between 1992 to 2015 and a decrease until 2019. To note that for both recruits and post-recruits, an increase in abundance is visible in the latest surveyed years (Bloor and Jenkins, 2021). Similar trends have been observed during AFBI annual surveys in Northern Ireland where catch per unit effort increased between 1992 to 2014 and decreased after that (ICES, 2020). Densities of king scallop have fluctuated between 2019 and 2021 with about half the stations surveyed recording an increase in density and the other half a decrease. In 2021, the inshore waters east and south of the Isle of Man had the highest abundances of king scallop (Bloor and Jenkins, 2021). In Liverpool Bay, mean annual survey density indices for king scallop were stable but low between 2016 and 2018 (Delargy *et al*., 2019).

1.10.2.10 Queen scallop landings by weight within the fish and shellfish ecology study area were found to be greatest during the months of July, August and September. Landings across these months ranged from 6,721 tonnes to 8,999 tonnes and illustrated varying seasonal trends similar to that of the aforementioned king scallop, with an estimated density in the Isle of Man waters far northeast of the Mona Array Area of 1-11 individuals per 100 m$^2$ during peak landings period for the area (Bloor et al., 2019). A notable lack of queen scallop landings can be observed between April and June, resulting from seasonal fishing closures of the species within the east Irish Sea (Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information). In the Isle of Man's territorial sea, queen scallops are the target of two types of fisheries from Manx (Isle of Man) and UK vessels which are now managed by quotas since 2020, instead of total allowable catches. Queen scallop stocks are exploited primarily by otter trawls but also with a small decreasing number of vessels that use towed dredges (Bloor *et al*., 2019; Jenkins *et al*., 2021).

1.10.2.1 Annual scallop surveys around the Isle of Man have highlighted an overall stable trend in densities of queen scallop between 2019 and 2021 with only two stations, located in managed areas (i.e. either with restricted access or closed) southwest of the Isle of Man, that have recorded a large increase in abundances (Bloor and Jenkins, 2021). Over a larger timescale, recruit abundance is at a similar level to 1994 with showed important increases from 1999 until an annual decrease since 2009. Post-recruit densities had a similar trend with a decrease from 2011 to a level similar to that recorded between 1993 and 2007 (Bloor and Jenkins, 2021). These patterns are reflected in the 2015 to 2021 Annual Fisheries Science Reports (Bangor University, 2015-2021), with the recruit index being low compared to prior datasets, but consistent throughout the investigated period, with slight increases seen in 2021, although further data and surveying is required to determine if this upward trend in recruit index is significant in the long term. A 2022 assessment of queen scallop stocks surrounding the Isle of Man (Bloor *et al*., 2022) indicated increases in recruit and post-recruit individuals in scientific surveys, although a slight decrease in post-recruits was noted on the territorial sea scale by industry surveys.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, ICES

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 25 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 50 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-033-00

**Drawing Title:**
KING SCALLOP FISHING GROUNDS

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,800,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |

**Figure 1.34: Historical king scallop fishing grounds confirmed through north Irish, Irish, and UK vessel VMS data (adapted from ICES, 2020).**



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, ICES

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-034-00

**Drawing Title:**
MONA INDICATIVE QUEEN SCALLOP GROUNDS

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,550,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.35: Indicative queen scallop grounds as evidenced through stakeholder consultation and VMS data (Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information).**

### 1.10.3 European Lobster

1.10.3.1 The European lobster can be found throughout the British coasts on rocky substrata, down to depths of 60 m. European lobster are actively fished in areas within the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor as the species is generally caught close to the shore, predominantly by inshore vessels operating out of Anglesey (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information).

### 1.10.4 Edible Crab

1.10.4.1 Edible crab is a relatively long-lived species that are found on all coasts around Britain from the intertidal zone down to depths of 100 m, preferring seabed temperatures of 11 to 15 ºC in Welsh and Isle of Man waters (Jenkins, 2018). They live on rocky, gravelly substrates which they bury into. Following spawning there is a larval dispersal phase of around 30 to 50 days. Like European lobster, edible crab are actively fished in areas within the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor, as well as the Mona Array Area using potting gear (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information).

### 1.10.5 Velvet Swimming Crab

1.10.5.1 Velvet swimming crab can be found around the coast of Britain and are found on stony and rocky substrates intertidally and down to depths of 100 m (Howson and Picton, 1997). Velvet swimming crab are targeted by commercial fisheries with higher commercial values available in continental Europe and they are often caught alongside European lobster and edible crab (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information). Velvet swimming crab were recorded in historic surveys undertaken by other offshore wind projects in the vicinity on the Mona Array Area and therefore, are assumed to be present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project.

1.10.5.2 Independent baited static trap and pot fishery surveys conducted around the Isle of Man in the Irish Sea evidenced that velvet swimming crab were the dominant bycatch species in the bottom gear fisheries such a pots (Öndes, *et al*., 2018). Peak bycatch rates were found to occur in the spring months, declining into autumn and winter.

### 1.10.6 Squid

1.10.6.1 Squid species are reported to be found over sand and muddy bottoms (Wilson, 2006) and are broadly demersal in nature and therefore often captured as bycatch in demersal fisheries (Bellido *et al*., 2001), although squid of the Ommastrephidae family tend to be pelagic and therefore are rarely captured in demersal trawls. Research on squid indicates that they are probably batch spawners., however, this can vary dependant on species, with some species utilising hard substrate for spawning purposes (Guerra and Rocha, 1994). In Scottish waters, squid exhibit a distinct seasonal migration pattern, travelling up to 500 km from the west coast of Scotland to the east coast in the winter months (Hastie *et al*., 2009). Squid are targeted by commercial fisheries, although main areas of fishing activity are concentrated within coastal waters and only overlap the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information).

## 1.10.7 Whelk

1.10.7.1 The common whelk is an epibenthic mobile gastropod, inhabiting muddy sand, sand and mixed sediments from depths of 0 m to 50 m. This species is widely distributed from Iceland in the north to the Bay of Biscay, including throughout the Irish Sea and on all Irish and British coasts. Stocks are likely to be locally discrete due to the absence of a pelagic larval phase and therefore whelk in the Irish Sea comprises a number of populations with limited connectivity. The region to the northeast of the Mona Array Area is regularly assessed for whelk populations, with 37 scientific pots in 2017 finding individuals with an average shell length of 70 mm, well over the 45 mm minimum conservation reference size (Emmerson *et* al., 2017), with densities recorded of up to 2.68 (± 1.10) individuals/$m^2$ (Robinson, 2015). Potting for whelk is common across the Mona Offshore Wind Project and has expanded over the last two decades. Whelk are landed year-round, and vessels are known to operate across the Mona Array Area and Mona Offshore Cable Corridor. Whelk operators are known to operate out of both English and Welsh ports in proximity to the Mona Offshore Wind Project (see Volume 6, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report of the Environmental Statement additional information).

## 1.10.8 Nephrops

1.10.8.1 *Nephrops*, known variously as the Norway lobster, Dublin Bay prawn, langoustine or scampi, is a slim, orange pink lobster which grows up to 25 cm long, and is considered to be the most commercially important crustacean in Europe (Bell *et al*., 2006). *Nephrops* are exploited throughout their geographic range, from Icelandic waters to the Mediterranean and the Moroccan coast (ICES, 2019d).

1.10.8.2 *Nephrops* are opportunistic predators, primarily feeding on crustaceans, molluscs and polychaete worms. The species grows incrementally, by moulting their hardened exoskeleton and forming a larger, new one (North Western IFCA, 2022). They inhabit muddy seabed sediments and show a strong preference for sediments with more than 40% silt and clay (Bell *et al.,* 2006), which tends to limit their potential distribution. They build and spend significant amounts of time in semi-permanent burrows which vary in structure and size but typically range from 20 cm to 30 cm in depth (Dybern and Hoisaeter, 1965). Due to strong habitat preferences, distribution patterns of *Nephrops* are determined by the presence of suitable habitats, with higher abundances found on more favourable substrates.

1.10.8.3 Female *Nephrops* usually mature at three years of age and reproduce each year thereafter. After mating in early summer, *Nephrops* spawn in September, and carry eggs under their tails (described as being ‘berried’) until they hatch in April or May. The larvae develop in the plankton before settling to the seabed 6 to 8 weeks later (Coull *et al*., 1998). Unspecified intensity nursery and spawning grounds for *Nephrops* are predominantly located to the north of the Mona Array Area, extending west towards the Isle of Man and north towards Northern Ireland (Figure 1.22).

1.10.8.4 *Nephrops* has been consistently recorded across the Walney offshore wind project with the highest number of individuals (3,296) in a single otter trawl recorded in 2009. Otter trawl surveys for the Walney offshore wind project post-construction monitoring recorded Nephrops as the most abundant shellfish species and subsequently, Nephrops was identified as a species of key commercial importance in the area (Brown and May Marine Ltd., 2013a). Additionally, Nephrops were found to be important to the trawl fishery near the Cumbria coast (Walmsley and Pawson, 2007).

1.10.8.5 As previously discussed, *Nephrops* display a strong preference for muddy sediments (silt and clay), therefore the majority of the Mona Offshore Wind Project area is considered unsuitable for *Nephrops* as sand, gravels, and coarser sediments with shell fragments dominate the Mona Array Area, and broadscale substrate data by EMODnet indicates these substrata extend along the majority of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (Gardline, 2023).

1.10.8.6 Incidental observations were made of *Nephrops* from DDV surveys and combined grab and DDV sampling conducted within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. Environmental sampling was undertaken at 97 locations within the Mona Array Area and surrounding local area. *Nephrops* were encountered through DDV survey analysis at one location in the southwest of the Mona Array Area. This area was denoted as having gravelly, muddy sand according to survey data (see Volume 6, Annex 2.1: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology technical report of the Environmental Statement for additional information).

1.10.8.7 The location of *Nephrops* identified through site-specific surveys, correlated strongly with results of the biotope mapping, with all recordings of *Nephrops* through DDV deployments occurring within areas found to have gravelly muddy sands. *Nephrops* abundances were found to be very low and the biotope they are typically associated with (sea pen and burrowing megafauna communities) was not found to be present across the Mona Array Area (Volume 6, Annex 2.1: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology technical report of the Environmental Statement). As such, the Mona Array Area is unlikely to be important for this species. Further site-specific survey data was collected along the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor in 2022, and no incidental recordings of the presence of *Nephrops* were recorded across 60 stations which were examined using DDV techniques across the Mona Array Area, wider ZoI and Mona Offshore Cable Corridor (Gardline, 2023). This absence along the Offshore Cable Corridor can likely be attributed to high gravel content at the investigated stations, which is not a preferred habitat for *Nephrops* burrowing behaviour.

### 1.10.9 Common Cockle

1.10.9.1 The common cockle is a small edible bivalve mollusc reaching shell lengths of up to 5 cm (Tyler-Walters, 2007). This infaunal species is widely distributed around the coasts of the UK and Ireland and is known to burrow to approximately 5 cm depth in areas of clean sand, muddy sand, mud of muddy gravel (Tyler-Walters, 2007). Cockle are commonly recorded in estuaries and on sandy beaches, occurring most often intertidally, but sometimes extending into the subtidal zone (Tyler-Walters, 2007). Cockle feed via active suspension, through creating feeding currents to bring food towards their feeding structures (Tyler-Walters, 2007). This species is commercially fished in the fish and shellfish ecology study area, with defined Bivalve Mollusc Production Areas located within the mouth of the Dee Estuary, Liverpool Bay, Lune, Menai Strait (East), Morecambe Bay and Ribble (Cefas, 2022).

1.10.9.2 Cockle mature at approximately 18 months of age and can live up to approximately 10 years (although two to four years is more usual), spawning between March and August annually (Newell and Bayne, 1980; Tyler-Walters, 2007). During spawning they release over one million eggs, with larvae entering the plankton and settling out between approximately May and September (Tyler-Walters, 2007). Settlement and recruitment are key drivers influencing population dynamics for cockle, although dynamics are known to vary based on factors such as adult density and predation (Montaudouin and Bachelet, 1996). Cockle are an important prey species for fish and wading birds.

### 1.10.10 Mussel

1.10.10.1 Common or blue mussel can be easily confused with the Mediterranean mussel *M.galloprovincialis* which often co-occur, and the two species are known to hybridise (Tyler-Walters, 2008). Mussel are common around the coasts of the UK with defined Bivalve Mollusc Production Areas located to the east of Anglesey, Conwy, the Dee Estuary, Lune, east of the Menai Strait, Morecambe Bay and Ribble (Tyler-Walters, 2008; Cefas, 2022). They occur in both the intertidal and subtidal zones and attach to one-another and suitable substrata via byssus threads (Tyler-Walters, 2008). Suitable substrates are highly variable and include anthropogenic structures, bedrock, boulders, mixed sediments, muddy sand/sandy mud and muddy gravel, coupled with a wide range of wave exposure preferences (very sheltered to very exposed; Holt *et al.,* 1998; Tyler-Walters, 2008).

1.10.10.2 Mussels typically mature at one to two years of age (although can spawn in their first year), with spawning typically peaking in spring and summer and females releasing between seven million to 40 million eggs during a spawning event, depending upon the size and condition of the individual (Holt *et al.,* 1998; Tyler-Walters, 2008). The planktonic larval phase can extend between one and six months depending upon the availability of suitable substrata and environmental conditions (Holt *et al.,* 1998). Population dynamics are influenced by predation, amongst other factors, with mussels a key prey species for organisms such as flatfish, starfish (e.g. *Asterias rubens*), crustaceans and birds (Holt *et al.*, 1998). In optimal areas, mussels can form extensive, dense beds which function as a biogenic reef feature (Holt *et al.*, 1998).

## 1.11 Designated sites

### 1.11.1 Overview

1.11.1.1 There are a number of sites of nature conservation importance, which are designated for relevant fish and shellfish features within the fish and shellfish ecology study area. Designated sites with relevant fish and shellfish qualifying features and which occur within the fish and shellfish ecology study area are described in Table 1.8, and the locations of the SACs, Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs) and Marine Nature Reserves (MNRs) are illustrated in Figure 1.36.

1.11.1.2 Note that features such as Ocean quahog *Arctica islandica*, dog whelk *Nucella lapillus,* horse mussel *Modiolus modiolus* beds, spiny scallop *Chlamys hastata,* blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* beds and flame shell *Limaria hians,* which are features of interest of some MNRs, are considered benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology features and are therefore characterised in Volume 6, Annex 2.1: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology technical report of the Environmental Statement and assessed within Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology of the Environmental Statement. These species are not considered further within the fish and shellfish ecology technical report of the Environmental Statement.

1.11.1.3 While brook lamprey is listed as a qualifying feature of some of the identified designated sites, it is not considered further, as it is a wholly freshwater species.

## Table 1.8: Summary of designated sites within the fish and shellfish ecology study area and qualifying fish and shellfish species interest features.

| Designated Site | Closest Distance from the Mona Offshore Wind Project (km) | Relevant Features of Interest |
|---|---|---|
| Dee Estuary SAC/Aber Dyfrdwy SAC | 34.51 | • Sea lamprey<br>• River lamprey |
| Little Ness MNR | 40.66 | • Basking shark<br>• European eel<br>• Scallops*<br>• Whelk |
| Langness MNR | 40.94 | • Basking shark<br>• Lobster nursery |
| Douglas Bay MNR | 42.66 | • European eel<br>• Scallops*<br>• Whelk |
| Laxey Bay MNR | 44.4 | • Atlantic salmon<br>• European eel<br>• Sea trout<br>• Scallops*<br>• Whelk |
| Ribble Estuary MCZ | 48.39 | • Sparling |
| Baie ny Carrickey MNR | 49.94 | • Basking shark |
| Ramsey Bay MNR | 51.95 | • European eel<br>• Seabass nursery<br>• Scallops*<br>• Whelk |
| Wyre Lune MCZ | 52.61 | • Sparling |
| Calf of Man and Wart Bank MNR | 53.26 | • Basking shark<br>• Sandeel* |
| Port Erin Bay MNR | 56.60 | • Basking shark<br>• Plaice nursery |
| Niarbyl Bay MNR | 57.46 | • Basking shark |
| River Dee and Bala Lake/Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid SAC | 59.13 | • Sea lamprey<br>• River lamprey<br>• Atlantic salmon<br>• Brook lamprey |

| Designated Site | Closest Distance from the Mona Offshore Wind Project (km) | Relevant Features of Interest |
|---|---|---|
| West Coast MNR | 60.71 | European eel<br>Basking shark<br>Sandeel*<br>Seabass nursery<br>Scallops*<br>Whelk |
| River Ehen SAC | 83.01 | Atlantic salmon<br>Freshwater pearl mussel |
| River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC | 95.06 | Sea lamprey<br>River lamprey<br>Atlantic salmon<br>Brook lamprey |
| Solway Firth SAC | 109.46 | Sea lamprey<br>River lamprey |
| Solway Firth MCZ | 122.71 | Sparling |

* Note that references to scallops within Table 1.8 are collectively to the species within the family Pectinidae, and do not reflect a specific genus or species within this group. References within the same table to sandeel refer to the family Ammodytidae and do not reflect a specific genus or species within this group.

### 1.11.2 Solway Firth SAC

1.11.2.1 The Solway Firth SAC is a large, complex estuary on the west coast of Britain. It is known to be one of the least industrialised, yet largest and most natural estuaries in Europe (JNCC, 2014). The sediment habitats are predominantly comprised of dynamic sandflats and subtidal sediment banks that are separated by river channels that continually change their patterns of erosion and accretion (JNCC, 2014).

1.11.2.2 Additionally, the Solway Firth SAC is representative of sublittoral sandbanks on the coast of northwest England, where they are predominantly comprised of gravelly, clean sands. Dominant species of infaunal communities include annelid worms, crustaceans, molluscs and echinoderms (JNCC, 2014).

1.11.2.3 The conservation objectives for the Solway Firth SAC are to maintain favourable conservation conditions for each of the Annex I habitats and Annex II species that are designated features of the site. The sea lamprey and river lamprey within the Solway Firth SAC are provided migratory passage to and from spawning and nursery grounds in a number of rivers, including the Eden (JNCC, 2014).

### 1.11.3 Dee Estuary SAC/Aber Dyfrdwy SAC

1.11.3.1 The Dee Estuary/Aber Dyfrdwy SAC comprises both the Dee Estuary SPA and Aber Dyfrdwy SAC. The area lies on the boundary between England and Wales, and the estuary itself is large, sheltered, and funnel shaped, supporting extensive areas of intertidal sandflats, mudflats, and saltmarsh (NRW, 2018; MMO, 2019).

1.11.3.2 The Dee Estuary is one of the largest estuaries in the UK, with an area of over 140 km$^2$. The Dee Estuary is hyper-tidal with a mean spring tidal range of 7.7 m at the mouth. The estuary historically stretched as far inland as Chester and its form has been modified considerably over the past 300 years as a direct result of human intervention. The intertidal area is currently dominated by mudflats and sandflats with the remainder being largely saltmarsh. At low water spring tides, over 90% of the estuary dries out. The extensive intertidal flats of the Dee Estuary form the fifth largest such area within an estuary in the UK (NRW, 2018).

1.11.3.3 The Dee Estuary SAC/Aber Dyfrdwy SAC has been designated as a SAC due to supporting a significant presence of both sea and river lamprey (MMO, 2019). Freshwater populations of river lamprey were found to be favourable while the associated marine habitat was denoted unfavourable. The activities that were found to directly impact the condition of the river lamprey feature at this site were found to be water quality issues (NRW, 2018). Regarding sea lamprey data, both the freshwater population and marine habitat were found to be unfavourable; similarly, water quality issues were found to have a direct impact upon this qualifying feature (NRW, 2021).

### 1.11.4 River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC

1.11.4.1 The River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC is a large water body with an extensive catchment area subject to rapid through-flows of water and nutrients. The SAC is a designated site due to the Annex II species present, which include sea lamprey, brook lamprey, river lamprey, and Atlantic salmon (JNCC, 2015).

1.11.4.2 Furthermore, the River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC has extensive occurrences of gravels and silts in the lower to middle reaches of the river which subsequently results in the ability for the SAC to support a large population of sea lamprey. The SAC also has features that are known to provide necessary conditions for both spawning and nursery areas (extensive gravel shoals, good water quality, and areas of marginal silt) of brook lamprey.

1.11.4.3 Additionally, the Derwent is utilised by river lamprey and is considered an oligotrophic lake in northwest England. River lamprey are known to occur within this area as the river holds features that provide necessary conditions for spawning and nursery areas, which are comprised of good water quality, extensive gravel shoals, and areas of marginal silt (JNCC, 2015).

1.11.4.4 Atlantic salmon is also represented within the River Derwent with populations that take advantage of the surrounding water quality and presence of extensive gravel shoals which help to create a particularly suitable river for breeding which subsequently enables the river to support a larger population of this species (JNCC, 2015).

### 1.11.5 River Dee and Bala Lake/Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid SAC

1.11.5.1 The River Dee and Bala Lake SAC crosses the border between England and Wales. The River Dee has its source in Snowdonia at the outflow of Llyn Tegid and it includes the Ceiriog, Meloch, Tryweryn and Mynach tributaries. Its catchment contains a wide spectrum of landscape from high mountains around Bala, rugged peaks near Llangollen, steep sided wooded valleys and the plains of Cheshire, Flintshire, north Shropshire and Wrexham. There is a tidal influence as far upstream as Farndon and high tides regularly exceed the Chester weir crest level (Natural England, 2019a).

1.11.5.2 The River Dee is recognised as one of North Wales’s premier rivers for Atlantic salmon. The Mynach, Meloch and Ceiriog tributaries are the most important Atlantic salmon spawning tributaries in the Dee catchment. Other diadromous fish utilising the river system include river lamprey and sea lamprey. At least one record of twaite shad has been noted (Mona Offshore Wind Ltd: Consultation Report submitted with the application – Document Reference E.5), although there is no evidence of a spawning population of this species in this designated site. The Dee also supports important populations of non-migratory fish including brook lamprey (Natural England, 2019a).

1.11.5.3 The SAC is underpinned by two Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) divided by the national boundary; the Afon Dyfrdwy (River Dee) SSSI and the River Dee (England) SSSI. The Welsh SSSI includes the upper part of the main stem Dee, Afon Mynach, Afon Meloch, Afon Tryweryn and the upper part of the River Ceiriog (except the headwaters). The English SSSI includes the lower part of the main stem Dee and the lower part of the River Ceiriog (Natural England, 2019a).

1.11.5.4 The River Dee and Bala Lake SAC has received designation status due to the Atlantic salmon, which is an Annex II species and was the primary reason for the site selection. Additionally, Brook lamprey, sea lamprey, and river lamprey are Annex II species which are qualifying features, however, not the primary reason behind the site selection of this specific SAC (JNCC, 2022).

### 1.11.6 River Ehen SAC

1.11.6.1 The River Ehen SAC is an oligotrophic river located in west Cumbria. The designated stretch of the river, between Ennerdale Water and the confluence with the River Keekle at Cleator Moor, meanders across a narrow floodplain with areas of riparian woodland and trees.

1.11.6.2 This site supports England’s largest population of the freshwater pearl mussel which is listed on the IUCN Red List of Protected Species as critically endangered in Europe. Atlantic Salmon whilst designated in its own right as a feature of this site, is an important host for the larvae (glochidia) of freshwater pearl mussel. Glochidia attach to juvenile Atlantic salmon in late summer and over-winter in the fish’s gills. Juvenile mussels drop-off of their fish host in spring where they burrow in to the river gravels, where they remain for several years. This buried stage within the life cycle is particularly susceptible to changes in river flow regime, siltation, excess algal biomass and eutrophication. The river has shown some juvenile mussel recruitment within the last 20 years, but not at levels capable of sustaining the population (Natural England, 2019b).

1.11.6.3 The River Ehen SAC is designated due to its Annex II qualifying species, the freshwater pearl mussel and Atlantic salmon. The River Ehen supports the largest freshwater pearl mussel population in England. The freshwater pearl mussel grows to around 150 mm in length and can live to be over 130 years old (Bauer, 1992; Skinner *et al*., 2003). Freshwater pearl mussel requires clean, fast flowing, highly oxygenated rivers and burrows into sand/gravel substrates, often between boulders and pebbles (Geist and Auerswald, 2007).

1.11.6.4 The mussel requires a salmonid fish host for its larval (glochidial) stage; it is thought that the appropriate host fish in the Ehen is Atlantic salmon. As this species does not reach reproductive maturity until at least 12 years old and may live for over 130 years (Bauer 1992), population age-structure is vitally important when assessing viability. The presence of juveniles (a feature essential to the long-term sustainability of mussel populations) is a clear indicator of the structural and functional features of the habitat required for the survival and reproduction of the species (Natural England, 2019b).

1.11.6.5 Exceptionally high densities (greater than 100 $m^2$) are found at some locations, with population estimates for the entire river exceeding 500,000. The conservation importance of the site is further enhanced by the presence of juvenile pearl mussels, indicating recruitment in recent years. Worryingly, juvenile recruitment over the past decade has been poor indicating unsustainable pressures on the population which could lead to its extinction within a lifetime (Natural England, 2019b).

1.11.6.6 In the River Ehen SAC, the population has declined because of factors such as habitat modification and associated impacts on natural flow regimes, pollution, nutrient enrichment, aggravated erosion of riverbanks and declining salmonid stocks. The freshwater pearl mussel is classified critically endangered across Europe (Cuttelod *et al.*, 2011) and in the UK it is protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).

1.11.6.7 Additionally, the River Ehen holds a significant population of Atlantic salmon, and the Environment Agency classifies the population as “probably at risk” based on the 2017 assessment and was predicted to remain in that status over the following five years. October through to the end of January is the key time for Atlantic salmon migration into the River Ehen SAC.

### 1.11.7 Solway Firth MCZ

1.11.7.1 The Solway Firth MCZ is located on the west coast of Britain, in Cumbria, within the Solway Firth estuary. The MCZ covers 45 $km^2$ within this estuary and is designated specifically for the protection of sparling or smelt, with the goal of this management being to recover the population traversing the estuary for spawning behaviour to favourable condition (DEFRA, 2019a). Historically, sparling were abundant in this environment (Lyle and Maitland, 1997), but overfishing and pollution pressures are believed to have caused a significant localised decline in population (Maitland and Lyle, 1996), although this is not replicated at a wider scale, with currently sparling being a species of Least Concern on the IUCN Red List.

### 1.11.8 Wyre Lune MCZ

1.11.8.1 The Wyre Lune MCZ is located on the west coast of Britain, in Lancashire, in the south part of Morecambe Bay. The MCZ covers 92 $km^2$ and is designated for the protection of sparling, with the management goal of returning the population to a favourable condition (DEFRA, 2019b). Data on local sparling populations exist from 1963 in the Lune River, and 1981 in the Wyre River, with the Environment Agency taking responsibility for data collection from 2004, recording 21 sparling datasets in the 2004 to 2014 region, suggesting regular usage of the site as a spawning ground, usually in the February to March period (Natural England, 2017).

### 1.11.9 Ribble Estuary MCZ

1.11.9.1 The Ribble Estuary MCZ is located on the west coast of Britain, on the northwest coast of England, near Preston and Blackpool. The MCZ covers 15 $km^2$ and is designated for the protection of sparling, with the management goal of returning the population to a favourable condition (DEFRA, 2019c). Sparling congregate in the lower estuary in early spring, when water temperatures are approximately 5 to 6 $^oC$, before transitioning to the river freshwater habitats upstream for spawning upstream in the east of the main river channel, and approximately halfway upstream of the river’s south tributary. Further data on more exact spawning locations and population numbers are being collected in an ongoing and recurring sparling study by the Ribble Rivers Trust, but with no data yet published.

### 1.11.10 Ramsey Bay MNR

1.11.10.1 Ramsey Bay MNR is located on the northeast cost of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 94.4 km$^2$ and is designated for the protection of horse mussel *Modiolus modiolus* reefs[2] (Gell *et al*., 2014), with at least five separate areas of high biodiversity reef habitats located in a designated area of 13.9 km$^2$ in the north of the MNR (Kennington, 2011). Otherwise, the site is designated for protection of eelgrass, which is understood to be an important nursery habitat for commercially important fish and shellfish species such as scallop or blue mussels *Mytilus edulis* (Heck *et al*., 1995), and is described as an inshore nursery area for sea bass (Isle of Man Government, 2019a). Overall biomass estimates for fish and shellfish populations in a 2017 dredge survey show a 10% decrease from the 2011 survey (Jenkins, 2018).

### 1.11.11 Laxey Bay MNR

1.11.11.1 Laxey Bay MNR is located on the east coast of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 3.97 km$^2$ and is designated for the presence of Iceland clams *Arctica islandica*, which is listed as an OSPAR (Oslo-Paris Convention) regionally threatened/ declining species, and thus the site has been closed to bottom-towed scallop dredging activities (Hanley *et al*., 2013). The MNR also provides protection for the Annex II protected European eel; and Atlantic salmon and sea trout in their anadromous spawning migrations (Isle of Man Government, 2009). The maerl (coralline algae) beds present within this MNR provide nursery and refuge for juvenile queen scallop and whelk, with whelk listed as an important species within the MNR (Isle of Man Government, 2019b).

### 1.11.12 Douglas Bay MNR

1.11.12.1 Douglas Bay MNR is located on the southeast coast of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 4.64 km$^2$ and is designated to protect king and queen scallop populations, with the Sea Fisheries (Douglas Bay Closed Area) Byelaws 2008 prohibiting the use of towed gear in the area. In the south portion of the MNR, a dense and highly diverse horse mussel bed was discovered (Hanley *et al*., 2013), with a bed coverage of approximately 0.22 km$^2$ present, with up to 240 individuals per m$^2$ noted (Perry and Roriston, 2009). An annual closure to protect spawning herring populations is also active within and extending east from this MNR. Further, the maerl beds present within this MNR provide nursery and refuge for juvenile queen scallop and whelk (Isle of Man Government, 2019c).

### 1.11.13 Little Ness MNR

1.11.13.1 Little Ness MNR is located on the west coast of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 10.15 km$^2$ and is designated for the presence of diverse horse mussel beds, with up to 296 individual species associated with the beds within this MNR (Isle of Man, 2019d), through specific seabed habitat surveys conducted in 2010 (Hinz *et*

---

[2] Note: Mussel reefs (both horse mussels and blue mussels) and ocean quahog are, for the purposes of the PEIR, considered under the benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology topic and are therefore discussed in detail in volume 6, annex 7.1: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology technical report of the PEIR.

*al*., 2010). The MNR also acts as a nursery and protected transition ground for the European eels during their spawning period (Howe *et al.*, 2018). The maerl beds present within this MNR provide nursery and refuge for juvenile queen scallop and whelk, and basking shark are occasionally sighted between May and September, although less frequently than at those sites situated on the west coast of the Isle of Man (Isle of Man Government, 2019d).

### 1.11.14 Baie ny Carrickey MNR

1.11.14.1 Baie ny Carrickey MNR is located on the southwest of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 11.37 km$^2$ and is designated primarily for seabird protection, but also acts as an area in which basking sharks feed, as well as being closed to mobile fishing gear to prevent damage to the habitats and crustacean stock (Thomas *et al*., 2018; Isle of Man Government, 2019e).

### 1.11.15 Langness MNR

1.11.15.1 Langness MNR is located to the southeast of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 88.67 km$^2$ and is designated for marine mammal protection, but acts as a feeding ground for basking shark, and a habitat for ocean quahog. A bed of *Zostera marina* is also present from Langness Gully to the southwest corner of Ramsey Bay, Garwick and Gansey Point (Howe *et al*., 2018; Thomas *et al*., 2018). A series of sea caves are a listed feature of this MNR, comprising two main circular chambers with some roof openings; in areas with roof openings kelp and other seaweeds can be found (Isle of Man Government, 2019f). The sea caves are considered an important nursery area for European lobster (Isle of Man Government, 2019f).

### 1.11.16 Niarbyl Bay MNR

1.11.16.1 Niarbyl Bay MNR is located to the west of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 5.66 km$^2$ and is designated for protection of feeding basking shark and king scallop (Isle of Man Government, 2019g). Specifically, the designation helps with the protection of relatively low-density king scallop populations in the gravelly sediment to the south of the MNR, specifically up to a density of 4 per 100 m$^2$, with individuals measuring from 24 to 186 mm in length and 54% of individuals being above the minimum landing size of 110 mm (Garratt *et al*., 2022a).

### 1.11.17 Port Erin MNR

1.11.17.1 Port Erin MNR is located to the southwest of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 4.34 km$^2$ and is designated for the presence of feeding basking shark, ocean quahog and flame shells; the area is also listed to support a nursery ground for plaice (Isle of Man Government, 2019h). Benthic surveying of this area indicated the widespread presence of king scallops, with 777 individuals noted throughout the surveys area, providing a density of up to 27 individuals per 100 m$^2$ (Garratt *et al*., 2022b).

### 1.11.18 Calf of Man and Wart Bank MNR

1.11.18.1 Calf of Man and Wart Bank MNR is located to the southwest of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 20.15 km$^2$ and is designated for primarily the protection of birds and marine mammals, but also basking shark and sandeel species (Isle of Man Government, 2019i). These are protected by prohibition of use of mobile fishing gear, seabed extraction and any other activities which might damage important habitats relevant to fish and shellfish populations, such as kelp forests (Thomas *et al*., 2018).

### 1.11.19 West Coast MNR

1.11.19.1 West Coast MNR is located to the west of the Isle of Man, in the Irish Sea. The MNR covers 184.82 km$^2$ and is designated for basking shark, bass and blue mussel (Isle of Man Government, 2019j). Bass have been conserved with specific measures in this site since 2016 (Thomas *et al*., 2018) due to the use of this MNR and other nearby sites around the Isle of Man as nursery habitats.



**Service Layer Credits:** Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**Data Sources:** Client, JNCC

**Project Name:**
MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 nm
0 5 10 20 30 40 km

**Drawing Number:**
RPSE-MN-ES-FAS-035-00

**Drawing Title:**
DESIGNATED SITES

**Geodetic Information:**
Datum: ETRS 1989
Projection: ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N
Scale@160mmx220mm: 1:1,500,000

| VER | DATE | DETAILS | BY | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 00 | 18/07/23 | First Issue | NA | HM |
| | | | | |

**Figure 1.36: Designated sites with relevant fish and shellfish features in proximity to the Mona Offshore Wind Project.**

## 1.12 Summary

1.12.1.0 The following sections provide a summary of the fish and shellfish baseline characterisation and detail the IEFs to be considered in the EIA, as informed by the baseline characterisation.

### 1.12.1 Baseline

1.12.1.1 The fish assemblages within the Mona Offshore Wind Project are typical of the east Irish Sea. This is confirmed through site-specific survey and baseline data available from other offshore wind projects in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, with a mix of both demersal and pelagic species. There are known spawning and nursery grounds for nine fish and shellfish species (cod, herring, lemon sole, mackerel, *Nephrops*, plaice, sandeel, sole and whiting) along with four elasmobranchs (spotted ray, spurdog, thornback ray and tope). Herring spawning grounds were further investigated, the results showing that there is low potential for spawning activity in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, and the majority of herring spawning occurs to the north and northwest of the site. Site-specific PSA data supports very low proportions of the Mona Offshore Wind Project being suitable for herring spawning activity. Habitat suitability for sandeel was assessed, with the majority of the Mona Offshore Wind Project considered marginal and unsuitable habitat, with limited sparse areas of preferred (prime and sub-prime) habitat.

1.12.1.2 Eight species of diadromous fish were identified as having the potential to be present within and in proximity to the fish and shellfish ecology study area: Atlantic salmon, sea trout, sea lamprey, river lamprey, European eel, allis and twaite shad and sparling. Five SACs designated for diadromous fish species (Solway Firth SAC, Aber Dyfrdwy SAC, River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC, River Dee and Bala Lake/Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid SAC and River Ehen SAC) are present between 34.51 to 110 km from the Mona Offshore Wind Project, within the fish and shellfish ecology study area.

1.12.1.3 Shellfish known to occur in the fish and shellfish ecology study area and therefore with potential to occur within the Mona Offshore Wind Project boundaries include *Nephrops*, European lobster, edible crab, velvet swimming crab, squid, whelk, king scallop and queen scallop, which are targeted by commercial fisheries in the locality.

1.12.1.4 Basking sharks migrate through the Irish Sea during spring and summer and migration routes are known to cover large distances from the north of Scotland to North Africa. Additionally, basking sharks have been recorded moving through the Irish Sea between March to June indicating that this is an important area for overwintering that links foraging grounds in the waters surrounding the west coast of Ireland and the UK to migration destinations in the south.

### 1.12.2 Important Ecological Features

1.12.2.1 IEFs are habitats, species, ecosystems and their functions/processes that are considered to be important and potentially impacted by the Mona Offshore Wind Project. Guidance from the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) was used to assess IEFs within the area (CIEEM, 2018; updated in 2022). IEFs can be attributed to individual species (such as plaice) or species groups (for example other flatfish species). Each IEF is assigned a value or importance rating which are based on commercial, ecological and conservation importance, including Species of Principal Importance (SPI) and features of SACs. SPIs are those species most threatened, in greatest decline, or where England and

Wales hold a significant proportion of the world's total population in some cases, as outlined in the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. This statutory designation applies to allis shad, twaite shad, lesser sandeel, European eel, herring, cod, anglerfish, European hake, ling, whiting, plaice, mackerel, Dover sole, Atlantic salmon, sea trout, river lamprey, sea lamprey, sparling, basking shark, spurdog and tope shark. Table 1.9 details the criteria used for determining IEFs and Table 1.10 applies the defining criteria to specific species, providing justifications for importance rankings.

### Table 1.9: Defining criteria for IEFs.

| Value of IEF | Defining Criteria |
|---|---|
| International | Internationally designated sites.<br>Species protected under international law (i.e. Annex II species listed as qualifying interests of SACs). |
| National | Nationally designated sites.<br>Species protected under national law.<br>Annex II species which are not listed as qualifying interests of SACs in the fish and shellfish ecology study area.<br>OSPAR List of Threatened or Declining Species, and IUCN Red List species that have nationally important populations within the Mona Offshore Wind Project, particularly in the context of species/habitat that may be rare or threatened in English and Welsh waters.<br>Priority habitats and species (Species of Principal Importance) have been deemed features characteristic of the English and Welsh marine environment and where nationally important habitats/communities are present in the fish and shellfish ecology study area.<br>Species that have spawning or nursery areas within or in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project that are important nationally (e.g. may be primary spawning/nursery area for that species). |
| Regional | OSPAR List of Threatened or Declining Species, and IUCN Red List species that have regionally important populations within the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. are locally widespread or abundant).<br>Priority habitats and species (Species of Principal Importance) have been deemed features characteristic of the English and Welsh marine environment.<br>Species that are of commercial value to the fisheries which operate within the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Species that form an important prey item for other species of conservation or commercial value and that are key components of the fish assemblages within the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Species that have spawning or nursery areas within the Mona Offshore Wind Project that are important regionally (i.e. species may spawn in other parts of English and Welsh waters, but this is a key spawning/nursery area within the Mona Offshore Wind Project). |
| Local | Species that are of commercial importance but do not form a key component of the fish assemblages within the Mona Offshore Wind Project (e.g. they may be exploited in deeper waters outside the Mona Offshore Wind Project).<br>The spawning/nursery area for the species are outside the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>The species is common throughout English and Welsh waters but forms a component of the fish assemblages in the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |

### Table 1.10: IEF species and representative groups within the Mona Offshore Wind Project.

| IEF | Specific Name/ Representative Species | Importance | Justification |
|---|---|---|---|
| Plaice | *Pleuronectes platessa* | Regional | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>High intensity spawning and low intensity nursery grounds identified throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Plaice is an important commercial species throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project and within the surrounding east Irish Sea. |
| Lemon Sole | *Microstomus kitt* | Local | Spawning and nursery grounds are undetermined and unspecified within the Mona Offshore Wind Project and wider east Irish Sea. It is an important and abundant commercial fish species, but not in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. in the wider east Irish Sea). |
| Dover Sole | *Solea solea* | Regional | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>High intensity spawning and nursery grounds identified throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Dover sole is an important commercial species throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project and within the surrounding east Irish Sea. |
| Other flatfish species | | Local | Other flatfish species including common dab, solenette, and flounder are likely to occur within the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>These species either have no known spawning or nursery grounds or low intensity/undetermined spawning and nursey grounds within the area. |
| Cod | *Gadus morhua* | Regional | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance. Listed by OSPAR as threatened or declining and listed as vulnerable on the IUCN Red List.<br>High intensity spawning and nursery grounds are present throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>It is an important commercial fish species, but not in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. in the wider east Irish Sea) following the collapse of the stock and subsequent poor recovery. |
| Whiting | *Merlangius merlangus* | Regional | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Low intensity spawning and high intensity nursery grounds identified throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Whiting is an important commercial species throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project and within the surrounding east Irish Sea. |
| Other demersal species | - | Local to Regional | Species including anglerfish *Lophius piscatorius,* ling *Molva molva,* European hake *Merluccius merluccius* and European seabass *Dicentrarchus labrax* are common throughout English and Welsh waters and are likely to be in the Mona Offshore Wind Project. The first three species listed are also Species of Principal Importance. |

| IEF | Specific Name/ Representative Species | Importance | Justification |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | They are important commercial species, but not in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. in the east Irish Sea). |
| Sandeel species | - | Regional | There are five species of sandeel found in UK waters with lesser sandeel *Ammodytes tobianus* and larger sandeel *Hyperoplus lanceolatus* being the most commonly found species in British waters.<br>Sandeel are important prey species for fish, birds and marine mammals.<br>High intensity spawning grounds and low intensity nursery grounds are present throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Identified as likely to be present in the Mona Offshore Wind Project based on historic data and habitat preference.<br>Listed as a Species of Principal Importance. |
| Herring | *Clupea harengus* | National | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Low intensity spawning grounds present immediately outside of the Mona Offshore Wind Project and within the fish and shellfish ecology study area. High intensity nursery grounds present within the Mona Offshore Wind Projects. Although herring spawning grounds do not directly overlap the Mona Array Area, this specific area of the Irish Sea has been denoted as key spawning habitat for the species.<br>Herring is an important commercial species, but not in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. in the wider east Irish Sea). |
| Mackerel | *Scomber scombrus* | Regional | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Important prey species for larger fish, birds and marine mammals.<br>Low intensity spawning and nursery grounds throughout the Mona Offshore Wind Project and the wider east Irish Sea.<br>Mackerel is an important commercial species, but not in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. in the wider east Irish Sea). |
| Sprat | *Sprattus sprattus* | Regional | Important prey species for larger fish, birds and marine mammals.<br>Unspecified intensity spawning and nursery grounds within the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Sprat is an important commercial species, but not in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. in the wider east Irish Sea). |
| Basking Shark | *Cetorhinus maximus* | International | The northeast Atlantic population are classed as Endangered on the IUCN Red List. Additionally, they are listed under Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) Appendix II and classified as a Priority Species under the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework. Protected in the UK under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. |

| IEF | Specific Name/ Representative Species | Importance | Justification |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Basking shark likely to be present in low abundances if present at all near the Isle of Man and in proximity to the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Tope | *Galeorhinus galeus* | Regional | Listed as Vulnerable by the IUCN Red List and is a Priority Species under the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework.<br>Low intensity nursery grounds within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Spurdog | *Squalus acanthias* | Regional | Listed as Vulnerable by the IUCN Red List and is a Priority Species under the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework.<br>High intensity nursery grounds within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Rays | - | Regional | Ray species including spotted ray *Raja montagui*, and thornback ray *Raja clavata*.<br>These species either have low intensity nursery grounds and no known spawning grounds within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| **Shellfish IEF Species** | | | |
| Edible crab | *Cancer pagurus* | Regional | Commercially important species. Identified as being likely to be present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Norway lobster | *Nephrops norvegicus* | Regional | Commercially important species. Identified as being likely to be present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| European lobster | *Homarus gammarus* | Regional | Commercially important species. Identified as being likely to be present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| King scallop | *Pecten maximus* | Regional | Commercially important species. Identified as being present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Queen scallop | *Aequipecten opercularis* | Regional | Commercially important species. Identified as being present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Velvet swimming crab | *Necora puber* | Local | Commercially important species. Identified as being likely to be present within the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Other shellfish | - | Local | Other shellfish, including but not limited to, swimming crabs, spider crabs, shrimp and cockles have been identified as being likely to occur within the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>They are all important commercial species, but not in the immediate vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. in the wider east Irish Sea). |
| **Diadromous Fish IEF Species** | | | |
| Sea trout | *Salmo trutta* | National | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as a species of Least Concern by the IUCN Red List. Listed as an OSPAR threatened/declining species.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project. Not a feature of any designated sites in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |

| IEF | Specific Name/ Representative Species | Importance | Justification |
|---|---|---|---|
| European eel | *Anguilla anguilla* | National | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as Critically Endangered by the IUCN Red List. Listed as an OSPAR threatened/declining species.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project. This species is a qualifying feature of multiple MNRs in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Sea lamprey | *Petromyzon marinus* | International | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as a species of Least Concern by the IUCN Red List. Annex II species and listed as qualifying features of a number of SACs in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| River lamprey | *Lampetra fluviatilis* | International | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as a species of Least Concern by the IUCN Red List. Annex II species and listed as qualifying features of a number of SACs in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project, although only in coastal/estuarine areas nearer the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor. |
| Twaite shad | *Alosa fallax* | National | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as a species of Least Concern by the IUCN Red List and is a Priority Species under the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Allis shad | *Alosa alosa* | National | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as a species of Least Concern by the IUCN Red List and is a Priority Species under the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Atlantic salmon | *Salmo salar* | International | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as Vulnerable by the IUCN Red List. Annex II species and listed as qualifying features of a number of SACs in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |
| Sparling | *Osmerus eperlanus* | National | Listed as a Species of Principal Importance.<br>Listed as a species of Least Concern by the IUCN Red List. This species is a qualifying feature of multiple MCZs in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.<br>Likely to migrate through the Mona Offshore Wind Project, although only in coastal/estuarine areas, nearer the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor. |

| IEF | Specific Name/ Representative Species | Importance | Justification |
|---|---|---|---|
| Freshwater pearl mussel | *Margaritifera margaritifera* | International | Listed in Annexes II and V of the EU Habitats and Species Directive and Appendix III of the Bern Convention. Listed as Endangered on the IUCN Red List.<br>Annex II species and listed as qualifying features of a number of SACs in the vicinity of the Mona Offshore Wind Project. |

## 1.13 References

Aires, C., González-Irusta, J.M., Watret, R. (2014) Updating Fisheries Sensitivity Maps in British Waters. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science Vol 5 No 10. Edinburgh: Scottish Government, 88pp.

Arnold, G.P., and Metcalfe, J.D. (1996) Seasonal migrations of plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) through Dover Strait. Marine Biology, 127, pp. 151-60. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00993655. Accessed: August 2023.

Austin, R.A., Hawkes, L., Doherty, P.D., Henderson, S.M., Inger, R., Johnson, L., Pikesley, S., Solanndt, C., Speedie, C., and Wiit, M. (2019) Predicting habitat suitability for basking sharks (*Cetorhinus maximus*) in UK waters using ensemble ecological niche modelling. Journal of Sea Research, 153, 101767. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2019.101767. Accessed: August 2023.

Bangor University (2015-21) Annual Fisheries Science Report, Sustainable Fisheries Aquaculture Group, School of Ocean Sciences. Isle of Man Fisheres Science, Report No. 1-7.

Barker, J., Davies, J., Goralczyk, M., Patel, S., O'Connor, J., Evans, J., Sharp, R., Gollock, M., Wood, F.R., Rosindell, J., Bartlett, C., Garner, B.J., Jones, D., Quigley, D., and Wray, B. (2022) The distribution, ecology and predicted habitat use of the Critically Endangered angelshark (*Squatina squatina*) in coastal waters of Wales and the central Irish Sea. Journal of Fish Biology, 101(3), pp. 640-58. Available: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.15133. Accessed: August 2023.

Bauer, G., 1992. Variation in the life span and size of the freshwater pearl mussel. Journal of animal ecology, pp.425-436.

Bell, M.C., Redant, F. and Tuck, I (2006) Nephrops Species. In Phillips, B.F. (ed.). Lobsters: Biology, Management, Aquaculture and Fisheries. Wiley-Blackwell. pp. 412–461. Available: doi:10.1002/9780470995969.ch13. ISBN 978-1-4051-2657-1. Accessed: June 2023.

Bellido-Millan, J. M., Pierce, G. J., & Wang, J. (2001). Modelling intra-annual variation in abundance of squid *Loligo forbesi* in Scottish waters using generalised additive models. Fisheries Research, 52, 23-29. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(01)00228-4. Accessed: June 2023.

Beukers-Stewart, B., Vause, B.J., Mosley, M.W.J, Rossetti, H.L. and Brand, A.R. (2005). Benefits of closed area protection for a population of scallops. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 200:189-204.

Bloor, I.S.M. (2019). Isle of Man king scallop (Pecten maximus) Stock Advice for 2019/2020 Season. SFAG Report No. 2, Bangor University. pp. 36.

Bloor, I.S.M., Emmerson, J. and Jenkins, S.R. (2019). Assessment of Queen Scallop stock status for the Isle of Man territorial sea 2019/2020. SFAG Report No. 1, pp. 18.

Bloor, I.S.M. and Jenkins, S.R. (2021). Isle of Man Queen Scallop: 2021 Stock Survey Report. Bangor University Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture Group, Fisheries Report. pp. 39.

Bloor, I., Coleman, M. and Jenkins, S. (2022) Isle of Man Queen Scallop 2022 Stock Survey Report. Bangor University Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture Group, Fisheries Report, 90 pp.

Bowers, A. B. (1969) Spawning Beds of Manx Autumn Herrings. Journal of Fish Biology, 1(4), 355-359. Accessed: July 2023, Available: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1969.tb03883.x. Accessed: October 2023.

Boyle, G., and New, P. (2018) ORJIP Impacts from Piling on Fish at Offshore Wind Sites: Collating Population Information, Gap Analysis and Appraisal of Mitigation Options. Final report – June 2018. The Carbon Trust. United Kingdom. 247 pp.

Braber, L., and Groot, S. (1973) The food of five flatfish species (*Pleuronectiformes*) in the southern North Sea. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research, 6, pp. 163-172. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/0077-7579(73)90011-2. Accessed: August 2023.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2009a) Walney Offshore Wind Farm Pre-Construction Fish Survey.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2009b) Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm Pre-Construction Juvenile & Adult Fish Survey. Spring 2009.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2009c) Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm Pre-Construction Juvenile & Adult Fish Survey. Autumn 2009.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2011a), Burbo Bank Extension Offshore wind farm: Adult and Juvenile Fish Characterisation Survey, 13th to 17th May 2011.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2011b), Burbo Bank Extension Offshore wind farm: Adult and Juvenile Fish Characterisation Survey, 7th to 11th September 2011.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2012a) West of Duddon Sands Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epibenthic Pre-Construction Surveys. May 2012. 49pp.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2012b) West of Duddon Sands Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epibenthic Pre-Construction Survey. September 2012. 47pp.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2013a) Walney Offshore Wind Farm, Year 2 Post-construction Monitoring Fish and Epibenthic Survey, FINAL Ref WOWPCOB03.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2013b) Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epi-benthic Post-construction Survey. October 2012. 63pp.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2013c) Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epi-benthic Post-construction Survey. April 2013. 63pp.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2013d) Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epi-benthic Post-construction Survey. October 2013. 103pp.

Brown and May Marine Ltd (2014) Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm, Adult and Juvenile Fish and Epi-benthic Post-construction Survey. April 2014.

Campanella, F. & van der Kooij, J. (2021). Spawning and nursery grounds of forage fish in Welsh and surroundings waters. Cefas Project Report for RSPB, 65 pp.

Cappell, R., Keus, B. and Addison, J., 2018. MSC Sustainable Fisheries Certification. Off-Site Surveillance Visit-Report for Danish and Swedish Nephrops (Swedish Component) Fishery. 3rd Surveillance Report. Certificate Code F-ACO-0102. Prepared for SFPO Swedish Fishermen's Producer Organisation. Prepared by Acoura Marine.

Carter, M.C. 2008. *Aequipecten opercularis* Queen scallop. In Tyler-Walters H. and Hiscock K. Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews, [on-line]. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. [cited 15-07-2022]. Available: https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1997. Accessed: September 2023.

Casaretto, L., and Hawkins, A.D. (2012) Spawning behaviour and the acoustic repertoire of haddock. The International Journal of Animal Sound and its Recording, 12(2-3), Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/09524622.2002.9753712. Accessed: August 2023.

Cefas (2005) Provision of Fishing Activity Data for the DTI Strategic Environmental Assessment No. 6. CEFAS Contract Report. Available at: publishing.service.gov.uk. Accessed: August 2023.

Cefas (2019) Salmon Stocks and Fisheries in England and Wales in 2019. Preliminary assessment prepared for ICES. Available:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/907284/SalmonReport-2019-summary.pdf. Accessed: August 2023.

Cefas (2022) Shellfish Classification Zone Maps. Available: https://www.cefas.co.uk/data-and-publications/shellfish-classification-and-microbiological-monitoring/england-and-wales/classification-zone-maps/. Accessed: November 2023.

Cefas (2023) OneBenthic Open Science online tool. Available: https://openscience.cefas.co.uk/, Accessed: July 2023.

Celtic Array (2013) Benthic, Fish and Intertidal Surveys. Dataset. Available: https://www.marinedataexchange.co.uk/details/2708/2010-2014-cmacs-zone-9-celtic-array-benthic-fish-and-intertidal-surveys/summary. Accessed: May 2023.

Celtic Sea Trout Project (CSTP) (2016) Milner, N., McGinnity, P. and Roche, W. Eds. Celtic Sea Trout Project – Technical Report to Ireland Wales Territorial Co-operation Programme 2007-2013 (INTERREG 4A). [Online] Dublin, Inland Fisheries Ireland. Available: http://celticseatrout.com/downloads/technical-report. Accessed: May 2023.

CIEEM (2018). Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (updated in 2022). Available: https://cieem.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/ECIA-Guidelines-2018-Terrestrial-Freshwater-Coastal-and-Marine-V1.2-April-22-Compressed.pdf. Accessed: June 2022.

Clarke, K.R. and Gorley, R.N. (2016) PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial (Plymouth Routines in Multivariate Ecological Research). PRIMER-E, Plymouth.

Clarke, M., Farrell, E.D., Roche, W., Murray, T.E., Foster, S. and Marnell, F. (2016) Ireland Red List No. 11: Cartilaginous fish [sharks, skates, rays and chimaeras]. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs. Dublin, Ireland.

CMACS (2006a) Burbo Bank Offshore Wind Farm, Pre-Construction Commercial Fish Survey (4m Beam Trawl). Online dataset. Available: https://www.marinedataexchange.co.uk/details/203/2006-centre-for-marine-and-coastal-studies-cmacs-burbo-bank-offshore-wind-farm-pre-construction-commercial-fish-survey-4m-beam-trawl/summary. Accessed: April 2023.

CMACS (2006b) Burbo Bank Offshore Wind Farm, Pre-Construction Commercial Fish Survey (2m Beam Trawl). Online dataset. Available: https://www.marinedataexchange.co.uk/details/174/2006-centre-for-marine-and-coastal-studies-cmacs-burbo-bank-offshore-wind-farm-pre-construction-commercial-fish-survey-2m-beam-trawl/summary. Accessed: April 2023.

CMACS (2010) Rhiannon Wind Farm - Celtic Array, Benthic, Fish and Intertidal Surveys. Celtic Array Ltd, for Centrica Array Ltd and Dong Energy. Online dataset. Available: https://www.marinedataexchange.co.uk/details/2708/2010-2014-cmacs-zone-9-celtic-array-benthic-fish-and-intertidal-surveys/summary. Accessed: May 2023.

CMACS (2011) Rhiannon Wind Farm - Celtic Array, Benthic, Fish and Intertidal Surveys. Celtic Array Ltd, for Centrica Array Ltd and Dong Energy. Online dataset. Available: https://www.marinedataexchange.co.uk/details/2708/2010-2014-cmacs-zone-9-celtic-array-benthic-fish-and-intertidal-surveys/summary. Accessed: May 2023.

CMACS (2013) Rhiannon Wind Farm - Celtic Array, Benthic, Fish and Intertidal Surveys. Celtic Array Ltd, for Centrica Array Ltd and Dong Energy. Online dataset. Available: https://www.marinedataexchange.co.uk/details/2708/2010-2014-cmacs-zone-9-celtic-array-benthic-fish-and-intertidal-surveys/summary. Accessed: May 2023.

Cohen, D.M., Inada, T., Iwamoto, T., and Scialabba, N. (1990) Vol 10. Gadiform fishes of the world (Order *Gadiformes*). An annotated and illustrated catalogue of cogs, hakes, grenadiers, and other Gadiform fishes known to date. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, pp. 64-65, ISBN 92-5-102890-7.

Cotton, P.A., Sims, D.W., Fanshawe, s., and M. Chadwick (2005) The effects of climate variability on zooplankton and basking shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*) relative abundance off southwest Britain, Fisheries Oceanography, 14, pp. 151-155.

Coull, K.A., Johnstone, R, and Rogers, S.I. (1998) Fisheries Sensitivity Maps in British Waters. UKOOA Ltd: Aberdeen.

Cuttelod, A., Seddon, M. and Neubert, E., 2011. European red list of non-marine molluscs (p. 97). Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Davies, P., Britton, R.J., Nunn, A.D., Dodd, J.R., Crundwell, C., Velterop, R., Ó'Maoiléidigh, N., O'Neill, R., Sheehan, E.V., Stamp, T. and Bolland, J.D., 2020. Novel insights into the marine phase and river fidelity of anadromous twaite shad *Alosa fallax* in the UK and Ireland. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 30(7), pp.1291-1298.

Dedman, S., Officer, R., Brophy, D., Clarke, M. and Reid, D.G., 2015. Modelling abundance hotspots for data-poor Irish Sea rays. Ecological Modelling, 312, pp.77-90.

Delargy, A., Hold, N., Lambert, G.I., Murray L.G., Hinz H., Kaiser M.J., McCarthy, I., Hiddink J.G. (2019) – Welsh waters scallop surveys and stock assessment. Bangor University, Fisheries and Conservation Report No. 75. pp 48.

Dempson. J.B., Robertson. M.J., Pennell. C.J., and Furey, G. (2011) Residency time, migration route and survival of Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* smolts in a Canadian fjord. Journal of Fish Biology, 78, pp. 1976−1992.

DEFRA (2019a) Solway Firth Marine Conservation Zone. Factsheet. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-conservation-zones-solway-firth. Accessed: May 2023.

DEFRA (2019b) Wyre Lune Marine Conservation Zone. Factsheet. Available: www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-conservation-zones-wyre-lune. Accessed: Accessed: May 2023.

DEFRA (2019c) Ribble Estuary Marine Conservation Zone. Factsheet. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-conservation-zones-ribble-estuary. Accessed: May 2023.

Dickey-Collas, M., Nash, R. and Brown, J. (2001) The location of spawning of Irish Sea Herring (*Clupea harengus*). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK, 81(04), pp. 713-14. Available: DOI:10.1017/S0025315401004489. Accessed: November 2023.

Dickey-Collas, M., Nash, R. D. M., Brunel, T., van Damme, C. J. G., Marshall, C. T., Payne, M. R., Corten, A., Geffen, A. J., Peck, M. A., Hatfield, E. M. C., Hintzen, N. T., Enberg, K., Kell, L. T., and Simmonds, E. J. (2010) Lessons learned from stock collapse and recovery of North Sea herring: a review. – ICES Journal of Marine Science, 67: 1875–1886.

Doherty, P.D., Baxter, J.M., Gell, F.R., Godley, B.J., Graham, R.T., Hall, G., Hall, J., Hawkes, L.A., Henderson, S.M., Johnson, L. and Speedie, C. (2017) Long-term satellite tracking reveals variable seasonal migration strategies of basking sharks in the northeast Atlantic. Scientific reports, 7, p.42837.

Dong Energy Burbo Extension Ltd. (2013) Burbo Bank Extension Offshore Wind Farm. Environmental Statement Annex 13 – Fish and Shellfish Ecology.

Drapeau, G. (1973) Sedimentology of herring spawning grounds on Georges Bank. ICNF Res. Bull. No 10. P151 -162.

Duncan, P.F., Brand, A.R., Strand, Ø and Foucher, E (2016). The European Scallop Fisheries for *Pecten maximus*, *Aequipecten opercularis, Chlamys islandica* and *Mimachlamys varia*. In Scallops:

Biology, Ecology, Aquaculture, and Fisheries. Developments in Aquaculture and Fisheries Science 3rd Edition, Volume 40, Edited by Shumway, S.E. and Parsons, G.J.

Duncan P.F. and Emmerson J. (2018) Commercial Fisheries & Sea Angling. In: Manx Marine Environmental Assessment (2nd Ed.). Isle of Man Government. 71 pp.

Dybern, B.I., and Hoisaeter, T. (1965) The burrows of *Nephrops norvegicus*. Sarisa, 21, pp. 49-55. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/00364827.1965.10409560. Accessed: May 2023.

Ellis, J.R., Miligan, S., Readdy, L., South, A., Taylor, N., Brown, M. (2010) MB5201 Mapping spawning and nursery areas of species to be considered in Marine Protected Areas (Marine Conservation Zones) Defra Report No1.

Ellis, J.R., Milligan, S.P., Readdy, L., Taylor, N. and Brown, M.J. (2012) Spawning and nursery grounds of selected fish species in UK waters. Scientific Series Technical Report. Cefas Lowestoft, 147: 56 pp.

Ellis, J.R., Pawson, M.G. and Shackley, S.E. (1996) The comparative feeding ecology of six species of shark and four species of skate (Elasmobranchii) in the Northeast Atlantic. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 76: 89-106.

Ellis, J.R., Rogers, S.I. and Freeman, S.M. (2000) Demersal assemblages in the Irish Sea, St George's channel and Bristol channel. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 51(3), pp.299-315.

Ellis, J.R., Barker, J., McCully-Philips, R., Meyers, E.K.M., and Heupel, M. (2020) Angel sharks (*Squatinidate*): A review of biological knowledge and exploitation. Journal of Fish Biology, 98(3), pp. 592-621. Available: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14613. Accessed: May 2023.

Emmerson, J.A., Bloor, I.S.M., and Kaiser, M.J. (2017) Proposals for Future Management of the Isle of Man Common Whelk Fishery: The Current Evidence Base. Bangor University Report to Isle of Man Government DEFRA. Available: http://fisheries-conservation.bangor.ac.uk/iom/documents/74.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

Engelhard, G.H., van der Kooij, J., Bell, E.D., Pinnegar, J.K., Blanchard, J.L., Mackinson, S. and Righton, D.A., 2008. Fishing mortality versus natural predation on diurnally migrating sandeels *Ammodytes marinus*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 369, pp.213-227.

Environment Agency (2022). Salmonid and Fisheries Statistics for England and Wales 2021. Available: Salmonid and fisheries statistics for England and Wales 20–0 - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk). Accessed: May 2023.

European Marine Observation and Data Network (EMODnet), 2023. Seabed habitats. Available: http://www.emodnet-seabedhabitats.eu/. Accessed: May 2023.

Finstad, J.L., and Nordeide, J.T. (2004) Acoustic Repertoire of Spawning Cod, *Gadus morhua*. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 70, pp. 427-433. Available: DOI:10.1023/B:EBFI.0000035437.64923.16. Accessed: May 2023.

FishBase (2020a) Biology of *Merluccius merluccius* (Linnaeus, 1758). [Online]. Available: https://fishbase.se/summary/merluccius-merluccius.html. Accessed: May 2023.

FishBase (2020b) Biology of *Merlangius merlangus* (Linnaeus, 1758). [Online]. Available: https://www.fishbase.se/Summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=29&AT=whiting. Accessed: May 2023.

FishBase (2020c) Biology of *Micromesistius poutassou* (Risso, 1827). [Online]. Available: https://www.fishbase.se/Summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=31&AT=blue+whiting. Accessed: May 2023.

FishBase (2020d) Biology of *Scomber scombrus* (Linnaeus, 1758). [Online]. Available: https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Scomber-scombrus.html. Accessed: May 2023.

FishBase (2020e) Biology of *Gahus morhua* (Linnaeus, 1758). [Online]. Available: https://www.fishbase.se/Summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=69&AT=Atlantic+cod. Accessed: May 2023.

FishBase (2020f) Biology of *Clupea Harengus* (Linnaeus, 1758). [Online]. Available: www.fishbase.se/summary/Clupea-harengus.html. Accessed: May 2023.

FishBase (2021a) Biology of *Gahus morhua* (Linnaeus, 1758). [Online]. Available: https://www.fishbase.org/summary/69. Accessed: May 2023.

Fishbase (2021b) Sea trout. Available: https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Salmo-trutta.html. Accessed: May 2023.

Fishbase (2021c) European eel. Available: https://www.fishbase.se/summary/Anguilla-anguilla.html. Accessed: May 2023.

Fishbase (2021d) Sparling Available: https://www.fishbase.se/summary/osmerus-eperlanus.html. Accessed: May 2023.

Folk, R.L. (1954) The distinction between grain size and mineral composition in sedimentary rock nomenclature, Jour. Geology, 62, 344–359.

Fowler, S.L. (2009) *Cetorhinus maximus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2009 [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2005.RLTS.T4292A10763893.en. Accessed: May 2023.

Freeman, S., Mackinson, S. and Flatt, R., 2004. Diel patterns in the habitat utilisation of sandeels revealed using integrated acoustic surveys. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 305(2), pp.141-154.Gardline, 2022.

Gardline (2022) Elizabeth Offshore Wind Farm Integrated Survey – Environmental Baseline Report. Gardline Report Ref 11602.E05.

Gardline (2023) Mona Offshore Wind Farm Integrated Survey – Environmental Baseline Report. Gardline Report Ref 11781.E02.

Garratt, M.J., Allison, C., Patel, J., Bloor, I.S.M., Emmerson, J.A. and Jenkins, S.R. (2022a) Benthic Habitat Mapping: Niarbyl Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Bangor University, Report to Isle of Man Government, Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture.

Garratt, M.J., Bloor, I.S.M., Emmerson, J.A. and Jenkins, S.R. (2022b) Benthic Habitat Mapping: Port Erin Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Bangor University, Report to Isle of Man Government, Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture.

Geffen, A.J., Albretsen, J., Huwer, B., and Nash, R.D.M. (2021) Lemon sole *Microstomus kitt* in the northern North Sea: a multidisciplinary approach to the early life-history dynamics. Journal of Fish Biology, 99(2), pp. 569-80. Available: doi: 10.1111/jfb.14745. Accessed: May 2023.

Geist, J. and Auerswald, K., 2007. Physicochemical stream bed characteristics and recruitment of the freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera*). Freshwater biology, 52(12), pp.2299-2316.

Gell, F.R., Read, A., Hanley, L.J., Charter, L., Duncan, P.F., and McHarg, K. (2014) Marine Protected Areas with Fishing Industry Support: A case study from the Isle of Man, British Isles. Fisheries Directorate, Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture, Isle of Man Government, Isle of Man, British Isles. Poster.

Grabowski, T.B., Boswell, K.M., McAdam, B.J., Wells, R.J.D., and Marteinsdottir, G. (2012) Characterization of Atlantic Cod Spawning Habitat and Behavior in Icelandic Coastal Waters. PLOS ONE. Available: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051321. Accessed: May 2023.

Green, A., Honkanen, H.M., Ramsden, P., Shields, B., del Villar-Guerra, D., Fletcher, M., Walton, S., Kennedy, R., Rosell, R., O'Maoiléidigh, N. and Barry, J., 2022. Evidence of long-distance coastal sea migration of Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, smolts from northwest England (River Derwent). Animal Biotelemetry, 10(1), pp.1-9.

Greenstreet, S. P. R., Holland, G. J., Guirey, E. J., Armstrong, E., Fraser, H. M., and Gibb, I. M. (2010). Combining hydroacoustic seabed survey and grab sampling techniques to assess local sandeel population abundance. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 67, 971–984. Available: doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsp292. Accessed: May 2023.

Guerra, A. & Rocha, F., (1994) The life history of *Loligo vulgaris* and *Loligo forbesi* (Cephalopoda: Loliginidae) in Galician waters (NW Spain) Special Issue: Fishery Biology of Northeast Atlantic Squid, Fisheries Research, 21, 43-69.

Hanley, L.J., Gell, F.G., Kennington, K., Stone, E., Rowan, E., McEvoy, P., Brew, M., Milne, K., Charter, L., Gallagher, M., Hemsley, K., and Duncan, P.F. (eds.) (2013) Manx Marine Environmental Assessment. Isle of Man Marine Plan. Isle of Man Government.

Hastie, L., Pierce, G., Pita, C., Viana, M., Smith, J. and Wangvoralak, S. (2009). Squid Fishing in UK Waters. Seafish. Available: https://www.seafish.org/document/?id=72bdf841-6e5b-435f-9dee-b87ffd2c481b. Accessed: May 2023.

Heck, K.L., Able, K.W., Roman, C.T., and Fahay, M.P. (1995) Composition, abundance, biomass, and production of macrofauna in a New England estuary: Comparisons among eelgrass meadows and other nursery habitats. Estuaries, 18, 379-89. Available: https://doi.org/10.2307/1352320. Accessed: September 2023.

Hedger, R. D., Martin, F., Hatin, D., Caron, F., Whoriskey, F. G., and Dodson, J. J. 2008. Active migration of wild Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* smolt through a coastal embayment. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 355: 235 –246.

Hiddink, J.G., Shepperson, J., Bater, R., Goonesekera, D., and Dulvy, N.K. (2019) Near disappearance of the Angelshark *Squatina squatina* over half a century of observations. Conservation Science and Practice, 1(9), e97. Available: https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.97. Accessed: May 2023.

Hindar, K; Hutchings, JA; Diserud OH & Fiske, P (2010) Chapter 12 Stock, Recruitment and Exploitation. In Atlantic Salmon Ecology, edited by Aas, Einum, Klemetsen & Skurdal, published by Wiley.

Hinz, H., Bergmann, M., Shucksmith, R., Kaiser, M.J. and Rogers, S.I. (2006) Habitat association of plaice, sole, and lemon sole in the English Channel. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 63(5), 912-27. Available: 10.1016/j.icesjms.2006.03.011. Accessed: July 2023.

Hinz, H., L. G. Murray, F. Gell, L. Hanley, N. Horton, H. Whiteley, M. J. Kaiser. 2010. Seabed habitats around the Isle of Man. Fisheries & Conservation report No. 12, Bangor University. pp.29. Available: http://fisheries-conservation.bangor.ac.uk/iom/reports.php.en?menu=2&catid=10723&subid=10814. Accessed: July 2023.

Hold, N., Robins, P., Szostek, C.L., Lambert, G., Lincoln, H., Le Vay, L., Bell, E. and Kaiser, M.J. (2021) Using biophysical modelling and population genetics for conservation and management of an exploited species, Pecten maximus L. Fisheries Oceanography 30, no. 6: 740-756.

Holland, G. J., Greenstreet, S., Gibb, I.M., Fraser, H.M., and Robertson, M.R. (2005) Identifying sandeel *Ammodytes marinus* sediment habitat preferences in the marine environment. Marine Ecology Progress, 303, pp. 269-82. Available: DOI:10.3354/meps303269. Accessed: July 2023.

Holt, T. J., Rees, E. I., Hawkins, S. J. and Seed, R. (1998) Biogenic Reefs: An overview of dynamic and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. Scottish Association for Marine Science. 169pp.

Howarth, L.M. and Stewart, B.D., 2014. The dredge fishery for scallops in the United Kingdom (UK): effects on marine ecosystems and proposals for future management.

Howe V.L., Gell F.R., and Hanley, L.J. (2018) Subtidal Ecology. In: Manx Marine Environmental Assessment (2nd Ed). Isle of Man Government. pp 48.

Howson, C.M., and Picton, B.E. (1997) The Species Directory of the Marine Fauna and Flora of the British Isles and Surrounding Seas. Marine Conservation Society, Book.

Hunter, E., Metcalfe, J.D., and Reynolds, J.D. (2003) Migration route and spawning area fidelity by North Sea plaice. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B, pp. 2097-2103.

Hutchings, J.A., Bishop, T.D., and McGregor-Shaw, C.R. (1999) Spawning behaviour of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*: evidence of mate competition and mate choice in a broadcast spawner. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 56(1). Available: https://doi.org/10.1139/f98-216. Accessed: May 2023.

IBTS (2021) International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group. ICES Scientific Report, 69(3), pp.201.

ICES (2006) Report of the Herring Assessment Working Group South of 620 N (HAWG), –4 - 23 March, ICES Headquarters. ICES CM 2006/ACFM:20. 647 pp.

ICES (2010) Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2010. ICES Advice, 2010. Book 9. 299 pp.

ICES (2012) Report of the Benchmark Workshop on Pelagic Stocks (WKPELA 2012), 13–17 February 2012, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2012/ACOM:47. 572 pp.

ICES (2013-21) DATRAS Northern Irish Ground Fish Trawl Survey (NIGFS). Online dataset. Available: https://gis.ices.dk/geonetwork/srv/api/records/3fce8869-c155-4004-97a9-fafbbdfa306e. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2017) ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort. NORTH ATLANTIC SALMON STOCKS. Available: http://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2017/2017/sal.oth.nasco.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2018a) Celtic Seas ecoregion – Fisheries overview, including mixed-fisheries considerations. Available: https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.4640. Accessed: August 2023.

ICES (2018b) Thornback ray (*Raja clavata*) in divisions 7.a, 7.f–g (Irish Sea, Bristol Channel, Celtic Sea North). ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort - Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Available: https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.4547. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2019a) ICES stock assessment graphs for Hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) in subareas 4, 6, and 7, and divisions 3.a, 8.a-b, and 8.d. [Online]. Available: http://standardgraphs.ices.dk/ViewCharts.aspx?key=97630. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2019b). Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). ICES Scientific Reports. 1:25. 964 pp. http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.5594.

ICES (2019c) Scallop Assessment Working Group (WGSCALLOP). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:111. 57 pp. Available: https://docslib.org/doc/10117260/ices-2019-scallop-assessment-working-group-wgscallop. Accessed: April 2023.

ICES (2019d) ICES Stock Assessment graphs for Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) in Division 7.a. [Online]. Available: http://standardgraphs.ices.dk/ViewCharts.aspx?key=10212. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2020) Scallop Assessment Working Group (WGSCALLOP). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:111. 57 pp. Available: http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.7626. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2021a) Celtic Seas Ecoregion: Fisheries overview, including mixed-fisheries considerations, 30th November 2021. [Online]. Available: FisheriesOverview_CelticSeas_2021.pdf (ices.dk). Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2021b) International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group (IBTSWG). ICES Scientific Reports. 3:69. 201 pp. Available: https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.8219. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2022a) Working Group on Surveys on Ichthyoplankton in the North Sea and adjacent Seas (WGSINS; outputs from 2021 meeting) ICES Scientific Reports. 4:27. 47pp. Available: http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.19420232. Accessed June 2022. Accessed: May 2023.

ICES (2022b) Fish trawl surveys. Biotic data in fish trawl surveys (DATRAS). 2012 to 2022 data from NIGFS. Available online: https://data.ices.dk/view-map. Accessed: June 2023.

Isle of Man Government (1990) Wildlife Act 1990. Available: https://www.gov.im/media/1363689/wildlife-act-1990.pdf. Accessed: June 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2009) Laxey Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, poster, available: Isle of Man Government - Marine Nature Reserves. Available: gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: March 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2018) Manx Marine Nature Reserves Byelaw 1 2018. Statutory Document 2018/0186, available: Manx Marine Nature Reserves Byelaws 2018 (SD 2018-0186). InforMEA. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019a) Ramsey Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. available: Isle of Man Government - Marine Nature Reserves, https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019b) Laxey Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, poster, available: Isle of Man Government - Marine Nature Reserves. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: May 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019c) Douglas Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019d) Little Ness Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019e) Baie ny Carrickey Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019f) Langness Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019g) Niarbyl Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019h) Port Erin Bay Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019i) Calf of Man and Wart Bank Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

Isle of Man Government (2019j) West Coast Marine Nature Reserve. Isle of Man Government, Biosphere, Manx Wildlife Trust, Poster. Available: https://www.gov.im/about-the-government/departments/environment-food-and-agriculture/environment-directorate/ecosystem-policy-team/wildlife-biodiversity-and-protected-sites/protected-sites/marine-nature-reserves/. Accessed: July 2023.

IUCN Red List (2021) Available: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Accessed: June 2023.

Pike, C. Crook, V. and Gollock, M. (2020) *Anguilla anguilla*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2020. Available: https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/60344/152845178. Accessed: May 2023.

Jeewoonarain, T., Parsons, E.C.M., Evans, P.G.H. (2000) Operation sightings: sightings of cetaceans in the Southern Hebrides, Scotland, European Research on Cetaceans, 13, 237-241.

Jenkins, S. (2018) Isle of Man Fisheries Science Annual Report 2018. Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture Group Bangor University, Report no.4. Available: fisheries-conservation.bangor.ac.uk/iom/documents/AnnualReport_2018.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

Jenkins, S., Bloor, I., Coleman, M. and Garrat, M. (2021) Annual Fisheries Science Report 2021. Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture Group School of Ocean Sciences. Available: http://sustainable-fisheries-iom.bangor.ac.uk/documents/annual-reports/AnnualReport-2021.pdf Accessed: July 2023.

Jensen, H., Rindorf, A., Wright, P.J. and Mosegaard, H., 2011. Inferring the location and scale of mixing between habitat areas of lesser sandeel through information from the fishery. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil, 68 (1), pp. 43-51.

JNCC (2012) UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework (2012–2019). Four Countr'es' Biodiversity Group. Available: https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/587024ff-864f-4d1d-a669-f38cb448abdc#UK-Post2010-Biodiversity-Framework-2012.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

JNCC (2014) Solway Firth Designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Available: Solway Firth - Special Areas of Conservation (jncc.gov.uk). Accessed: May 2023.

JNCC (2015) River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake. Designate Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Available: https://sac.jncc.gov.uk/site/UK0030032. Accessed: May 2023.

JNCC (2021a) Sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus Species Information. JNCC. Available: https://sac.jncc.gov.uk/species/S1095/. Accessed: May 2023.

JNCC (2021b) River lamprey Lampetra fluviatilis Species Information. JNCC. Available: https://sac.jncc.gov.uk/species/S1099/. Accessed: May 2023.

JNCC (2021c) Allis shad Alosa alosa Species Information. JNCC. Available: https://sac.jncc.gov.uk/species/S1102/. Accessed: May 2023.

JNCC (2021d) Twaite shad Alosa fallax Species Information. JNCC. Available: https://sac.jncc.gov.uk/species/S1103/. Accessed: May 2023.

JNCC (2022). River Dee and Bala Lake / Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid. Designed Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Available: River Dee and Bala Lake/ Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid - Special Areas of Conservation (jncc.gov.uk). Accessed: May 2023.

Kennington, K. 2011. Ramsey Bay Marine Nature Reserve Base-Line Survey (August 2011). Preliminary Report. DEFA, Isle of Man.

Kruuk, H. (1963) Diurnal periodicity in the activity of the common sole, *Solea vulgaris* Quensel. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research, 2(1), pp. 1-28. Available: DOI:10.1016/0077-7579(63)90002-4. Accessed: May 2023.

Lambert, G.I., Murray, L.G., Kaiser, M.J., Lincoln, H., and Cambie, G. (2013) Welsh waters scallop survey - Cardigan Bay to Liverpool Bay July-August 2013. Fisheries and Conservation Report No.30, Bangor University.

Latto P. L., Reach I.S., Alexander D., Armstrong S., Backstrom J., Beagley E., Murphy K., Piper R. and Seiderer L.J. (2013) Screening Spatial Interactions between Marine Aggregate Application Areas and Sandeel Habitat. A Method Statement produced for BMAPA.

Lawson, J.M., Pollom, R.A., Gordon, C.A., Barker, J., Meyers, E.K.M., Zidowitz, H., Ellis, J.R., Bartoli, A., Morey, G., Fowler, S.L., Alvarado, D.J., Fordham, S.V., Sharp, R., Hood, A.R., and Dulvy, N.K. (2019) Extinction risk and conservation of critically endangered angel sharks in the Eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 77(1), pp. 12-29. Available: https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz222. Accessed: May 2023.

Lundy, M., McCorriston, P., McCausland, I., Erskine, K., Lilley, K., Heaney, G., McArdle, J., Buick, A., Graham, J., Reeve, C., & Doyle, J. (2019). Western Irish Sea Nephrops Grounds (FU15) 2019 UWTV Survey Report and catch options for 2020. AFBI and Marine Institute UWTV Survey report.

Lyle, A. A., and Maitland, P. S. (1997) The spawning migration and conservation of smelt *Osmerus eperlanus* in the River Cree, southwest Scotland. Biological Conservation, 80(3), 303-311. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(96)00039-0. Accessed: May 2023.

MacNab, S. and Nimmo, F. 2021. Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Commercial Fisheries Baseline Report. Report produced by Poseidon Aquatic Resources Management Ltd.

Maitland, P., and Lyle, A. (1996) The smelt *Osmerus eperlanus* in Scotland. Freshwater Forum, 6, 57-68. Available: http://hdl.handle.net/1834/22175. Accessed: May 2023.

Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch (2023) Basking Sharks sightings data. Available: https://www.mwdw.net/recent-basking-shark-sightings/. Accessed: May 2023.

Marine Management Organisation (MMO), 2019. Dee Estuary SPA and SAC. Available: MMO Report Style and GIS Guide (publishing.service.gov.uk). Accessed: May 2023.

Malcolm, I.A., Godfrey,J., and Youngson, A.F. (2010) Review of migratory routes and behaviour of Atlantic salmon, sea trout and European eel in Scotland’s coastal environment: implications for the development of marine renewables. Marine Scotland Science, Report, ISSN: 2043-7722, available:

https://tethys.pnnl.gov/sites/default/files/publications/Malcolm_et_al_2010.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

Marine Institute (2021). The Stock Book 2021: Annual Review of Fish Stocks in 2021 with Management Advice for 2022. Marine Institute, Galway, Ireland.

Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN). 2022. Great scallop *Pecten maximus*. Available: https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1398. Accessed: May 2023.

MarineSpace Ltd, ABPmer Ltd, ERM Ltd, Fugro EMU Ltd and Marine Ecological Surveys Ltd (2013a). Environmental Effect Pathways between Marine Aggregate Application Areas and Atlantic Herring Potential Spawning Habitat: Regional Cumulative Impact Assessments. Version 1.0. A report for the British Marine Aggregates Producers Association.

MarineSpace Ltd, ABPmer Ltd, ERM Ltd, Fugro EMU Ltd and Marine Ecological Surveys Ltd (2013b). Environmental Effect Pathways between Marine Aggregate Application Areas and Sandeel Habitat: Regional Cumulative Impact Assessments and Case Study Environmental Impact Assessments. A report for BMAPA.

Marshall. C and Wilson. E (2009). *Pecten maximus*. Great scallop. Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Sub-programme. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. Available: http://www.marlin.ac.uk/speciesinformation.php?speciesID=4056. Accessed: May 2023.

Mazik, K., Strong, J., Little, S., Bhatia, N., Mander, L., Barnard, S. & Elliott, M. 2015. A review of the recovery potential and influencing factors of relevance to the management of habitats and species within Marine Protected Areas around Scotland. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 771.

Mellet, C., Lond, D. and Carter, G. (2015) Geology of the seabed and shallow subsurface: The Irish Sea. British Geological Survey Commissioned Report, CR/15/057, British Geological Survey (BGS) 52.

Mills, D. (1989) Ecology and Management of Atlantic Salmon. Springer Science & Business Media, pp. 351.

MMO (2019) Dee Estuary SPA and SAC Factsheet. MMO Report. Available: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/851105/Dee_Estuary_SPA_and_SAC_Factsheet.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

MMO (2021) UK Sea Fisheries Annual Statistics Report 2020. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/uk-sea-fisheries-annual-statistics-report-2020. Accessed: May 2023.

Mona Offshore Wind Ltd (2023) Mona Offshore Wind Project Scoping Report. 842pp.

Montaudouin, X. and Bachelet, G. (1996) Experimental evidence of complex interactions between biotic and abiotic factors in the dynamics of an intertidal population of the bivalve *Cerastoderma edule*. Oceanologica Acta. 19: 449-463.

Moore, A.B., Bater, R., Lincoln, H., Robins, P., Simpson, S.J., Brewin, J., Cann, R., Chapman, T., Delargy, A., Heney, C. and Jones, M. (2020) Bass and ray ecology in Liverpool Bay.

Moore, A.B.M., and Hiddink, J.G. (2022) Identifying critical habitat with archives: 275-year-old naturalist's notes provide high-resolution spatial evidence of long-term core habitat for a critically endangered shark. Biological Conservation, 109621. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109621. Accessed: May 2023.

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd. (2023) Morecambe Offshore Wind Farm, Volume 1, Chapter 10: Fish and shellfish ecology. PEIR chapter.

Morgan Offshore Wind Limited (2023) Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets PEIR. Volume 4, Annex 8.1: Fish and shellfish ecology technical report.

Morey, G., Barker, J., Hood, A., Gordon, C., Bartolí, A., Meyers, E.K.M., Ellis, J., Sharp, R., Jimenez-Alvarado, D., and Pollom, R. (2019). *Squatina squatina*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T39332A117498371. Available: https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/39332/117498371. Accessed: May 2023.

Moriarty, M., Greenstreet, S., Dransfeld, L., Shepard, S., Trenkel, V., Reid, D. (2015) Variation in the abundance distribution of skate and ray species in the Celtic Seas. Presentation to Fisheries Society of the British Isles Annual Symposium 30th July, Plymouth University, UK.

Murray, L.G., Hinz, H. and Kaiser, M.J. (2009) Annual Marine Fisheries Research Report to DAFF 2007/2008. Fisheries & Conservation report, Bangor University 8.

Natural England (2017) Natural England’s advice to Defra on Marine Conservation Zones to be considered for consultation in 2017. Natural England Joint Publication JP026. Available: https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6079955233931264. Accessed: May 2023.

Natural England. (2019a) European Site Conservation Objectives: Supplementary Advice on Conserving and Restoring Site Features. River Dee and Bala Lake/ Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid Special Area of Conservation (SAC) UK0030252 Available: https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/4660149109129216. Accessed: May 2023.

Natural England. (2019b) European Site Conservation Objectives: Supplementary Advice on Conserving and Restoring Site Features. River Ehen Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Available: https://sac.jncc.gov.uk/site/UK0030057. Accessed: May 2023.

Natural England (2022) Offshore Wind Marine Environmental Assessments: Best Practice Advice for Evidence and Data Standards Project. DEFRA Offshore Wind Enabling Actions Programme. Available: https://naturalengland.blog.gov.uk/2022/04/13/offshore-wind-best-practice-advice-to-facilitate-sustainable-development/. Accessed: May 2023.

National Biodiversity Data Centre (NBDC) (2019) Species detail: Lesser Spotted Dogfish (*Scyliorhinus canicula*). [Online]. Available: https://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/Species/15574n. Accessed: May 2023.

National Biodiversity Network (NBN) Atlas. (2019). Available: NBN Atlas - UK’s largest collection of biodiversity information. Accessed: May 2023.

Neill, S.P., and Kaiser, M.J. (2008) Sources and sinks of scallops (*Pecten maximus*) in the waters of the Isle of Man as predicted from particle tracking models. Fisheries & Conservation report No. 3, Bangor University, pp. 25.

Natural Resources Wales (NRW). (2018). Dee Estuary / Aber Dyfrdwy Special Area of Conservation. Indicative site level feature condition assessment. NRW Evidence Report No: 229. Available: https://naturalresourceswales.gov.uk/media/684383/dee-estuary-sac-ica-2018.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

Natural Resources Wales (NRW) (2021) LIFE Dee River Project. Welsh Government, Environment Agency, Dŵr Cymru/Welsh Water and Snowdonia National Park Authority, LIFE18 NAT/UK/000743. Available: https://naturalresourceswales.gov.uk/about-us/what-we-do/our-projects/nature-projects/life-dee-river-project/?lang=en. Accessed: May 2023.

Newell, R. I. E. and Bayne, B. L. (1980) Seasonal changes in the physiology, reproductive condition and carbohydrate content of the cockle *Cardium* (=*Cerastoderma*) *edule* (Bivalvia: Cardiidae). Marine Biology. 56: 11-19.

North Western Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority (NWIFCA), 2022. *Nephrops*. Available: https://www.nw-ifca.gov.uk/managing-sustainable-fisheries/nephrops-norvegicus/. Accessed: May 2023.

Ocean Ecology Limited (2022) Morecambe Offshore Wind Farm Benthic Characterisation Survey Technical Report.

Öndes, F., Kaiser, M.J. and Murray, L.G., 2018. Fish and invertebrate by-catch in the crab pot fishery in the Isle of Man, Irish Sea. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 98(8), pp.2099-2111.

O'Sullivan D., O'Keefe E., Berry A., Tully O., Clarke M. (2013) An Inventory of Irish Herring Spawning Grounds, Irish Fisheries Bulletin, vol. 42, pp 1-38.

Palomares, M.L.D. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2022. SeaLifeBase.

Parker-Humphreys, M. (2004) Distribution and relative abundance of demersal fishes from beam trawl surveys in the Irish Sea (ICES Division VIIa) 1993–2001. Science Series Technical Report, CEFAS, Lowestoft, 120, 68 pp.

Parker, H.W. and Stott, F.C. (1965) Age size and vertebral calcification in the basking shark *Cetorhinus maximus* (Gunnerus). Zoologische Mededelingen, 40: 305-319.

Parry, E.S., Gregory, S.D., Lauridsen, R.B. and Griffiths, S.W., 2018. The effects of flow on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) redd distribution in a UK chalk stream between 1980 and 2015. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 27(1), pp.128-137

Perry, C. and P. Roriston. 2009. Survey of *Modiolus modiolus* reef south of Douglas Harbour, Isle of Man. Report to DAFF Wildlife and Conservation Grants Scheme.

Quigley, D.T.G. (2006) Angleshark (*Squatina squatina*) in Irish Waters. Sherkin Comment, 41, SFPA article.

Reach I.S., Latto P., Alexander D., Armstrong S., Backstrom J., Beagley E., Murphy K., Piper R. and Seiderer L.J. (2013) Screening Spatial Interactions between Marine Aggregate Application Areas and Atlantic Herring Potential Spawning Areas. A Method Statement produced for BMAPA.

Riccioni, G., Stagioni, M., Piccinetti, C., and Libralato, S. (2018) A metabarcoding approach for the feeding habits of European hake in the Adriatic Sea. Ecology and Evolution, 8(21), 10435-47. Available: doi: 10.1002/ece3.4500. Accessed: May 2023.

Rijnsdorp, A.D., Vingerhoed, B. (2001) Feeding of plaice Pleuronectes platessa, and sole *Solea solea* in relation to the effects of bottom trawling. Journal of Sea Research, 45: 219-230.

Robinson, M. (2015) The abundance, movement and site fidelity of the adult whelk, *Buccinum undatum*, in the territorial waters of the Isle of Man. MSc Dissertation, Bangor University. Available: http://fisheries-conservation.bangor.ac.uk/iom/documents/robinson_msc_thesis_2015.pdf. Accessed: May 2023.

Rogers, S., and Stocks, R. (2001) North Sea Fish and Fisheries. Strategic Environmental Assessment - SEA2 Technical Report 003 - Fish and Fisheries Written by CEFAS Data supplied by FRS.

RWE Renewables UK (2022) Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm. Category 6: Environmental Statement.

Salomonsen, H., Lambert, G., Murray, L.G. and Kaiser, M.J. (2015) The spawning of king scallop, *Pecten maximus*, in Welsh waters – A preliminary study. Fisheries and Conservation report No. 57, Bangor University, pp. 21.

Savina, M., Lacroix, G., and Ruddick, K. (2010) Modelling the transport of common sole larvae in the southern North Sea: Influence of hydrodynamics and larval vertical movements. Journal of

Marine Systems, 81(1-2), pp. 86-98. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.12.008. Accessed: May 2023.

Seagreen Wind Energy Ltd. (2019). Seagreen Alpha and Seagreen Bravo Offshore Wind Farms Marine and Migratory Fish Monitoring Plan. Available at: https://marine.gov.scot/sites/default/files/marine_and_migratory_fish_monitoring_plan.pdf Accessed February 2023.

SeaScape (2008) Burbo offshore wind farm, first post-construction 2m beam trawl report (2007 survey). Report by CMACS Ltd to SeaScape Energy.

SeaScape (2011a) Burbo offshore wind farm, Yr 3 Post-construction 2m beam trawl report (2009 survey). Report by CMACS Ltd to SeaScape Energy.

SeaScape (2011a) Burbo offshore wind farm, Yr 3 Post-construction 4m beam trawl report (2010 survey). Report by CMACS Ltd to SeaScape Energy.

Shark Trust (2022) Basking Shark Project – Hotspots and Sightings. Online report, Available: https://www.sharktrust.org/Handlers/Download.ashx?IDMF=49e43478-8532-424c-9ca1-f2515d892dfd. Accessed: August 2023.

Sims, D. W., 1999. Threshold foraging behaviour of basking sharks on zooplankton: life on an energetic knife-edge? Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 266, 1437–1443.

Sims, D.W. (2008) Sieving A Living: A Review Of The Biology, Ecology And Conservation Status Of The Plankton-Feeding Basking Shark *Cetorhinus maximus*. Advances in Marine Biology 54: 171-220.

Sims, D.W. and Quayle, V.A. (1998) Selective Foraging Behaviour of Basking Sharks on Zooplankton in a Small-scale Front. Nature, 393, 460-464. Available: https://doi.org/10.1038/30959. Accessed: May 2023.

Sims, D.W., Fowler, S.L., Clò, S., Jung, A., Soldo, A. and Bariche, M. (2015) *Cetorhinus maximus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T4292A48953216. [Online]. Available: https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/4292/48953216. Accessed: May 2023.

Sims, D.W., Southall, E.J., Quayle, V.A. and Fox, A.M. (2000) Annual social behaviour of basking sharks associated with coastal front areas. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 267: 1897-1904.

Sims, D.W., Southall, E.J., Tarling, G.A. and Metcalfe, J.D. (2005) Habitat-specific normal and reverse diel vertical migration in the plankton-feeding basking shark. Journal of Animal Ecology, pp.755-761.

Sims, D.W., Southall, E.J., Humphries, N.E., Hays, G.C., Bradshaw, C.J.A., Pitchford, J.W. (2008) Scaling laws of marine predator search behaviour. Nature, 451: 1089-1102.

Skinner, A., Young, M. and Hastie, L. (2003) Ecology of the Freshwater Pearl Mussel. Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers. Ecology Series No. 2. English Nature, Peterborough.

Skomal, G.B., Wood, G. and N. Caloyianis (2004) Archival tagging of a basking shark in the western North Atlantic, Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 84 (4), 795-799.

Smith, I.P. and Smith, G.W., 1997. Tidal and diel timing of river entry by adult Atlantic salmon returning to the Aberdeenshire Dee, Scotland. Journal of Fish Biology, 50(3), pp.463-474.

Smith, J. (2014) Age validation of lemon sole (*Microstomus kitt*) using marginal increment analysis. Fisheries Research, 157, pp. 41-6. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2014.03.011. Accessed: May 2023.

Speedie, C. (1999) Basking Shark Phenomenon 1998. Glaucus 10: 6-8.

Stone, E., Howe, L. and Parsons, M. (2013) The Isle of Man Shark Tagging Programme End of Year Report 2013. The Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture Report.

Sund, O. (1943) Et Brugdebrasel. Naturen, 67, 285-286.

Thomas, A., Howe, L. and Duncan, P. (2018) Manx Marine Environmental Assessment, Ecology/Biodiversity, Chapter 3.7: Marine and coastal conservation.

Titan Environmental Surveys Ltd (2005) West of Duddon Sands Offshore Wind Farm, Baseline Benthic Survey. Dataset. Available: https://www.marinedataexchange.co.uk/details/2298/2005-titan-environmental-surveys-ltd-west-of-duddon-sands-offshore-wind-farm-baseline-benthic-survey/summary. Accessed: May 2023.

Tyler-Walters, H. (2007) *Cerastoderma* edule Common cockle. In: Tyler-Walters H. Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. Available: https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1384. Accessed: November 2023.

Tyler-Walters, H. (2008) *Mytilus edulis* Common mussel. In: Tyler-Walters H. Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. Available from: https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1421. Accessed: November 2023.

Tyler-Walters, H., Tillin, H.M., d'Avack, E.A.S., Perry, F., Stamp, T., 2018. Marine Evidence-based Sensitivity Assessment (MarESA) – A Guide. Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN). Marine Biological Association of the UK, Plymouth, pp. 91. Available: https://www.marlin.ac.uk/publications. Accessed: May 2023.

UK Government, 2022. Strategic Environmental Assessment 6: Environmental Report. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/strategic-environmental-assessment-6-environmental-report. Accessed: May 2023.

Van der Kooij, J., Scott, B.E., and Mackinson, S. (2008) The effects of environmental factors on daytime sandeel distribution and abundance on the Dogger Bank. Journal of Sea Research, 60(3), pp. 201-209. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2008.07.003. Accessed: May 2023.

van der Kooij, J., Campanella, F., Rodríguez Climent, S. (2021). Pressures on forage fish in Welsh Waters. Cefas Project Report for RSPB, 35 pp.

Van Deurs, M., Van Hal, R., Tomczak, M. T., Jónasdóttir, S. H., and Dolmer, P. (2009) Recruitment of lesser sandeel *Ammodytes* marinus in relation to density dependence and zooplankton composition. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 381:249-258. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07960. Accessed: May 2023.

Walmsley, S., and Pawson, M. (2007) The coastal fisheries of England and Wales, Part V: a review of their status 2005-6. Science Services Technical Report. CEFAS Lowestoft, 140, 6-78.

Walsh, M., Johnstone, A.D.F. (2006) Spawning behaviour and diel periodicity of egg production in captive Atlantic mackerel, *Scomber scombrus* L.*. Journal of Fish Biology, 40(6), pp. 939-50. Available: DOI:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1992.tb02639.x. Accessed: May 2023.

Welsh Government (2016) Environment (Wales) Act 2016 – Section 7 Biodiversity Partnership Priority Species. Welsh Government. Available: https://biodiversitywales.org.uk/Section-7. Accessed: May 2023.

Wheeler, A. (1992) The pocket guide to salt water fishes of Britain and Europe (1997 ed.) Pargate Books, p.56, ISBN 1855853647.

Wilson, E. 2006. *Todaropsis eblanae* Lesser flying squid. In Tyler-Walters H. and Hiscock K. Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews, [Online]. Plymouth:

Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. Available: https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1115. Accessed: May 2023.

Wright PJ, Bailey MC (1996) Time of hatching in *Ammodytes marinus* from Shetland waters and its significance to early growth and survivorship. Mar Biol 126:143–152.

Wright P. J., Jensen H., Tuck I. (2000) The influence of sediment type on the distribution of the lesser sandeel, *Ammodytes marinus*. Journal of Sea Research, 44 (pg. 243-256).