

ASSET INVESTIGATION DETAILS						
SAP Asset Name:	Gilfachreda CSO			Asset Template reference	BP0319101-GILFACHREDA CSO NEWQUAY CEREDIGION-0-Stage 4 - Non CBA-Ceredigion	
Investigation Type	SOAF (River)					
Year of breach:	2017	Spill Trigger cause:	Hydraulic			
Year of Investigation:	2020	Investigation year performance:	92			
Population of Asset	476	Modelled Performance: (DESIGN) / (CALIBRATED)	50 / 83			
Permit Details						
Storm Permit ID:	BP0319101	Storm Permit Name:	Gilfachreda CSO, Newquay, Ceredigion			
Asset NGR:	SN4102458842	Waterbody ID	GB110063041400			
Discharge NGR:	SN4093758908	Water body Discharge location	Afon Lethi			
Brief description of asset (Screen, PFF flow control, Storage, outfall)						
<p>Incoming Pipe: 225; CSO Type: Single-sided, high level weir ; Screening: Static 1d bar screen - Consent is 6mm in 2 dimension static bar screen installed - spacing 42mm; Flow Control: None ; PFF Pipe: 150mm; Storage Provision: 40m3; Consent: 10/s</p>						
SOAF STAGE 1						
Details of assessment:	<p>Asset condition surveys supported by hydraulic model assessment of the asset performance against available telemetry information (EDM and radar rainfall datasets). Additional flow and rainfall monitoring was undertaken to improve the baseline model accuracy and assist in defining the root cause of spills.</p>					
Permit Compliance						
PFF	Compliant					
Storage	Compliant					
Screening	Not Complaint - static bar screen installed - spacing 42mm					
Bespoke/Other	N/A					
SOAF Stage 1 findings						
<p>Following the hydraulic model assessment, the cause of the high spills at the asset is concluded to be hydraulic. The predicted pass-forward flow exceeds consent prior to the first spill. The model is fit for use, based on the reported spill numbers and telemetry trends.</p> <p>Telemetry trends clearly show the effects of rainfall induced groundwater infiltration during the winter months which has a significant effect on the predicted spill count. Representations using an industry standard, average level of infiltration (40% PG) predict a spill count for the assessment year below that of the threshold for investigation level (50).</p>						
Cause of spill count :	Other Cause	OC Infiltration	Catchment Hydraulic	Yes	Infiltration & IRP required	Yes
Future Operational Management Proposal:	<p>The primary cause of the high spills is hydraulic and as such the asset progresses for Stage 2 and 3 assessments under the worst-case impact scenario of the current performance. However, operational interventions detailed below are required to mitigate excessive spills beyond the design criteria and should be implemented prior to the final Stage 4 decision confirmation.</p>					
Operational intervention required:	<p>The system is operating as designed and no additional maintenance changes are required to improve the operation of the asset.</p>					
SOAF Operational Intervention						
Start Date:	Apr-24	Completion Date:	TBC	Indicative future annual spill performance (less than 40 do not continue to stage 2)	50	

Intervention Description:	Infiltration has been identified as a factor in excess spills at this asset. An infiltration reduction plan (IRP) is in the process of development to address the problem. It is recognised in the Storm Overflow Assessment Framework that investigation and resolution of infiltration issues can be difficult and that solutions may be iterative with IRPs potentially only succeeding over the medium to long-term.				
Target Completion by Date:	Apr-29	Data years to be excluded from future SOAF triggers calculations	-	Request to hold stage 2 surveys for environment recovery	

SOAF STAGE 2					
Receiving Waterbody WFD Status			Moderate		
Stage 2a					
Aesthetic survey:	Spring	2022	Aesthetic Total score (inclusive of amenity classification, previous complaints & pollutions)	10	Very Low
	Autumn	2022		5	Very Low
Stage 2b					
Invertebrate survey:	Spring	2022	Invertebrate survey score:	4	Low
	Autumn	2022		0	No impact
Stage 2c Required:					
Stage 2c screening:	-	Progressed through screening?	-	Stage 2c water quality assessment Score:	-

SOAF STAGE 3 - STEP 1>3						
Options assessed	Rainscape		Traditional Storage	N	PFF Increase	N
Equivalent storage volume required	15.8m3	Rainscape Cost		£241,740.00	CBR	0.0
Bespoke future trigger agreement	40	Traditional Storage		£90,807.58	CBR	0.0
		Other		£-	CBR	-
Key Constraints	No known constraints.					
Future Active Management Proposal	The primary cause of spills was hydraulic and Stage 2 impact assessments have shown that the asset was having a minimal effect on the receiving waterbody, with the waterbody itself requiring improvement to achieve Good or higher status. Assessment of the potential high-level solutions have indicated that any solution entailed excessive costs for the benefit it provided and thus the asset does not pass the SOAF Cost Benefit threshold and will not progress to detailed benefits assessment as part of the SOAF process. Further details are shown below detailing DCWW's plans for storm overflow spill reduction.					

Conclusion and Future Spill Reduction Proposals					
Summary	<p>Based on the direction from the Welsh Government led Better River Quality Task Force, DCWW Storm overflow spill reduction programme will target the elimination of ecological harm and prevention of adverse ecological impact of any SO.</p> <p>With a large programme of assets requiring improvement priority will be given to CSOs having the greatest impact in the most sensitive receiving waters.</p> <p>To ensure that the improvement delivered is long term, the improvements for each site will be based on the expectation that water quality upstream of the discharge meets good or high ecological status (GES) irrespective of the actual status of the water.</p> <p>This approach has formed the basis of DCWW's portfolio investment plan for Storm Overflows.</p> <p>Gilfachreda CSO, Newquay, Ceredigion was Shown to have a Low Impact therefor as set out above based upon our Long Term Delivery Strategy a spill reduction scheme to eliminate this level of impact is Profiled to be delivered before 2040</p>				
Asset Prioritisation Level	Priority 4			Delivery Predicted Period	AMP10/11
Asset NEP ID	DCWW102078a	Asset NEP Driver Code	W_U_O_IMP1	Detailed Design Predicted Period	AMP9/10
Progression to Stage 5 In AMP	No	Proposed Solution yet to be taken through detailed design developed			

SOAF AGREEMENT						
	Date	SOAF STAGE		Name	Contact Details	Location of Output
DCWW Approval	02/04/2024	Stage 4 - Non CBA		Christian Phillips Adams	christian.phillips@dwrwymru.com	Email
Regulator Liaison Date	Click here to enter a date					
CSO Classification						
Satisfactory	N	Unsatisfactory	Y	Sub Standard	Y	
		Any operation in dry weather conditions?	N	Does not meet modern standards of engineering and aesthetic control for storm overflow structures set out in the British standard BS EN 752:2017 drain and sewer systems outside buildings	N	
		Any operation in breach of permit conditions?	Y	Does not have sufficient hydraulic capacity compared to accepted minimum design standards	Y	
		Any significant visual or aesthetic impact due to solids or sewage fungus?	N	Risks becoming unsatisfactory because discharges have increased beyond the original design due to infiltration, growth and urban creep	N	

Cause or significantly contributes to a deterioration in the biological or chemical status of the receiving water?	Y
Causes or significantly contributes to failures in bathing water quality standards for identified bathing waters?	N/A
Causes or significantly contributes to failures in shellfish quality standards for identified shellfish waters	N/A
Causes or significantly contribute to failures in water quality standards in coastal and transitional waters?	N/A
Causes pollution of groundwater?	N/A