



PREPARED FOR




# Air Quality Assessment

Microsoft Newport Quinn  
Environmental Permit Assessment –  
Permit Variation

DATE  
04 June 2025

REFERENCE  
0657169



## DOCUMENT DETAILS

The details entered below are automatically shown on the cover and the main page footer. PLEASE NOTE: This table must NOT be removed from this document.

DOCUMENT TITLE	Air Quality Assessment
DOCUMENT SUBTITLE	Microsoft Newport Quinn Environmental Permit Assessment – Permit Variation
PROJECT NUMBER	0657169
Date	04 June 2025
Version	03
Author	Cameron Taylor
Client name	Microsoft

## DOCUMENT HISTORY

				ERM APPROVAL TO ISSUE		
VERSION	REVISION	AUTHOR	REVIEWED BY	NAME	DATE	COMMENTS
01	01	Cameron Taylor	Christie Hazell Marshall, Rahul Patel, Alice Ward	Susanne Baker	30.01.2024	Draft AQIA for client review
02	01	Cameron Taylor	Christie Hazell Marshall, Rahul Patel, Alice Ward	Susanne Baker	11.07.2024	New model data
03	01	Cody Wong	Cameron Taylor	Susanne Baker	17.01.2025	Update for application
04	01	Cameron Taylor	Christie Hazell Marshall, Rahul Patel	Susanne Baker	04.06.2025	Revised AQIA accounting for NRW Schedule 5 questions for issue

SIGNATURE PAGE

# Air Quality Assessment

## Microsoft Newport Quinn Environmental Permit Assessment – Permit Variation

0657169



---

**Susanne Baker**

Partner

Environmental Resources Management Ltd  
2nd Floor Exchequer Court  
33 St Mary Axe  
London  
EC3A 8AA

© Copyright 2025 by The ERM International Group Limited and/or its affiliates ('ERM'). All Rights Reserved.  
No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, without prior written permission of ERM.

## CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	2
2.	STRUCTURE OF REPORT	5
3.	SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS ASSESSED	6
3.1	SITE DEVELOPMENT	6
3.2	ASSESSMENT SCENARIOS	8
3.3	DESCRIPTION OF GENERATOR ENGINES	8
3.3.1	Generators	8
3.3.2	Engines Operation	9
3.3.3	SCR Emissions	10
4.	LEGAL FRAMEWORK	11
4.1	AIR QUALITY STANDARDS	11
4.2	SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT	12
5.	AIR QUALITY BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS	14
5.1	LOCAL DIFFUSION TUBE MONITORING	14
5.1.1	Methodology and Scope	15
5.1.2	Monitoring Data	16
5.1.3	DEFRA Mapping Data and Monitoring Data	18
5.1.4	Ozone (AOT40) and SO <sub>2</sub>	18
6.	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	20
6.1	MODEL PARAMETERS AND INPUTS	20
6.2	EMISSION PARAMETERS	22
6.3	RECEPTORS PARAMETERS	24
6.3.1	Human Receptors	24
6.3.2	Ecological Receptors	27
7.	IMPACT ASSESSMENT	31
7.1	INTRODUCTION	31
7.2	PM <sub>10</sub> SCREENING	31
7.3	SO <sub>2</sub> SCREENING	32
7.4	DETAILED ASSESSMENT OF NO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NO AND NH <sub>3</sub>	33
7.4.1	Testing Regime	33
7.4.2	Emergency Operation	47
7.4.3	Cumulative Impacts	70
7.4.4	In-combination assessment	71
8.	CONCLUSION	73
8.1	TESTING REGIME – NO <sub>x</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , NO AND NH <sub>3</sub>	73
8.2	EMERGENCY OPERATION – NO <sub>x</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , NO AND NH <sub>3</sub>	73
8.3	PM <sub>10</sub> AND SO <sub>2</sub>	73

---

APPENDIX A MODEL PARAMETERS

---

APPENDIX B CONTOUR PLOTS

---

APPENDIX C MODELLED RESULTS OF THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON NON-STATUTORY  
ECOLOGICAL SITES

---

APPENDIX D IN-COMBINATION IMPACT

---

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 3-1 TESTING REGIME AND MODELLED SCENARIOS	9
TABLE 4-1 APPLICABLE AIR QUALITY STANDARDS AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT LEVELS	11
TABLE 4-2 ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS FOR NO <sub>2</sub>	12
TABLE 4-3 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA FOR IMPACTS ON RECEPTORS	12
TABLE 5-1 SAMPLING POINT LOCATIONS (NO <sub>2</sub> AND NO <sub>x</sub> )	15
TABLE 5-2 MONITORING DATA OF NO <sub>2</sub> AND NO <sub>x</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>	17
TABLE 5-3 BASELINE AIR QUALITY FROM DEFRA/LOCAL MONITORING SITES	18
TABLE 5-4 BACKGROUND OZONE AND SO <sub>2</sub>	19
TABLE 6-1 AIR DISPERSION MODEL METHODOLOGY AND PARAMETERS	20
TABLE 6-2 MODELLED EMISSIONS PARAMETERS	22
TABLE 6-3 MODELLED SENSITIVE POTENTIAL RECEPTORS	24
TABLE 6-4 MODELLED SENSITIVE ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS	27
TABLE 7-1 MODELLED 24-HOUR MEAN CONCENTRATIONS FOR PM <sub>10</sub> SCREENING BASED ON 8-HOUR OF OPERATIONS, ALL ENGINES, 100% LOAD	31
TABLE 7-2 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR MONTHLY TEST	34
TABLE 7-3 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR QUARTERLY TEST	35
TABLE 7-4 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR ANNUAL TESTS	36
TABLE 7-5 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR QUINQUENNIAL TESTS	39
TABLE 7-6 MODELLED ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE TESTING REGIME	40
TABLE 7-7 MODELLED NO <sub>x</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	41
TABLE 7-8 MODELLED NO <sub>x</sub> 24-HOUR MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	42
TABLE 7-9 MODELLED NH <sub>3</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	43
TABLE 7-10 MODELLED NUTRIENT NITROGEN DEPOSITION (kgN/ha/yr)	45
TABLE 7-11 MODELLED ACID DEPOSITION (KEQ/HA/YR)	46
TABLE 7-12 PREDICTED MODELLED CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE 1-HOUR EMERGENCY OUTAGE SCENARIO	48
TABLE 7-13 PREDICTED MODELLED CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE 72-HOUR EMERGENCY OUTAGE SCENARIO	51
TABLE 7-14 NO <sub>x</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS	54
TABLE 7-15 NO <sub>x</sub> 24-HOUR MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS	57



TABLE 7-16 NH <sub>3</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS	59
TABLE 7-17 NUTRIENT NITROGEN DEPOSITION (kgN/ha/yr) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS	64
TABLE 7-18 ACID DEPOSITION (keq/ha/yr) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS	67

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 3-1 SITE LOCATION PLAN	7
FIGURE 5-1 LOCATION OF NEAREST AQMAS	14
FIGURE 5-2 SAMPLING POINT LOCATIONS (NO <sub>2</sub> AND NO <sub>x</sub> )	16
FIGURE 6-1 SITE LAYOUT – EMISSION SOURCES	24
FIGURE 6-2 SITE LOCATION IN RELATION TO HUMAN RECEPTORS	26
FIGURE 6-3 SITE LOCATION IN RELATION TO ECOLOGICAL SITES WITHIN 10KM	29
FIGURE 6-4 NON-STATUTORY ECOLOGICAL SITES WITHIN 2 KM	30

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Name	Description
AOT	Accumulated Ozone exposure over a Threshold
APIS	Air Pollution Information System
AQIA	Air Quality Impact Assessment
AQS	Air Quality Standard
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
Breached, breaching, breach	Used when the predicted ambient concentration of a pollutant at a receptor will not comply with the air quality standard. For example, if the 1-hour mean NO <sub>2</sub> standard is predicted to be exceeded 20 times at a receptor, a breach of the NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean is therefore predicted as there would be more than the 18 allowed exceedances of this standard.
°C	Degrees Celsius
CL	Critical Load
DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs
EA	Environment Agency
EP	Environmental Permit
EP Regulations	Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (as amended)
ERM	Environmental Resources Management Limited
Microsoft	MSFT MCIO Limited
Exceeded, exceedance, exceed	Used when a predicted concentration is above an air quality standard threshold. For example, a 1-hour mean NO <sub>2</sub> predicted environmental contribution of 220 µg/m <sup>3</sup> exceeds the 200 µg/m <sup>3</sup> air quality standard.

Name	Description
g/s	Grams per second
K	Degrees Kelvin
Keq/ha/yr	Kiloequivalents per hectare per year
LNR	Local Nature Reserve
LWS	Local Wildlife Site
m/s	Metres per second
m <sup>3</sup> /s	Cubic metres per second
mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Milligrams per cubic metre
NCC	Newport City Council
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
N/ha/yr	Potential surplus of nitrogen on agricultural land in hectares per year
NIP	Newport Imperial Park
NNR	National Nature Reserve
NO	Nitric Oxide
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen dioxide
NO <sub>x</sub>	Oxides of nitrogen (mixture of NO and NO <sub>2</sub> )
PC	Process Contribution
PEC	Predicted Environmental Concentration
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Particulate Matter of diameter below or equal to 2.5 µm
PM <sub>10</sub>	Particulate Matter of diameter below or equal to 10 µm
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulphur dioxide
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
UPS	Uninterrupted Power Supply
µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Micrograms per cubic metre

## APPLICATION CHECKLIST

For ease of reference, the table below sets out information required for a permit application in the gov.uk guidance published by the Environment Agency and applicable to Wales titled “Environmental permitting: air dispersion modelling reports” and the corresponding sections in this report.

Requirement	Location in Report
Purpose of the study	Section 1 and Section 3.1
Describe the site	Section 3
Modelled scenarios	Section 3.2
Location map	Appendix A
Surrounding land use map	Appendix A
Relevant environmental standards	Section 4.1
Background level	Section 5
Explain the model	Section 6 and Appendix A
Emission parameters	Section 6.2 and Appendix A
Stack location	Figure 6-1 and Appendix A
Modelled domain and receptors	Section 6.1 and Appendix A
Weather and surface characteristics	Section 6.1 Wind Roses in Appendix A
Terrain and building treatments	Section 6.1 and Appendix A
Special treatments	Section 6.1
Impact Assessment	Section 7
Sensitivity analysis	Not applicable
Isopleths/Contour plots	Appendix B
Model input files	Sent with application electronically



# 1. INTRODUCTION

The following assessment has been prepared by Environmental Resources Management Limited (ERM) on behalf of Microsoft, based on data on anticipated operations provided to ERM by Microsoft.

Microsoft is planning to build a data centre at the Newport Imperial Park (NIP). This data centre will be subject to Environmental Permit (EP) requirements, due to the proposed use of diesel generators at the data centre for the provision of back-up power in the event of a grid outage. The diesel generators could also be converted to run on Hydrotreated Vegetable Oil (HVO), without engine modification. The proposed thermal capacity of these generators will exceed 50 MWth and therefore operation will require an EP under Schedule 1, Part 2 of The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (as amended) (EP Regulations).

Further information and clarification was requested by National Resource Wales (NRW) on a Schedule 5 Notification letter dated the 8<sup>th</sup> May 2025. This version of the air quality impact assessment (AQIA) addresses the Schedule 5 questions and supersedes the previous version (dated 17<sup>th</sup> January 2025). A summary of how NRW's queries has been addressed is outlined in Table 1. Updates to the report are shown in red text for ease of review.

**TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO NRW SCHEDULE 5**

<b>NRW Schedule 5 Comment</b>	<b>ERM Update and Response</b>	<b>Section of report updated</b>
1.1 In relation to the pro-rating of modelled hourly process contributions (PCs) for sub-hourly emission scenarios, check that method for deriving the PCs for all sub-hourly emission scenarios has taken into account the unabated portion (i.e. 7 or 12 minutes) of emission that occurs at the start of the modelled hour. If it does not, please re-calculate and re-submit these PCs such that they include the relevant portion of unabated emissions.	The modelling has been updated to take into account this Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) warmup for the sub-hourly testing scenarios.	Section 7. Impact Assessment. Appendices
1.2 In relation to the use of a 15% conversion ratio for NO <sub>x</sub> to NO <sub>2</sub> for short-term (hourly) exposure at receptors located within 500m of the originating source, revise and re-submit	The post-processing has been updated to take into account the worst-case 35% NO <sub>x</sub> to NO <sub>2</sub> conversion. Given that the proposed project "passes" using the 35% conversion factor no further	Section 6.1. Model Parameters and Inputs Section 7. Impact Assessment Appendices

NRW Schedule 5 Comment	ERM Update and Response	Section of report updated
the short-term (hourly) PCs using a worst-case 35% NO <sub>x</sub> /NO <sub>2</sub> conversion ratio.	more detailed modelling using site specific NO <sub>x</sub> to NO <sub>2</sub> conversion factors was required.	
1.3 In relation to the pro-rating of the maximum modelled PCs from all generators operating simultaneously, provide a revised assessment that is based on the worst-performing engine (or bank of engines).	The modelling has been updated to take into account the worst performing engine.	Section 7. Impact Assessment Appendices

The AQIA set out in this report supports the installation of 28 emergency backup generators on site which will be fitted with SCR (Selective Catalytic Reduction) equipment to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Another three generators have also been included in the model which also have SCRs installed. The assessment uses diesel as the fuel type as a worst-case scenario when considering NO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

The main potential environmental impacts from the operation of the diesel generators are emissions to air. As per the Environment Agency (EA) working draft guidance<sup>1</sup> (also applicable to NRW) the most important consideration is the potential to breach the short-term ambient air quality standard (AQS) for hourly mean nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). This standard allows the threshold to be exceeded 18 times in a calendar year before a breach of the standard is recorded. This report presents an assessment of potential impacts to air quality from the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions generated by the planned data centre engines.

The impact assessment has been carried out using an air dispersion model to predict the potential impact of the engines' emissions. The model is based on data provided by Microsoft and RED Engineering Design Limited (RED) as well as publicly available environmental data.

Impacts are assessed for:

- Human health – versus short-term and long-term NO<sub>2</sub> and NO standards; and
- Protected conservation areas – versus short-term and long-term NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> Critical Levels and nitrogen deposition and acid deposition Critical Loads.
- The assessment and report have been prepared following the relevant guidance and published documents:

<sup>1</sup> Data Centre FAQ, 15/11/2022-DRAFT version 21.0 to techUK for discussion

- Environment Agency, 2023, *Air emissions risk assessment for your environmental permit*, <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/air-emissions-risk-assessment-for-your-environmental-permit> ;
- Environment Agency, 2021, *Environmental permitting: air dispersion modelling reports*, <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/environmental-permitting-air-dispersion-modelling-reports>; and
- Environment Agency, 2022, *Data Centre -DRAFT version 21.0*, shared with techUK for discussion.

In the absence of NRW air quality assessment guidance for use in Wales specifically, ERM considers the air quality guidance from the EA as hosted on the gov.uk website to be relevant for this assessment.

In the context of this report, the assessment considers the following definitions:

- Exceeded, exceedance, exceed: Used when a modelled concentration is above an air quality standard threshold. For example, a 1-hour mean NO<sub>2</sub> modelled environmental contribution of 220 µg/m<sup>3</sup> exceeds the 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> air quality standard;
- Breached, breaching, breach: Used when the modelled ambient concentration of a pollutant at a receptor will not comply with the air quality standard. For example, the 1-hour mean NO<sub>2</sub> standard is predicted to be exceeded 20 times at a receptor, resulting in a breach on account of being more than the 18 allowed exceedances of the standard;

The short-term impacts of PM<sub>10</sub><sup>2</sup> have been modelled as part of this assessment. Long-term PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> have not been assessed as the engines only operate for a small number of hours per year and therefore significant impacts are not expected to arise.

For these data centres, sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) is not expected to be a material issue since all fuel oil (including HVO, the intended fuel) is specified as ultra-low sulphur. No screening or detailed assessment has thus been undertaken for SO<sub>2</sub>.

The SCR system proposed to be fitted on the generators on site will result in some potential ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) emissions ('slippage') which has also been considered in this assessment.

---

<sup>2</sup> PM<sub>10</sub> is the particulate matter in the air with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 µm or less.

## 2. STRUCTURE OF REPORT

This report details the air quality assessments undertaken for the Project Site:

- Background and Context;
- Legal Framework;
- Air quality background concentrations;
- Assessment Methodology;
- Impact assessment; and
- Conclusions.

### 3. SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS ASSESSED


#### 3.1 SITE DEVELOPMENT

Microsoft is proposing to construct and operate a new data centre campus, comprising two data centre buildings CWL01 and CWL02, collectively called the Newport Campus. The Site will be located on the Former Quinn Radiator Manufacturing Site, Imperial Park Business Park, Celtic Way, Duffryn, Newport, NP10 8BE. The two component data centre buildings are warehouse-style constructions, which will each contain data storage, internal and external ancillary equipment, including 28 diesel fuelled back-up generators. The back-up generators provide power to the data centre only in the event of grid supply failure. Microsoft proposes to install 20 generators at the CWL01 data centre and 8 generators are planned to be installed at the CWL02 data centre of the Site.

At the Site there will also be two administrative generators for the provision of emergency back-up generation to fulfil the Site's non-data hall (server room) energy demand and one generator for the provision of emergency back-up generation to power the Site's water treatment plant. The administrative generators and water treatment plant generators will only operate in the event of a loss of power from the mains incoming supply. There will also be two small diesel fuelled fire pumps (one as a standby to the other) that are assumed to have a rated input of <1MWth each.

A map showing a detailed visualisation of the Site is shown in Figure 3-1.

FIGURE 3-1 SITE LOCATION PLAN





## 3.2 ASSESSMENT SCENARIOS

Generators are normally only operated during routine testing and maintenance. Actual operation of the generators as the primary source of data centre power is uncommon based on the local grid reliability and the Site's power supply design. As noted in the NRW decision notice for an adjacent data centre "The National Grid reliability of the high voltage transmission system to which the data centre is connected is >99.999%."

All generators will be tested regularly to demonstrate that they can fulfil their back-up supply requirements. Microsoft has confirmed that the 28 emergency backup diesel generators, two administrative building generators and one water treatment plant generator will follow the same test regime.

This assessment considers six test scenarios for the generators that will be undertaken at the Newport Site. These being:

- Monthly test – 8 times per year;
- Quarterly test – 3 times per year;
- Annual test – once per year;
- Annual PIT test – once per year;
- USS Switchgear (Quinquennial) test – once every five years; and
- UPM Switchgear (Quinquennial) test – once every five years

The potential impacts of a total power outage has also been assessed with two emergency scenarios in which all of the engines on site are assumed to run for up to 1-hour and 72-hours continuously.

## 3.3 DESCRIPTION OF GENERATOR ENGINES

### 3.3.1 GENERATORS

Each new generator set comprises a generator engine and alternator in a combined set. The generators are for backup generation purposes only, i.e. for electrical generation in the event of a failure of the national grid electrical supply.

The site will be served by two incoming power supplies. A day one supply of 13 MVa will be provided by Scottish and Southern Energy (SSE), which will reuse the existing capacity of the 11kV supply, SSE has confirmed that this supply has capacity and will not add any additional pressure onto the local network. The day two supply will be a new supply from National Grid/SSE and fed from the Imperial Park substation. The day two supply will be at the 132 kV level and will provide approximately 92 MVa of capacity to the site. This connection will be a new supply and will be provided at the HV 132 kV level.

The data centres will be protected from short term brown-outs or black-outs by uninterruptable power supplies (UPS). These buffer small fluctuations in electrical supply. If the UPS detects power failure or extended reduced power, some, or all of the generators within the data centre will start automatically to begin generating sufficient electricity to match the load required by the data centre. The UPS can supply power for several minutes but ordinarily the generators would kick in well before this time elapses.

### 3.3.2 ENGINES OPERATION

Once fully developed, there will be 31 generators installed (including the two admin generators and one water treatment plant generator) at the Newport Site.

The generator engines will not be used to routinely provide power. However, the engines are tested regularly to ensure that they are capable of reliably fulfilling the backup supply requirements and during routine maintenance. All of the different tests and two potential emergency power scenarios have been included in the impact assessment. The modelled scenarios for the assessment are presented in Table 3-1.

**TABLE 3-1 TESTING REGIME AND MODELLED SCENARIOS**

Regime	Expected Frequency	Representative Duration	Scheduling	Number of generator engines	Load
Testing Regime – All tests					
Monthly Test	Monthly <sup>b</sup>	15-min	Weekdays	All engines individually <sup>a</sup>	No electrical load. Modelled as 30% load on engine
Quarterly Test	Quarterly <sup>c</sup>	30-min	Weekends	All engines individually <sup>a</sup>	70% engine load
Annual	Annually	1 hour	Weekends	All engines individually <sup>a</sup>	100% engine load
PIT Test	Annual	90 min	n/a	All engines individually <sup>a</sup>	Depending on load within associated CELL <sup>d</sup>
USS Switchgear	Quinquennial	90 min	n/a	All <sup>e</sup>	Depending on load within associated CELL/COLO <sup>d</sup>
UPM Switchgear	Quinquennial	90 min	n/a	All <sup>e</sup>	Depending on load within associated CELL/COLO <sup>d</sup>
Emergency power					
Emergency power	Unpredictable	1 hour	Any time	All	60% engine load <sup>f</sup>

Regime	Expected Frequency	Representative Duration	Scheduling	Number of generator engines	Load
Emergency power	Unpredictable	72 hours	Any time	All	60% engine load <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Tests occur in sequential hours, not in the same hour.

<sup>b</sup> The monthly load bank test is undertaken eight times a year at the Newport Site. Three of the remaining tests are replaced by the quarterly load bank test and the final test is replaced by the annual test.

<sup>c</sup> The quarterly test is undertaken three times a year. The fourth test is replaced by the annual load bank test.

<sup>d</sup> Specific CELL/COLO information was not available for this assessment therefore a worst case scenario of 60% was used for these tests.

<sup>e</sup> Specific groupings of engines were not supplied by the client for this assessment therefore a worst case scenario in which all generators are run together was used for these tests.

<sup>f</sup> It has been assumed that all engines would be running at 60% load in case of emergency. This is a worst-case scenario and in reality, it is expected that only a part of the engines would be running, with others in standby in case of failure.

### 3.3.3 SCR EMISSIONS

SCR is an emissions abatement system that works to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by combining generator exhaust gases with a reductant (for this application, typically urea, known commercially as 'AdBlue') and passing it over a fixed catalyst bed. A chemical reaction occurs during this process during which oxides of nitrogen react with ammonia forming water and nitrogen. This sometimes results in "ammonia slip" whereby not all of the urea is consumed in the reaction. In an appropriately designed SCR system that is functioning as it should, there should be little if no ammonia slip as it is an undesirable phenomenon which results from too much ammonia being injected to the system.

Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) systems will be installed on all 31 generators on the Newport site.

For this assessment, the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction from the operation of the SCR has been assumed to be approximately 90%. Ammonia slip was assessed based on NH<sub>3</sub> values supplied by the manufacturer. The SCR systems are expected to require a warm-up period prior to being fully operational. During the warm-up period, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from the generators are assumed to be essentially unabated as the worst case. To consider the operation of the SCRs, models have been set up to approximate the effects of a 7-minute and 12-minute warm-up time for the Colo and Admin/WTU engines respectively. Average NO<sub>x</sub> emission rates accounting for the warm-up were developed for each averaging period being assessed (10-minute, 30-minute, 1-hour, 4-hour and 8-hour).

## 4. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

### 4.1 AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

The protection of human health and of protected conservation areas from adverse air quality is regulated through the use of Air Quality Standards (AQS) transposed in UK law<sup>3</sup> from EU standards<sup>4</sup> as well as Environmental Assessment Levels (EALs) which are part of UK permitting guidance<sup>5</sup>.

The statutory criteria of relevance for this assessment are set out in Table 4-1. As the generator engines are only operated for a few hours per year, only short-term AQS have been scoped in for PM<sub>10</sub>.

To assist in the assessment of significance of short-term process contributions, Table 4-2 presents acute exposure guideline levels (AEGl) defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**TABLE 4-1 APPLICABLE AIR QUALITY STANDARDS AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT LEVELS**

Applicability	Pollutant	Averaging period	Assessment Criterion (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Percentile
Sensitive Human Receptor	NO <sub>2</sub>	1-hour mean, not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year	200	99.79 <sup>th</sup>
		Annual mean	40	N/A
	PM <sub>10</sub>	24-h mean, not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	50	90.4 <sup>th</sup>
	NH <sub>3</sub>	1-hour mean	2,500	n/a
		Annual mean	180	n/a
	NO	1-hour mean	4,400	n/a
		Annual mean	310	n/a
Sensitive Ecological Receptor	NO <sub>x</sub>	24-hour mean	200 <sup>a</sup>	100 <sup>th</sup>
		Annual mean	30	N/A
	NH <sub>3</sub>	Annual mean	1	n/a

<sup>a</sup> The EA H1 guidance for air emissions risk assessments for environmental permits advises that for detailed assessments where ozone is below the AOT40 critical level and sulphur dioxide is below the lower critical level of 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, a higher AQS of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> should be used compared to the recommended 75 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>3</sup> The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010 Statutory Instrument 2008/301,  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2010/1001/contents/made>

<sup>4</sup> European Union Air Quality Standards, <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/quality/standards.htm>

<sup>5</sup> UK government, Air Emissions Risk Assessment for your Environmental Permit,  
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/air-emissions-risk-assessment-for-your-environmental-permit#check-if-you-need-to-do-other-risk-assessments>

TABLE 4-2 ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS FOR NO<sub>2</sub>

AEGL <sup>a</sup>	10 min (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	30 min (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1 hour (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	4 hour (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	8 hour (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
AEGL-1 (non-disabling)	940	940	940	940	940
AEGL-2 (disabling)	38,000	28,000	23,000	15,000	13,000
AEGL-3 (lethal)	64,000	47,000	38,000	26,000	21,000

<sup>a</sup> The AEGL thresholds are sourced from the US Environment Protection Agency (US EPA) and have no regulatory significance in the UK. The modelled results of the air quality assessment are compared against the AEGL thresholds as part of a request by the EA.

Sensitive ecological receptors, nutrient nitrogen, and acid depositions were assessed against site-specific critical loads. These were obtained from the Air Pollution Information System (APIS<sup>6</sup> consulted January 2025) website, based on the site relevant critical loads tool. APIS is an online database detailing critical loads and background concentrations for sensitive ecological sites, developed in partnership by the UK conservation agencies and regulatory agencies, and the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology. It is important to note that APIS periodically updates or removes CL figures without providing notifications or maintaining records of these changes. The baseline conditions for site-specific CL referenced in this assessment represent the available data at the time the assessment was conducted, as noted by the consultation date. The information used is believed to be sufficiently representative considering the low PC values for most sites for nutrient nitrogen and acid deposition.

## 4.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT

The impacts of the emissions from the Newport site are assessed based on the:

- Process Contribution (PC); and
- Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC), the PEC being the Process Contribution (PC) added to the baseline.

The criteria for significance of the impact on sensitive human and ecological receptors are presented in Table 4-3.

TABLE 4-3 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA FOR IMPACTS ON RECEPTORS

Site Designation	PC, as % of AQS or CL	PEC, as % of AQS or CL	Significance
<b>Sensitive Human Receptors</b>			
<i>Short Term</i>			
Any sensitive human receptor	<10%		Insignificant
	>10%	<100%	Insignificant
	>10%	>100%	Potentially significant
<i>Long Term</i>			

<sup>6</sup> UK Air Pollution Information System, [www.apis.ac.uk](http://www.apis.ac.uk)

Site Designation	PC, as % of AQS or CL	PEC, as % of AQS or CL	Significance
Any sensitive human receptor	<1%		Insignificant
	>1%	<100%	Insignificant
	>1%	>100%	Potentially significant
<b>Sensitive Ecological Receptors</b>			
<i>Short-term Impact</i>			
Ramsar, SAC, SPA or SSSI	<10%	-	Insignificant
	>10%	-	Potentially significant
AW, LWS, LNR or NNR	<100%	-	Insignificant
	>100%	-	Potentially significant
<b>Long-term Impact</b>			
Ramsar, SAC, SPA or SSSI	<1%	-	Insignificant
	>1%	<70%	Insignificant
	>1%	>70%	Potentially significant
AW, LWS, LNR or NNR	<100%	-	Insignificant
	>100%	-	Potentially significant

If the PEC at specified receptors indicate that the short-term hourly standard for NO<sub>2</sub> has the potential to be breached more than 18 times a year, then the EA guidance on dispersion modelling for oxides of nitrogen assessment from specified generators<sup>7</sup> requests to perform a statistical analysis. The likelihood of actual exceedances is classified as follows:

- ≤1%, highly unlikely;
- <5%, unlikely within 20 years of operation; and
- ≥5%, likely potential for significance. In this case, further proposals to reduce the risk of the exceedance are required.


<sup>7</sup> Environment Agency, 2019, Specified generators: dispersion modelling assessment, <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/specified-generators-dispersion-modelling-assessment>



## 5. AIR QUALITY BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

Newport City Council (NCC) has declared eleven Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) related to breaches of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean AQS<sup>8</sup>. The nearest AQMAs to the Project Site are the Caerphilly Road AQMA and Glasllwch AQMA which are approximately 2.8km north of the Project Site. The extent of the AQMAs are shown in Figure 5-1.

FIGURE 5-1 LOCATION OF NEAREST AQMAS



Note: Project Site is surrounded by red line boundary. AQMAs are blue areas.

### 5.1 LOCAL DIFFUSION TUBE MONITORING

During the pre-application discussions with Newport City Council (NCC), for CWL01 & CWL02, NCC indicated the desire to see a baseline air quality survey undertaken in the vicinity of the proposed data centres. ERM conducted a three-month diffusion tube monitoring survey between June 2023 – October 2023 to assess NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> background levels in the vicinity of the project.

<sup>8</sup> Newport City Council, October 2022, 2022 Air Quality Progress Report,  
<https://www.newport.gov.uk/documents/Transport-and-Streets/Pollution-and-Noise-Control/Newport-APR-2022-Issue-Final-28-12-22-002.pdf>


### 5.1.1 METHODOLOGY AND SCOPE

Passive Diffusion Samplers were deployed for a period of one month at a time, and the period mean concentration was determined by analysis of samples in the laboratory. Two diffusion samplers for each site were set up on the initial site visit and changeover per month with a total of 72 diffusion samplers.

Twelve monitoring locations agreed by Newport City Council were used for NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring on human health and for NO<sub>x</sub> monitoring on habitats. The coordinates of each sampling point location are set out in Table 5-1 and shown in Figure 5-2.

**TABLE 5-1 SAMPLING POINT LOCATIONS (NO<sub>2</sub> AND NO<sub>x</sub>)**

Sampling point	Easting	Northing
NO <sub>2</sub>		
N1	328358	184145
N2	328387	184310
N3	328785	184268
N4	328471	184780
N5	328336	185202
N6	327921	185209
N7	327499	185215
N8	327551	184498
N9	327465	183962
N12	328348	185814
NO <sub>x</sub>		
NO <sub>x</sub> 1	328482	183748
NO <sub>x</sub> 2	328193	183621

FIGURE 5-2 SAMPLING POINT LOCATIONS (NO<sub>2</sub> AND NO<sub>x</sub>)

### 5.1.2 MONITORING DATA

The monitoring data over the three-month period measured at the sampling points are set out in Table 5-2. The NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at all sampling locations over the three months are below the annual mean AQS of 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The maximum NO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the sampling location is 24.78 µg/m<sup>3</sup> which is monitored at Site N12, adjacent to the junction of the M4 and A48. The higher values here are likely due to the greater amount of traffic flows compared with other monitoring sites.

The NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations measured over the three months are below the 30 µg/m<sup>3</sup> environmental standard. The maximum NO<sub>x</sub> concentration at the sampling location is 9.79 µg/m<sup>3</sup> which is monitored at Site NOx2. This value was lower than the concentrations obtained from APIS for habitats assessment therefore APIS values were used as a worst case.

For the purposes of this impact assessment, the maximum NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> levels are used as a worst-case scenario.

TABLE 5-2 MONITORING DATA OF NO<sub>2</sub> AND NO<sub>x</sub> µG/M<sup>3</sup>

Sampling Point	Diffusion Tube [1]	27/06/2023 - 02/08/2023	02/08/2023 - 06/09/2023	06/09/2023 - 04/10/2023	Average
N1	N1_1	7.69	10.81	14.47	10.99
N1	N1_2	8.00	11.34	14.62	11.32
N2	N2_1	*	*	*	-
N2	N2_2	*	*	*	-
N3	N3_1	3.98	*	11.76	7.87
N3	N3_2	*	*	11.45	11.45
N4	N4_1	10.56	12.84	15.88	13.09
N4	N4_2	10.82	12.61	16.08	13.17
N5	N5_1	12.97	14.81	20.15	15.97
N5	N5_2	*	15.84	20.45	18.15
N6	N6_1	13.45	18.03	17.53	16.34
N6	N6_2	8.96	14.45	18.24	13.89
N7	N7_1	7.57	10.08	13.20	10.29
N7	N7_2	7.61	10.06	12.84	10.17
N8	N8_1	13.34	13.17	15.61	14.04
N8	N8_2	8.96	12.15	16.58	12.57
N9	N9_1	5.98	8.32	10.77	8.36
N9	N9_2	6.17	8.27	11.16	8.53
N12	N12_1	16.49	19.17	23.51	19.72
N12	N12_2	15.63	20.23	24.78	20.21
Average NO <sub>2</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>		9.89	13.26	16.06	13.07
Max NO <sub>2</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>		16.49	20.23	24.78	20.21
NO <sub>2</sub> AQS µg/m <sup>3</sup>		40			
NOx1	NOx1_1	6.19	8.19	7.81	7.39
NOx1	NOx1_2	6.49	7.95	7.51	7.31
NOx2	NOx2_1	5.56	6.92	9.07	7.18
NOx2	NOx2_2	6.32	8.60	9.79	8.24
Average NO <sub>x</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>		6.14	7.92	8.54	7.53

Sampling Point	Diffusion Tube [1]	27/06/2023 - 02/08/2023	02/08/2023 - 06/09/2023	06/09/2023 - 04/10/2023	Average
Max NO <sub>x</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>		6.49	8.60	9.79	8.24
NO <sub>x</sub> AQS µg/m <sup>3</sup>		30			
Note:	* Missing tube or corrupted results				
	[1] Two diffusion tubes were set up for each sampling point				

### 5.1.3 DEFRA MAPPING DATA AND MONITORING DATA

In addition to the NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> monitoring data, the baseline air quality information was reviewed from background maps<sup>9</sup> and local monitoring reports<sup>10</sup> for NO, NH<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>. The DEFRA mapping value obtained for NO<sub>2</sub> was seen to be comparable to the monitoring data and therefore the latter was considered valid for use in this assessment for this pollutant. Baseline pollutant levels are shown in Table 5-3 Narbeth was used as the local monitoring site for NH<sub>3</sub> as it was the closest monitoring location to the Project Site that measured ammonia.

TABLE 5-3 BASELINE AIR QUALITY FROM DEFRA/LOCAL MONITORING SITES

Source	Pollutants Monitored	Baseline Value (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Period
Defra Mapping (2022)	NO <sub>2</sub>	16.5	Annual Mean (2022)
	PM <sub>10</sub>	15.3	
	NO	11.1	
Local Monitoring Site: Narberth (2022)	NH <sub>3</sub>	1.97	Annual Mean (2022)

### 5.1.4 OZONE (AOT40) AND SO<sub>2</sub>

The 24-hourly 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> standard for NO<sub>x</sub> on designated environmental sites is justified by the levels of ozone and SO<sub>2</sub> in the local area as outlined in Section 4.1. Ozone is not monitored in Newport. The Cwmbran Crownbridge Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN) monitoring site was instead used to obtain background ozone. This site is considered the most representative of the project site as it is a similar urban background site and the closest to the project location (approximately 11.5 km north of the Project Site). SO<sub>2</sub> is not monitored in Newport or Cwmbran, and instead, the Cardiff Centre AURN monitoring site was used to obtain background SO<sub>2</sub>. The site is in the middle of Cardiff city centre and is located approximately 12 km southwest of the Project Site. Table 5-4 outlines the background ozone and SO<sub>2</sub> levels from the AURN sites.

<sup>9</sup> <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2018>

<sup>10</sup> [https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/networks/site-info?uka\\_id=UKA00323](https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/networks/site-info?uka_id=UKA00323)

TABLE 5-4 BACKGROUND OZONE AND SO<sub>2</sub>

Source	Threshold	Pollutants Monitored	Monitored Baseline	Notes
Cwmbran Crownbridge automatic monitoring site	6,000 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Ozone	1,228 µg/m <sup>3</sup> , as the AOT40	AOT40 accumulated hourly value (01 May – 31 July 2023, 08.00:20.00)
Cardiff Centre automatic monitoring site	10 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	SO <sub>2</sub>	1.35 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean from 2023



## 6. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

### 6.1 MODEL PARAMETERS AND INPUTS

The key elements of the methodology used for carrying out the air dispersion modelling are set out in Table 6-1.

**TABLE 6-1 AIR DISPERSION MODEL METHODOLOGY AND PARAMETERS**

Parameter	Approach	Notes
Dispersion model	Lakes AERMOD View 11.2.0	
Number of sources	31 spread across two buildings	See Section 6.1 for site layout and Figure 6-1 for source locations. Note: additional fire pump generators were not included in the assessment as data was not available however they would be expected to be small emitters in comparison to other generator engines on site.
Model domain	8km x 8km centred on Site (multi tier grid) (10km x 10 km for habitats analysis).	Impacts from the data centre will typically arise within 1,000m of source, and impacts further afield are unlikely to be significant.
Receptor grid resolution	Multi Tier Grid (8km x 8km) Up to 500m from centre: 20m Up to 4,000m from centre: 50m Habitats Grid (10km x 10km) Up to 500m from centre 20m Up to 4,000 from centre 50m Up to 10,000 from centre 100m	Stack heights are 14 m for all Newport site generators.
Discrete sensitive receptors	24	Detailed information in Section 6.3.1
Buildings	6 buildings, on Site or in Newport Imperial Park	All buildings that are greater than one third of the stack height, within five stack heights of the stack, are included. Buildings dimensions and location presented in <i>Appendix A</i> .
Terrain	Not required	There is no sustained gradients of >1:10 in the vicinity of the Site, and therefore terrain was not included.
Surface Characteristics	Albedo: 0.222 Bowen Ratio: 1.45 Surface Roughness: 1.00	As provided with met data
Meteorological data	Cardiff Airport, 5 years	Hour-sequential data. Wind roses are presented in <i>Appendix A</i> .

Parameter	Approach	Notes
NO <sub>x</sub> to NO <sub>2</sub> conversion ratio	Short-term concentrations: 35%  Long-term concentrations: 70%	A staged assessment is utilised for the NO <sub>x</sub> to NO <sub>2</sub> conversion. At Stage 1 the conversion ratios of 35% and 70% taken from Environment Agency guidance <sup>a</sup> are utilised. If the impacts are acceptable no further assessment is undertaken. However, if potentially unacceptable impacts are predicted then a Stage 2 assessment is undertaken using the Ozone Limiting Method (OLM). For this assessment OLM was not required as results were acceptable with 35% conversion.
Averaging period conversion rates	1-hour maximum NO <sub>x</sub> concentration to 10-minute maximum NO <sub>x</sub> concentration factor: 1.431 1-hour maximum NO <sub>x</sub> concentration to 30-minute maximum NO <sub>x</sub> concentration factor: 1.149	AERMOD does not allow for modelling shorter averaging periods than 1 hour. To estimate maximum NO <sub>2</sub> concentrations for comparison against the 10-minute and 30-minute AEGLs, the power law was used to calculate a factor. These factors were applied to the predicted maximum 1-hour NO <sub>2</sub> concentration to determine a predicted maximum 10-minute and 30-minute NO <sub>2</sub> concentration.
NO <sub>x</sub> to NO conversion ratio	Short-term concentrations: 65%  Long-term concentrations: 30%	Based on the guidance for NO <sub>x</sub> to NO <sub>2</sub> outlined above.

- a) Environment Agency, 2007, *Review of methods for NO to NO<sub>2</sub> conversion in plumes at short ranges*, [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/290985/scho0907bnhi-e-e.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/290985/scho0907bnhi-e-e.pdf)
- b) Environment Agency, 2018, *Guidance on dispersion modelling for oxides of nitrogen assessment from specified generators*, [https://consult.environment-agency.gov.uk/psc/mcp-and-sg-regulations/supporting\\_documents/Specified%20Generators%20Modelling%20GuidanceINTERIM%20FINAL.pdf](https://consult.environment-agency.gov.uk/psc/mcp-and-sg-regulations/supporting_documents/Specified%20Generators%20Modelling%20GuidanceINTERIM%20FINAL.pdf)

## 6.2 EMISSION PARAMETERS

The modelled emission parameters for each source are presented in Table 6-2. Maps showing the proposed stack locations are presented in Figure 6-1.

TABLE 6-2 MODELLED EMISSIONS PARAMETERS

Parameter	Generator		
Type of Gen	Colo	Admin	Water Treatment Unit
No of Gens	CWL01: 20 CWL02: 8	CWL01: 1 CWL02: 1	1
Generator Engine Make/Model	CAT C175-20	CAT C32	Cat C15
Stack Orientation	Vertical	Vertical	Vertical
Stack Height above ground level (m)	14.5	14.5	14.5
Flue Diameter (m)	0.6	0.4	0.25
Emission Velocity (m/s)	41.5	25.6	29.2
Nominal Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	4.01	1.085	0.44
Actual Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	11.74	3.215	1.43
Emission Temperature (K)	733.85	737.75	800.15
NO <sub>x</sub> Concentration <sup>a</sup> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> , 100% load) (no SCR)	2,816	3,543	3,984
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load)	11.3	3.84	1.92
NO <sub>x</sub> Concentration <sup>a</sup> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> , 100% load) (SCR-abated) [1 hour]	281	354	398
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [1 hour]	2.31 <sup>b</sup>	1.08 <sup>c</sup>	0.536 <sup>c</sup>
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [10 minutes]	8.23 <sup>b</sup>	3.84 <sup>c</sup>	1.92 <sup>c</sup>
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [30 minutes]	3.49 <sup>b</sup>	1.77 <sup>c</sup>	0.882 <sup>c</sup>
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [4 hour]	1.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.540 <sup>c</sup>	0.134 <sup>c</sup>
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [8 hour]	1.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.270 <sup>c</sup>	0.067 <sup>c</sup>
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [1 hour] – For 15 min Monthly Test	1.47	3.10	1.55

Parameter	Generator		
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [10 minutes] – For 15 min Monthly Test	8.23	3.84	1.92
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [30 minutes] – For 15 min Monthly Test	2.93	3.11	1.55
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [1 hour] – For 30 min Quarterly Test	1.75	1.66	0.825
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [10 minutes] – For 30 min Quarterly Test	3.49	1.77	0.882
NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) (SCR-abated) [30 minutes] – For 30 min Quarterly Test	8.23	3.84	1.92
PM <sub>10</sub> Concentration <sup>a</sup> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> , 100% load)	6.5	23.2	8.9
PM <sub>10</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load)	0.026	0.025	0.0043
NH <sub>3</sub> Concentration <sup>a</sup> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> , 100% load) (SCR)	30	30	30
NH <sub>3</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load)	0.108	0.027	0.011
NH <sub>3</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) – 1hr	0.108	0.027	0.011
NH <sub>3</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) – 1hr for 30min Quarterly test	0.047	0.01	0.004
NH <sub>3</sub> Emission Rate (g/s, 100% load) – 1hr for 15 min Monthly test	0.016	0.002	0.001

<sup>a</sup> Concentrations were supplied by the client, presented at 5% O<sub>2</sub> content

<sup>b</sup> Emission rate calculated from unabated and SCR-abated NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations on an averaging time basis and taking into account of 7-minute warm-up time for SCR.

<sup>c</sup> Emission rate calculated from unabated and SCR-abated NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations on an averaging time basis and taking into account of 12-minute warm-up time for SCR.

Note 1: the number of generators that would run within the stated time period was taken into account for each generator type. Assumed that one generator would run once per hour i.e. for Colo, 4 gens would run over the 8 hour averaging period, for Admin, 2 gens would run over 8 hour averaging period and for WTU 1 gen over 8 hours.

Note 2: g/s values during <1hr testing (Monthly and Quarterly tests) were prorated by the time engine would run during that test during the 1 hour averaging period.

FIGURE 6-1 SITE LAYOUT – EMISSION SOURCES



## 6.3 RECEPTORS PARAMETERS

### 6.3.1 HUMAN RECEPTORS

Following EA guidance<sup>11</sup>, an impact assessment was carried out for the grid which includes discrete potential sensitive receptor locations at which the public could be expected to be present for one hour, including the closest identified residential receptors. Table 6-3 presents the selected receptors and Figure 6.2 their location.

TABLE 6-3 MODELLED SENSITIVE POTENTIAL RECEPTORS


Receptor name	Type of receptor	X,Y coordinates	Approximate distance from the CWL01 & CWL02 generators (km)
Residential properties 1	Residential	327665, 183549	0.60
The Parc Golf Club	Golf Club	327224, 183648	0.83
Alan's Jungle Plants	Nursery	326710, 183891	1.21
Greenfields High School	School	327929, 185856	1.68
Residential properties 2	Residential	327362, 184426	0.58
Residential properties 3	Residential	327453, 184367	0.48
Residential properties 4	Residential	327402, 184287	0.46
Residential properties 5	Residential	327243, 184522	0.70

<sup>11</sup> Environment Agency, 2018, Guidance on dispersion modelling for oxides of nitrogen assessment from specified generators, [https://consult.environment-agency.gov.uk/psc/mcp-and-sq-regulations/supporting\\_documents/Specified%20Generators%20Modelling%20GuidanceINTERIM%20FINAL.pdf](https://consult.environment-agency.gov.uk/psc/mcp-and-sq-regulations/supporting_documents/Specified%20Generators%20Modelling%20GuidanceINTERIM%20FINAL.pdf)

Receptor name	Type of receptor	X,Y coordinates	Approximate distance from the CWL01 & CWL02 generators (km)
Residential properties 6	Residential	327374, 184585	0.63
Residential properties 7	Residential	327435, 184639	0.61
Residential properties 8	Residential	327535, 184653	0.56
Residential properties 9	Residential	328303, 184904	0.81
Residential properties 10	Residential	328421, 184886	0.85
Residential properties 11	Residential	328471, 184810	0.83
Residential properties 12	Residential	328719, 184758	0.99
Residential properties 13	Residential	328795, 184718	1.04
Residential properties 14	Residential	328808, 184644	1.02
Residential properties 15	Residential	328844, 184552	1.03
St Joseph's Roman Catholic High School	School	329091, 184750	1.32
St Brides Medical Centre	Medical Centre	329423, 184706	1.62
Residential properties 16	Residential	328790, 184227	0.90
Residential properties 17	Residential	328934, 184097	1.06
Residential properties 18	Residential	328924, 184266	1.04
Residential properties 19	Residential	329189, 184385	1.33



FIGURE 6-2 SITE LOCATION IN RELATION TO HUMAN RECEPTORS



### 6.3.2 ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS

As per EA guidance<sup>12</sup>, protected conservation areas within 10 km of the Newport site for SACs, SPAs and Ramsar sites, as well as non-statutory ecological sites within 2 km for AWs, LNRs, NNRs, SSSIs and SINCs were included in the impact assessment. Using the website MAGIC<sup>13</sup>, and SCAIL tool<sup>14</sup> the following sites have been included in the assessment (Table 6-4). Their locations within 10 km and 2 km of the Site are presented in Figure 6-3 and Figure 6-4, respectively.

**TABLE 6-4 MODELLED SENSITIVE ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS**

Name of Site	Type	Distance to Site
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~9km west
Coed-y-Darren <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~8.9km northwest
Lisvane Reservoir <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~9km west
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands	SSSI	~4.5km southeast
Henllys Bog <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~8.7km north
Gwent Levels - St. Brides	SSSI	~300m south
Gwent Levels – Whitson	SSSI	~9km east
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff	SSSI	~6km east
Rhymney River Section <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~8.3km southwest
Rumney Quarry <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~8.1km southwest
Severn Estuary <sup>a</sup>	SSSI, SAC, SPA	~3.2km southeast
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands	SSSI	~5.5km northwest
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) <sup>a</sup>	SSSI, SAC	~3.6km east
Penylan Quarry <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~9.5km southwest
Plas Machen Wood	SSSI	~5km northwest
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone <sup>a</sup>	SSSI	~2.5km southwest
Ancient Woodland A <sup>b</sup>	AW	~1km northwest
Ancient Woodland B <sup>b</sup>	AW	~0.7km southwest
Ancient Woodland C <sup>b</sup>	AW	~1.3km north
Ancient Woodland D <sup>b</sup>	AW	~1.2km north
Ancient Woodland E <sup>b</sup>	AW	~1.7km northeast
Ancient Woodland F <sup>b</sup>	AW	~1.6km east

<sup>12</sup> Environment Agency, 2016, *Air Emissions Risk Assessment for your Environmental Permit*, <http://www.gov.uk/guidance/air-emissions-risk-assessment-for-your-environmental-permit>

<sup>13</sup> Natural England, 2018, MAGIC interactive map, <https://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx>

<sup>14</sup> <https://www.scail.ceh.ac.uk/cgi-bin/combustion/input.pl?action=load&session=595185997>

Name of Site	Type	Distance to Site
Celtic Springs <sup>b</sup>	SINC	~0.7km north
Cwm Pensidan <sup>b</sup>	SINC	~1.7km northeast
LG Duffryn Site 1 and 2 <sup>b</sup>	SINC	~0.4km east
Duffryn Pond <sup>b</sup>	SINC	~1.4km east

Note: The Dan y Graig Quarry, Risca SSSI (located ~7.9km north west of the Project Site) was not included in the assessment as it is considered a geological site and does not have associated critical loads (as per APIS).

<sup>a</sup>: These specific sites were not include din the abitat screening assessment for acid deposition as site-specific Critical Loads for acidity information were not available from the APIS website.

<sup>b</sup>: These non-statutory sites were assessed against the critical levels for ambient NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>.



FIGURE 6-3 SITE LOCATION IN RELATION TO ECOLOGICAL SITES WITHIN 10KM






FIGURE 6-4 NON-STATUTORY ECOLOGICAL SITES WITHIN 2 KM



## 7. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 7.1 INTRODUCTION

The assessment considers the potential impact of the following routine operations:

- Monthly test;
- Quarterly test;
- Annual test;
- Annual PIT test; and
- Quinquennial tests (USS Switchgear and UPM Switchgear).

In addition, the potential impacts of emergency power generation are assessed, noting the likely rarity of these events. A screening assessment was undertaken for PM<sub>10</sub> in Section 7.2. A detailed assessment was undertaken in Section 7.3 for NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, NO and NH<sub>3</sub> for human health and habitats respectively.

### 7.2 PM<sub>10</sub> SCREENING

A screening exercise using modelled data has been undertaken on the basis that the Newport site is running all the engines at the same time at 100% load. This in practice is not how the testing regime is scheduled. This is also an unlikely case for an emergency scenario as not all engines would be expected to run for the whole 8-hours. The results of the modelling for this worst-case scenario are presented in Table 7-1 short-term background concentration for PM<sub>10</sub> of 30.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was used, based on the long-term background presented in Table 5-2.

**TABLE 7-1 MODELLED 24-HOUR MEAN CONCENTRATIONS FOR PM<sub>10</sub> SCREENING BASED ON 8-HOUR OF OPERATIONS, ALL ENGINES, 100% LOAD**

<b>Particulates (PM<sup>10</sup>) Concentration (µg/m<sup>3</sup>), Maximum at any of the Specified Receptors</b>					
24-hour maximum (100th %ile)			24-hour 36th highest hour (90.4th %ile)		
PC	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	PC	PEC	PEC as % of AQS
16.9	47.5	94.9%	12.1	42.7	85.5%

<sup>a</sup> All engines running at 100% load for 8 hours

The modelling results for the screening exercise presented in Table 7-1 show that the AQS is not expected to be exceeded even in this worst case. On this basis, the current testing regime is not expected to result in the AQS being exceeded. No further detailed assessment of PM<sub>10</sub> for each test undertaken or emergency power operations has therefore been performed.

A contour plot of the 36th highest 24-hour mean is presented in Appendix B.

### 7.3 SO<sub>2</sub> SCREENING

A high-level analysis of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions is provided using the results for NO<sub>x</sub> and fuel sulphur content as a basis.

The ultra-low-sulphur diesel used in the engines is regulated<sup>15</sup> to no more than 0.001% sulphur by mass, which substantially limits the potential for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fuel combustion.

Colo (CAT C175-20) engine type was evaluated to screen for the potential maximum 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> PC based on the fuel use, as this cell has been modelled to have the highest 1-hour NO<sub>x</sub> PC at any of the sensitive discrete receptors. The expected fuel consumption for the corresponding engine (i.e., CAT C175-20) is 695 kg/h at full load. This equates to a mass emission rate of 0.001931 g/s as sulphur, or 0.00386 g/s as SO<sub>2</sub>, compared with 2.35 g/s for NO<sub>x</sub>.

Applying the ratio of SO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>x</sub> emission rates in g/s, this results a maximum 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> PC of 0.110 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for a single engine or 2.05 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for all the engines. Both values are significantly lower than the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> AQS of 350 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. On this basis, the SO<sub>2</sub> concentration as a result of the generator operation is not expected to exceed the AQS and no further assessment is required.

---

<sup>15</sup> Directive 2009/30/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, 23 April 2009, <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/eudr/2009/30>.



## 7.4 DETAILED ASSESSMENT OF NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, NO AND NH<sub>3</sub>

### 7.4.1 TESTING REGIME

#### 7.4.1.1 OVERVIEW

The impact assessment considers the potential for the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> AQS of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, the acute exposure guideline levels (AEGLs) and the 1-hour NO and NH<sub>3</sub> EALs to be exceeded at receptors, due to engine emissions. This requires consideration of the baseline. In this case the short-term baseline, based on the long-term background presented in Section 5, is 49.6 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. As such, for NO<sub>2</sub> the Process Contribution (PC) at a receptor must be greater than 150.4 µg/m<sup>3</sup> NO<sub>2</sub> for the Predicted Environmental Concentrations (PEC) to exceed the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> standard which is the most stringent standard.

The modelled PCs and PECs for each of the three tests are set out below.

#### 7.4.1.2 MONTHLY TEST

As described in Section 3.3.2, a monthly test is undertaken as follows:

- The test occurs once per month;
- Running one generator at a time for approximately 15 minutes (15 min run, 5 min cooldown);
- No electrical load corresponding to load and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions 30% of maximum; and
- Each data centre is tested for a maximum of 2 hours and 40 minutes per year.

The predicted maximum concentrations in the entire model domain (including areas containing indicative sensitive receptors) resulting from emissions of any of the engines are presented in Table 7-2.

TABLE 7-2 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR MONTHLY TEST

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )		Baseline (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PC (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PEC (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
NO 1-hour Maximum	EAL	4,400	11.1	21.3	32.4	0.48%	0.74%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	1-hour maximum	200	49.6	17.6	67.1	8.8%	34%
	1-hour 19 <sup>th</sup> highest hour (99.79 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	200		16.0	66	8%	33%
NO <sub>2</sub> 10-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	123	172	13%	18%
	AEGL-2	38,000				0.32%	0.45%
	AEGL-3	64,000				0.19%	0.27%
NO <sub>2</sub> 30-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	40.4	89.9	4.3%	9.6%
	AEGL-2	28,000				0.14%	0.32%
	AEGL-3	47,000				0.086%	0.19%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	17.6	67.1	1.9%	7.1%
	AEGL-2	23,000				0.076%	0.29%
	AEGL-3	38,000				0.046%	0.18%
NO <sub>2</sub> 4-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	17.6	67.1	1.9%	7.1%
	AEGL-2	15,000				0.12%	0.45%
	AEGL-3	23,000				0.076%	0.29%
NO <sub>2</sub> 8-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	17.6	67.1	1.9%	7.1%
	AEGL-2	13,000				0.135%	0.52%
	AEGL-3	21,000				0.0837%	0.32%
NH <sub>3</sub> 1-hour maximum	EAL	2,500	3.9	0.0893	4.03	0.00357%	0.16%

The results presented in Table 7-2 predict no exceedances for any AQS or AEGL as a result of the monthly test.

#### 7.4.1.3 QUARTERLY TEST

As described in Section 3.3.2, the quarterly test is undertaken as follows:

- The test occurs once per quarter (three times per year);

- To be undertaken three times a year, during months where the monthly and annual tests do not take place;
- All generators will be run independently for approximately 30 minutes (30min run, 5min cooldown) at 70% load.

Contour plots for the 1-hour maximum are presented in *Appendix B*.

The predicted maximum concentrations in the entire model domain (including areas containing indicative sensitive receptors) resulting from emissions of any of the engines are presented in Table 7-3.

**TABLE 7-3 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR QUARTERLY TEST**

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Baseline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
NO 1-hour maximum	EAL	4,400	11.1	55.7	66.8	1.3%	1.5%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	1-hour maximum	200	49.6	46.0	95.5	23%	48%
	1-hour 19 <sup>th</sup> highest hour (99.79 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	200		42.0	92	21%	46%
NO <sub>2</sub> 10-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	286	336	30%	36%
	AEGL-2	38,000				0.75%	0.88%
	AEGL-3	64,000				0.45%	0.52%
NO <sub>2</sub> 30-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	106	155	11%	17%
	AEGL-2	28,000				0.38%	0.55%
	AEGL-3	47,000				0.22%	0.33%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	46.0	95.5	4.9%	10%
	AEGL-2	23,000				0.20%	0.42%
	AEGL-3	38,000				0.12%	0.25%
NO <sub>2</sub> 4-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	46.0	95.5	4.9%	10%
	AEGL-2	15,000				0.31%	0.64%
	AEGL-3	23,000				0.20%	0.42%
NO <sub>2</sub> 8-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	46.0	95.5	4.9%	10%
	AEGL-2	13,000				0.35%	0.73%
	AEGL-3	21,000				0.22%	0.45%
NH <sub>3</sub> 1-hour maximum	EAL	2,500	3.94	1.25	5.19	0.050%	0.21%

The results presented in Table 7-3 predict no exceedances for any AQS or AEGL as a result of the quarterly test.

#### 7.4.1.4 ANNUAL TESTS

As described in Section 3.3.2, the annual tests are undertaken as follows:

- Annual Test and Annual PIT Test both occur once per year;
- For each test all generators are run individually. The Annual Test is run for 65 minutes (60 min run and 5 min cooldown). The Annual PIT test is run for 95 minutes (90 min run and 5min cooldown);
- Both tests are run at 100% (note: the load for the PIT test was not given and was assumed to be 100% as a worst-case scenario);
- Electrical load corresponding to load and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions 60% of maximum.

Contour plots for the 1-hour maximum have also been created and are presented in *Appendix B*.

The predicted maximum concentrations in the entire model domain (including areas containing indicative sensitive receptors) resulting from emissions of any of the engines as part of the annual tests are presented in

Table 7-4.

TABLE 7-4 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR ANNUAL TESTS

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (µg/m³)		Baseline (µg/m³)	PC (µg/m³)	PEC (µg/m³)	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
Annual Test							
NO 1-hour maximum	EAL	4,400	11.1	96.7	108	2.2%	2.4%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	1-hour maximum	200	49.6	79.8	129	40%	65%
	1-hour 19 <sup>th</sup> highest hour (99.79 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	200		72.8	122	36%	61%
NO <sub>2</sub> 10-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	409	459	44%	49%
	AEGL-2	38,000				1.1%	1.2%
	AEGL-3	64,000				0.64%	0.72%
NO <sub>2</sub> 30-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	151	200	16%	21%
	AEGL-2	28,000				0.54%	0.72%
	AEGL-3	47,000				0.32%	0.43%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	79.8	129	8.5%	14%
	AEGL-2	23,000				0.35%	0.56%
	AEGL-3	38,000				0.21%	0.34%
NO <sub>2</sub>	AEGL-1	940	49.6	79.8	129	8.5%	14%
	AEGL-2	15,000				0.53%	0.86%

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Baseline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
4-hour maximum	AEGL-3	23,000				0.35%	0.56%
NO <sub>2</sub> 8-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	79.8	129	8.5%	14%
	AEGL-2	13,000				0.61%	1.0%
	AEGL-3	21,000				0.38%	0.62%
NH <sub>3</sub> 1-hour maximum	EAL	2,500	3.94	4.77	8.70	0.19%	0.35%

## Annual PIT Test

NO 1-hour maximum	EAL	4,400	11.1	58.0	69.1	1%	1.6%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	1-hour maximum	200	49.6	47.9	97.4	24%	49%
	1-hour 19 <sup>th</sup> highest hour (99.79 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	200		43.7	93.3	22%	47%
NO <sub>2</sub> 10-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	245	295	26%	31%
	AEGL-2	38,000				0.65%	0.78%
	AEGL-3	64,000				0.38%	0.46%
NO <sub>2</sub> 30-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	90.5	140	9.6%	15%
	AEGL-2	28,000				0.32%	0.50%
	AEGL-3	47,000				0.19%	0.30%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	47.9	97.4	5.1%	10%
	AEGL-2	23,000				0.21%	0.42%
	AEGL-3	38,000				0.13%	0.26%
NO <sub>2</sub> 4-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	47.9	97.4	5.1%	10%
	AEGL-2	15,000				0.32%	0.65%
	AEGL-3	23,000				0.21%	0.42%
NO <sub>2</sub> 8-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	47.9	97.4	5.1%	10%
	AEGL-2	13,000				0.37%	0.75%
	AEGL-3	21,000				0.23%	0.46%
NH <sub>3</sub> 1-hour maximum	EAL	2,500	3.94	2.86	6.80	0.11%	0.27%

The results presented in

Table 7-4 predict no exceedances for any AQS or AEGL from the Annual Test and the Annual PIT Test.



#### 7.4.1.5 QUINQUENNIAL TESTS

As described in Section 3.3.2, the quinquennial tests are undertaken as follows:

- There are two quinquennial tests: USS Switchgear and UPM Switchgear. These both occur once every five years with a 90-minute run time and 5-minute cooldown;
- Each tests involve running each generator as part of a grouping. As these groupings were not known the modelling was completed assuming all generators were running at the same time as a worst-case scenario i.e. 31 generators running for 90 minutes;
- Both quinquennial tests were assumed to run at 60% load as a worst-case scenario as electrical load was not supplied.

The predicted maximum concentrations in the entire model domain (including areas containing indicative sensitive receptors) resulting from emissions of any of the engines as part of the quinquennial tests are presented in Table 7-5.



TABLE 7-5 PREDICTED HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS FOR QUINQUENNIAL TESTS

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Baseline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
NO 1-hour maximum	EAL	4,400	11.1	264	275	6.0%	6.2%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	1-hour maximum	200	49.6	218	267	109%	134%
	1-hour 19 <sup>th</sup> highest hour (99.79 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	200		150	199	74.8%	100%
NO <sub>2</sub> 10-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	1115	1165	119%	124%
	AEGL-2	38,000				2.9%	3.1%
	AEGL-3	64,000				1.7%	1.8%
NO <sub>2</sub> 30-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	411	461	44%	49%
	AEGL-2	28,000				1.5%	1.6%
	AEGL-3	47,000				0.88%	0.98%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	23,000				0.95%	1.2%
	AEGL-3	38,000				0.57%	0.70%
NO <sub>2</sub> 4-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	15,000				1.5%	1.8%
	AEGL-3	23,000				0.95%	1.2%
NO <sub>2</sub> 8-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	13,000				1.7%	2.1%
	AEGL-3	21,000				1.0%	1.3%
NH <sub>3</sub> 1-hour maximum	EAL	2,500	3.94	13.0	16.9	0.52%	0.68%

The results presented in Table 7-5 predict exceedances for the 1-hour AQS and the 10-minute AEGL-1 as a result of each quinquennial test. It is noted that the quinquennial tests are based on a worst-case scenario (all engines running together) when in reality these engines would likely be grouped into smaller cells and thereby resulting in lower emissions.

The quinquennial tests each only occur for 90 minutes every five years therefore it is impossible for this test alone to breach the standard 19 times in a year. In addition, the model takes into account the worst-case meteorological year and the likelihood of the quinquennial test coinciding with the worst-case meteorological conditions and impacting the sensitive

receptors within a specific 10-minute period is highly unlikely. Ambient monitoring is recommended to take place during the test to verify that the AEGL-1 threshold is not exceeded at the Site.

#### 7.4.1.6 IMPACT OF TESTING REGIME ON THE NO<sub>2</sub>, NO AND NH<sub>3</sub> ANNUAL MEAN STANDARDS

The potential to exceed the annual mean NO<sub>2</sub>, NO and NH<sub>3</sub> standards due to the proposed site testing regime (outlined in Section 3.3.2) has been evaluated based upon the anticipated total cumulative impacts of all potential tests.

The resulting expected annual mean concentrations are presented in Table 7-6.

**TABLE 7-6 MODELLED ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE TESTING REGIME**

Pollutant	AQS/EAL (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )		Baseline (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PC (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PC as % of AQS	PEC (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PEC as % of AQS
NO	Annual Mean	310	5.55	0.0499	0.016%	5.60	1.8%
NO <sub>2</sub>	Annual Mean	40	24.8	0.02678	0.067%	24.8	62%
NH <sub>3</sub>	Annual Mean	180	2.00	0.00066	0.0004%	2.00	1.1%

The modelled results are that the air quality impacts of the planned testing are expected to be insignificant.

#### 7.4.1.7 ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON PROTECTED CONSERVATION AREAS

Potential impact of 24-hour NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on the surrounding protected conservation areas has been assessed based upon the anticipated worst-case scenario of the quinquennial test, as it represents the worst-case impact in any 24-hour period during routine testing. The potential impact of annual NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from the testing regime has been assessed based upon the anticipated total cumulative impacts of all tests undertaken as described above. The NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions resulting from the testing regime on the non-statutory ecological sites within 2 km of the Site have also been assessed.

The results for annual mean NO<sub>x</sub> are presented in

Table 7-7.

The results presented in

Table 7-7 predict that the anticipated impacts of the testing regime on annual mean NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations are insignificant.

TABLE 7-7 MODELLED NO<sub>x</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Argloddiau Cronfeydd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	2.35E-04	<1%	15.7	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	1.18E-04	<1%	9.05	9.05	30%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	2.40E-04	<1%	15.7	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	9.97E-04	<1%	11.3	11.3	38%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	1.49E-04	<1%	9.18	9.18	31%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	0.0160	<1%	18.4	18.4	61%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	3.68E-04	<1%	9.83	9.83	33%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	6.29E-04	<1%	14.8	14.8	49%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	2.65E-04	<1%	22.2	22.2	74%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	2.28E-04	<1%	19.3	19.3	64%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	1.61E-03	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	2.97E-04	<1%	10.2	10.2	34%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	1.28E-03	<1%	22.0	22.0	73%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	2.28E-04	<1%	22.1	22.1	74%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	3.02E-04	<1%	10.2	10.2	34%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	8.76E-04	<1%	18.6	18.6	62%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	1.61E-03	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	1.18E-03	<1%	22.0	22.0	73%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	1.61E-03	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant

Environment Agency guidance in *Air emissions risk assessment for your environmental permit* states that there is no need to calculate PEC for local nature sites.

The results presented in Table 7-8 show that the predicted impacts of the testing regime on 24-hour mean NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations from the generators are all insignificant with the exception at Gwent Levels – St Brides SSSI which shows a potentially significant effect according to the IAQM significance criteria.

**TABLE 7-8 MODELLED NO<sub>x</sub> 24-HOUR MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)**

Site	PC <sup>a</sup>	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Argloddiau Cronfeydd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	0.733	0.33%	31.4	32.2	16%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	0.422	0.20%	18.1	18.5	9.3%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	0.737	0.34%	31.4	32.2	16%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	1.066	0.49%	22.6	23.7	12%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	0.500	0.24%	18.4	18.9	9.4%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	20.9	9.3%	36.8	57.7	29%	Potentially significant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	0.749	0.35%	19.7	20.4	10%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	1.12	0.52%	29.6	30.7	15%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	0.950	0.45%	44.4	45.3	23%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	0.773	0.36%	38.6	39.4	20%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	2.24	1.0%	52.2	54.4	27%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	1.16	0.55%	20.5	21.6	11%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	1.49	0.68%	43.9	45.4	23%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	0.773	0.36%	44.1	44.9	22%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	0.847	0.40%	20.4	21.2	11%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	1.98	0.92%	37.3	39.2	20%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	2.24	1.0%	52.2	54.4	27%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	1.48	0.68%	43.9	45.4	23%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	2.24	1.0%	52.2	54.4	27%	Insignificant

Note: 24-hour NO<sub>x</sub> PCs on the surrounding protected conservation areas were assessed based upon the anticipated worst-case scenario of the quinquennial test, as it represents the worst-case impact in any 24-hour period during routine testing.

Environment Agency guidance in *Air emissions risk assessment for your environmental permit* states that there is no need to calculate PEC for local nature sites and short-term targets, such as 24-hour mean.

The results presented in Table 7-9 show that the predicted impacts of the testing regime on annual mean NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations from the generators are all insignificant.

**TABLE 7-9 MODELLLED NH<sub>3</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)**

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Argloddiau Cronfeydd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	1.10E-05	<1%	1.45	1.45	145%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	5.50E-06	<1%	1.10	1.10	110%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	1.12E-05	<1%	1.43	1.43	143%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	4.65E-05	<1%	1.45	1.45	145%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	6.96E-06	<1%	1.23	1.23	123%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	7.47E-04	<1%	1.82	1.82	182%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	1.72E-05	<1%	1.86	1.86	186%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	2.93E-05	<1%	1.53	1.53	153%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	1.24E-05	<1%	1.41	1.41	141%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	1.07E-05	<1%	1.36	1.36	136%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	7.53E-05	<1%	1.66	1.66	166%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	1.38E-05	<1%	1.26	1.26	126%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	5.97E-05	<1%	2.44	2.44	244%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	1.07E-05	<1%	1.46	1.46	146%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	1.41E-05	<1%	1.23	1.23	123%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	4.09E-05	<1%	1.48	1.48	148%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	7.53E-05	<1%	2.39	2.39	239%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	5.51E-05	<1%	2.44	2.44	244%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	7.53E-05	<1%	2.58	2.58	258%	Insignificant



The modelled results for the 24-hour and annual mean NO<sub>x</sub>, as well as annual mean NH<sub>3</sub> at the non-statutory ecological sites within 2 km from the Site's testing regime are presented in Appendix C. The modelled 24-hour and annual mean NO<sub>x</sub> PCs from the testing regime are below 100% of the critical levels for short-term and long-term concentrations and are considered insignificant.

The nitrogen deposition and acid deposition were calculated using AQTAG06 guidance<sup>16</sup>, based on the annual mean NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations presented in

---

<sup>16</sup> Habitats Directive, 2014, *AQTAG06 Technical guidance on detailed modelling approach for an appropriate assessment for emissions to air*, [http://bailey.persona-pi.com/Public-Inquiries/A465-English/8%20Air%20Quality/8.2.2%20-%20AQTAG06 Technical%20Guidance%20Assessment%20emissions%20to%20air%20Mar2014.pdf](http://bailey.persona-pi.com/Public-Inquiries/A465-English/8%20Air%20Quality/8.2.2%20-%20AQTAG06%20Technical%20Guidance%20Assessment%20emissions%20to%20air%20Mar2014.pdf)

Table 7-7. The results are presented in Table 7-10 and Table 7-11.

All the potential impacts from the testing regime on protected conservation areas are predicted to be insignificant.

Note: The Dan y Graig Quarry Risca SSSI was considered a geological site and did not have a critical load so was not included in the assessment. All other sites that did not have critical load data available on APIS a worst-case critical load of 3 was assigned for nutrient nitrogen.

TABLE 7-10 MODELLED NUTRIENT NITROGEN DEPOSITION (kgN/ha/yr)

Site	Minimum Critical Load	PC	PC as % of CL	Background	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	3*	1.33E-04	<1%	9.94	9.94	331%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	3*	6.66E-05	<1%	16.1	16.1	537%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	10	1.36E-04	<1%	9.94	9.94	99%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	10	3.42E-04	<1%	7.98	7.98	80%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	3*	8.44E-05	<1%	13.3	13.3	442%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels – St. Brides SSSI	3	5.50E-03	<1%	8.71	8.71	290%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels – Whitson SSSI	10	1.26E-04	<1%	7.40	7.40	74%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels – Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	10	2.16E-04	<1%	7.69	7.69	77%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	3*	1.50E-04	<1%	8.52	8.52	284%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	3*	1.29E-04	<1%	8.24	8.24	275%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	10	5.54E-04	<1%	10.2	10.2	102%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	10	1.68E-04	<1%	13.0	13.0	130%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	3*	7.23E-04	<1%	15.9	15.9	530%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	3*	1.29E-04	<1%	8.77	8.77	292%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	10	1.71E-04	<1%	12.6	12.6	126%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels – Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	3*	4.95E-04	<1%	8.32	8.32	277%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	10	9.13E-04	<1%	12.8	12.8	128%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	5	6.68E-04	<1%	24.0	24.0	481%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	10	9.13E-04	<1%	12.8	12.8	128%	Insignificant

(\*) A minimum critical load of 3 was used for sites with no specific critical load as a worst-case scenario.

TABLE 7-11 MODELLED ACID DEPOSITION (keq/ha/yr)

Site	Minimum Critical Load	PC	PC as % of CL	Background	PEC as % of CL	Significance
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 1.071 CLmaxN: 5.071	1.35E-05	<1%	S:0.154 N:0.879	4%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	CLmaxS: 0.28 CLminN: 0.321 CLmaxN: 0.601	1.20E-04	<1%	S:0.218 N:0.622	140%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 1.071 CLmaxN: 5.071	6.58E-06	<1%	S:0.134 N:0.937	3%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 1.071 CLmaxN: 5.071	3.24E-05	<1%	S:0.159 N:0.947	4%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 0.856 CLmaxN: 4.586	1.55E-05	<1%	S:0.272 N:1.056	27%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	CLmaxS: 1.372 CLminN: 0.142 CLmaxN: 1.514	1.21E-05	<1%	S:0.272 N:1.633	126%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	CLmaxS: 1.906 CLminN: 0.142 CLmaxN: 2.048	3.49E-05	<1%	S:0.294 N:1.661	95%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	CLmaxS: 0.28 CLminN: 0.321 CLmaxN: 0.601	3.53E-05	<1%	S: 0.292 N: 2.411	450%	Insignificant

#### 7.4.1.8 SUMMARY TESTING REGIME

- Monthly test results suggest a NO<sub>2</sub> PEC <200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> at all receptors and no exceedances of the AEGLs, and therefore need not be considered further. NH<sub>3</sub> and NO PECs also showed no exceedances of the applicable standards;
- Quarterly test results suggest a NO<sub>2</sub> PEC <200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> at all receptors and no exceedances of the AEGLs, and therefore need not be considered further. NH<sub>3</sub> and NO PECs also showed no exceedances of the applicable standards;
- Annual tests results suggest a NO<sub>2</sub> PEC <200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> at all receptors and no exceedances of the AEGLs, and therefore need not be considered further. NH<sub>3</sub> and NO PECs also showed no exceedances of the applicable standards;
- Quinquennial tests results suggest a NO<sub>2</sub> PEC >200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> at a number of receptors. NH<sub>3</sub> and NO PECs also showed no exceedances of the applicable standards. The 10-minute AEGL is also expected to be exceeded but not the 30-minute, 1-hour, 4-hour and 8-hour AEGLs. Due to the fact these tests occur once every five years and the results are based on worst-case weather conditions and engine grouping it is unlikely that this would be significant.

For the potential impacts on protected conservation areas:

- The NO<sub>x</sub> PC is not predicted to have potentially significant impacts for 24-hour maximum Critical Level at any sites other than Gwent Levels: St Brides SSSI. As above this relies on worst case assumptions; and
- The NO<sub>x</sub> and the NH<sub>3</sub> PC are not predicted to be >1% of the annual mean Critical Level at any sites.

#### 7.4.2 EMERGENCY OPERATION

##### 7.4.2.1 INTRODUCTION

As described in Table 3-1, two emergency power scenarios have been modelled. For both scenarios all of the engines on site are assumed to run together at 60% load. The assumed outage durations are 1 hour and 72 hours (ERM has modelled a 72-hour outage to ensure consistency with the EA). An outage duration utilising all the Site's engines for 1 hour or longer is expected to be a highly unlikely scenario in practice as not all the engines would be running the whole time as installed generating capacity intentionally exceeds expected site demand. In addition, is noted in the NRW decision notice for an adjacent data centre that "The National Grid reliability of the high voltage transmission system to which the data centre is connected is >99.999%".

#### EMERGENCY OUTAGE SCENARIO – 1 HOUR

The modelled maximum concentrations at the indicative sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the Site are presented in Table 7-12. Contour plots of the maximum predicted NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from the 1-hour outage scenario can be found in *Appendix A*.

TABLE 7-12 PREDICTED MODELLED CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE 1-HOUR EMERGENCY OUTAGE SCENARIO

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Baseline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
NO 1-hour maximum	EAL	4,400	11.1	264	275	6.0%	6.2%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	1-hour maximum	200	49.6	218	267	109%	134%
	1-hour 19 <sup>th</sup> highest hour (99.79 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	200		150	199	75%	100%
NO <sub>2</sub> 10-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	1115	1165	119%	124%
	AEGL-2	38,000				2.9%	3.1%
	AEGL-3	64,000				1.7%	1.8%
NO <sub>2</sub> 30-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	411	461	44%	49%
	AEGL-2	28,000				1.5%	1.6%
	AEGL-3	47,000				0.88%	0.98%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	23,000				0.95%	1.2%
	AEGL-3	38,000				0.57%	0.70%
NO <sub>2</sub> 4-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	15,000				1.5%	1.8%
	AEGL-3	23,000				0.95%	1.2%

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Baseline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
NO <sub>2</sub> 8-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	13,000				1.7%	2.1%
	AEGL-3	21,000				1.0%	1.3%
NH <sub>3</sub> 1-hour maximum	EAL	2,500	3.94	13.0	16.9	0.52%	0.68%



The results presented in Table 7-12 show that in the case of an emergency outage for 1 hour, the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour air quality standard of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is predicted to be exceeded. The AEGL-1 (non-disabling) threshold is predicted to be exceeded for the 10-minute values. The 30-minute, 1-hour, 4-hour and 8-hour AEGLs are not predicted to be exceeded.

The model takes into account the worst-case meteorological year and the likelihood of emergency running coinciding with the worst-case meteorological conditions and impacting the sensitive receptors within a specific 10-minute period is highly unlikely.

In addition, no exceedances of the annual mean AQS for NO<sub>2</sub> or the EALs for NO and NH<sub>3</sub> are expected on the basis of this assessment.

### EMERGENCY OUTAGE SCENARIO – 72 HOURS

The predicted maximum concentrations at any of the indicative sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the Site are presented in Table 7-13. Contour plots of the maximum predicted NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from the 72-hour outage scenario can be found in *Appendix A*.

TABLE 7-13 PREDICTED MODELLED CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE 72-HOUR EMERGENCY OUTAGE SCENARIO

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Baseline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
NO 1-hour maximum	EAL	4,400	11.11	264	275	6.0%	6.2%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	1-hour maximum	200	49.6	218	267	109%	134%
	1-hour 19 <sup>th</sup> highest hour (99.79 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	200		150	199	75%	100%
NO <sub>2</sub> 10-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	1115	1165	119%	124%
	AEGL-2	38,000				2.9%	3.1%
	AEGL-3	64,000				1.7%	1.8%
NO <sub>2</sub> 30-minute maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	411	461	44%	49%
	AEGL-2	28,000				1.5%	1.6%
	AEGL-3	47,000				0.88%	0.98%
NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	23,000				0.95%	1.2%
	AEGL-3	38,000				0.57%	0.70%
NO <sub>2</sub> 4-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	15,000				1.5%	1.8%
	AEGL-3	23,000				0.95%	1.2%

Period	AQS/ EAL/ AEGL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Baseline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	PC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)	PEC as % of AQS/ EAL/ AEGL (%)
NO <sub>2</sub> 8-hour maximum	AEGL-1	940	49.6	218	267	23%	28%
	AEGL-2	13,000				1.7%	2.1%
	AEGL-3	21,000				1.0%	1.3%
NH <sub>3</sub> 1-hour maximum	EAL	2,500	3.94	13.0	16.9	0.52%	0.68%

The results presented in Table 7-13 show that in the highly unlikely case of a whole Site emergency outage for 72 hours, the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour air quality standard of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is predicted to be exceeded (99% PEC). The AEGL-1 (non-disabling) threshold is predicted to be exceeded for the 10-minute AEGL. It is not likely to be exceeded for any of the 30-minute, 1-hour, 4-hour and 8-hour AEGLs.

The model takes into account the worst-case meteorological year. The likelihood of emergency running coinciding with the worst-case meteorological conditions and impacting the sensitive receptors within a specific 10-minute period is highly unlikely.

In addition, no exceedances of the annual mean AQS for NO<sub>2</sub> or the EALs for NO and NH<sub>3</sub> are expected on the basis of this assessment.

#### IMPACT OF EMERGENCY OUTAGE ON HOURLY NO<sub>2</sub> STANDARD

The results at indicative sensitive receptors, as presented above predict that the:

- 1-hour emergency outage scenario is predicted to lead to NO<sub>2</sub> PECs which exceed the 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> standard once a year;
- 72-hour emergency outage scenario is predicted to lead to NO<sub>2</sub> PECs which exceed the 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> hourly standard 72 times a year.

A statistical analysis of the likelihood of the 1-hour emergency outage scenario leading to a breach of the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> AQS has not been performed as such a scenario would require to occur 19 or more times, whereas no such event is likely to occur during the Site's operation based on ERM's experience of other data centre providers. The likelihood of an emergency outage of up to 72-hours is considered very unlikely. The annual AQS for NO<sub>2</sub> did not require statistical testing as the AQS was not predicted to be breached.

#### 7.4.2.2 IMPACTS OF EMERGENCY OPERATION ON PROTECTED CONSERVATION AREAS

The potential impact on the surrounding protected conservation areas from modelled NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in an emergency scenario has been assessed, assuming 1-hour and 72-hours of operations. The expected NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions resulting from the emergency scenarios at the Site on the non-statutory ecological sites within 2 km have also been assessed. The resulting potential NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> ambient concentrations, nutrient nitrogen deposition and acid deposition have been modelled and are presented in Table 7-14 to Table 7-16. They were assessed against the standards and critical loads presented in Section 4.1. The criteria outlined in Section 4.2 were used to determine the significance of the impact.

The results for the annual mean NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations are presented in Table 7-14. The results for the 24-hour mean NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations are presented in Table 7-15.

TABLE 7-14 NO<sub>x</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
1 hour						
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	7.38E-05	<1%	15.7	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	3.69E-05	<1%	9.05	9.05	30%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	7.53E-05	<1%	15.7	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	3.12E-04	<1%	11.3	11.3	38%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	4.68E-05	<1%	9.18	9.18	31%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	5.02E-03	<1%	18.4	18.4	61%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	1.15E-04	<1%	9.83	9.83	33%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	1.97E-04	<1%	14.8	14.8	49%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	8.31E-05	<1%	22.2	22.2	74%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	7.16E-05	<1%	19.3	19.3	64%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	5.06E-04	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	9.30E-05	<1%	10.2	10.2	34%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	4.01E-04	<1%	22.0	22.0	73%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	7.16E-05	<1%	22.1	22.1	74%	Insignificant

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	9.45E-05	<1%	10.2	10.2	34%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	2.74E-04	<1%	18.6	18.6	62%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	5.06E-04	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	3.70E-04	<1%	22.0	22.0	73%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	5.06E-04	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant
72 hour						
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	5.31E-03	<1%	15.7	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	2.66E-03	<1%	9.05	9.06	30%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	5.42E-03	<1%	15.7	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	2.25E-02	<1%	11.3	11.3	38%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	3.37E-03	<1%	9.18	9.18	31%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	3.61E-01	<1%	18.4	18.7	62%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	8.30E-03	<1%	9.83	9.84	33%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	1.42E-02	<1%	14.8	14.8	49%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	5.99E-03	<1%	22.2	22.2	74%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	5.15E-03	<1%	19.3	19.3	64%	Insignificant

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Severn Estuary SSSI	3.64E-02	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	6.70E-03	<1%	10.2	10.3	34%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	2.89E-02	<1%	22.0	22.0	73%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	5.15E-03	<1%	22.1	22.1	74%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	6.81E-03	<1%	10.2	10.2	34%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	1.98E-02	<1%	18.6	18.7	62%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	3.64E-02	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	2.67E-02	<1%	22.0	22.0	73%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	3.64E-02	<1%	26.1	26.1	87%	Insignificant

Environment Agency guidance in *Air emissions risk assessment for your environmental permit* states that there is no need to calculate PEC for local nature sites.

TABLE 7-15 NO<sub>x</sub> 24-HOUR MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
1 hour						
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	0.489	<1%	15.7	31.9	16%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	0.281	<1%	9.05	18.4	9%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	0.491	<1%	15.7	31.9	16%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	0.710	<1%	11.3	23.3	12%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	0.333	<1%	9.18	18.7	9%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	13.950	<1%	18.4	50.7	25%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	0.499	<1%	9.83	20.2	10%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	0.744	<1%	14.8	30.3	15%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	0.634	<1%	22.2	45.0	22%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	0.515	<1%	19.3	39.1	20%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	1.496	<1%	26.1	53.7	27%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	0.770	<1%	10.2	21.3	11%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	0.995	<1%	22.0	44.9	22%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	0.515	<1%	22.1	44.7	22%	Insignificant



Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	0.565	<1%	10.2	20.9	10%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	1.318	<1%	18.6	38.6	19%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	1.496	<1%	26.1	53.7	27%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	0.986	<1%	22.0	44.9	22%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	1.496	<1%	26.1	53.7	27%	Insignificant
72 hour						
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	11.7	<1%	15.7	43.2	22%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	6.8	<1%	9.05	24.9	12%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	11.8	<1%	15.7	43.2	22%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	17.0	<1%	11.3	39.7	20%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	8.0	<1%	9.18	26.3	13%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	334.8	<1%	18.4	371.6	186%	Potentially significant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	12.0	<1%	9.83	31.6	16%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	17.9	<1%	14.8	47.4	24%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	15.2	<1%	22.2	59.6	30%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	12.4	<1%	19.3	51.0	25%	Insignificant

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Severn Estuary SSSI	35.9	<1%	26.1	88.1	44%	Potentially significant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	18.5	<1%	10.2	39.0	19%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	23.9	<1%	22.0	67.8	34%	Potentially significant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	12.4	<1%	22.1	56.5	28%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	13.5	<1%	10.2	33.9	17%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	31.6	<1%	18.6	68.9	34%	Potentially significant
Severn Estuary SAC	35.9	<1%	26.1	88.1	44%	Potentially significant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	23.7	<1%	22.0	67.6	34%	Potentially significant
Severn Estuary SPA	35.9	<1%	26.1	88.1	44%	Potentially significant

Environment Agency guidance in *Air emissions risk assessment for your environmental permit* states that there is no need to calculate PEC for local nature sites.

The results for the annual mean NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations are presented in Table 7-16.

**TABLE 7-16 NH<sub>3</sub> ANNUAL MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS**

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
1 hour						
Argloddiau Cronfeydd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	7.38E-05	<1%	15.7	31.9	16%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	3.69E-05	<1%	9.05	18.4	9%	Insignificant

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	7.53E-05	<1%	15.7	1.45	145%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	3.12E-04	<1%	11.3	1.10	110%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog SSSI	4.68E-05	<1%	9.18	1.43	143%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	5.02E-03	<1%	18.4	1.45	145%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	1.15E-04	<1%	9.83	1.23	123%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	1.97E-04	<1%	14.8	1.82	182%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	8.31E-05	<1%	22.2	1.86	186%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	7.16E-05	<1%	19.3	1.53	153%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	5.06E-04	<1%	26.1	1.41	141%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	9.30E-05	<1%	10.2	1.36	136%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	4.01E-04	<1%	22.0	1.66	166%	Insignificant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	7.16E-05	<1%	22.1	1.26	126%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	9.45E-05	<1%	10.2	2.44	244%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	2.74E-04	<1%	18.6	1.46	146%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SAC	5.06E-04	<1%	26.1	1.23	123%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	3.70E-04	<1%	22.0	1.48	148%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SPA	5.06E-04	<1%	26.1	2.39	239%	Insignificant

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
72 hour						
Argloddiau Cronfeydd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	5.31E-03	<1%	15.7	1.46	146%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	2.66E-03	<1%	9.05	1.10	110%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	5.42E-03	<1%	15.7	1.44	144%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	2.25E-02	<1%	11.3	1.47	147%	Potentially significant
Henllys Bog SSSI	3.37E-03	<1%	9.18	1.23	123%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	3.61E-01	<1%	18.4	2.18	218%	Potentially significant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	8.30E-03	<1%	9.83	1.86	186%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	1.42E-02	<1%	14.8	1.55	155%	Potentially significant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	5.99E-03	<1%	22.2	1.42	142%	Insignificant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	5.15E-03	<1%	19.3	1.36	136%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	3.64E-02	<1%	26.1	1.69	169%	Potentially significant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	6.70E-03	<1%	10.2	1.27	127%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	2.89E-02	<1%	22.0	2.47	247%	Potentially significant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	5.15E-03	<1%	22.1	1.46	146%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	6.81E-03	<1%	10.2	1.23	123%	Insignificant

Site	PC	PC as % of AQS	Background	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	1.98E-02	<1%	18.6	1.50	150%	Potentially significant
Severn Estuary SAC	3.64E-02	<1%	26.1	2.42	242%	Potentially significant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	2.67E-02	<1%	22.0	2.46	246%	Potentially significant
Severn Estuary SPA	3.64E-02	<1%	26.1	2.62	262%	Potentially significant

Environment Agency guidance in *Air emissions risk assessment for your environmental permit* states that there is no need to calculate PEC for local nature sites.

The modelling results presented in Table 7-14, Table 7-15 and Table 7-16 show that in the case of the site needing emergency power for 1-hour continuously, both the annual and 24-hour mean NO<sub>x</sub> and annual mean NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations are all expected to be insignificant. However, under 72 hours of emergency operations, potentially significant impacts have the potential to arise.

The modelled results for the 24-hour and annual mean NO<sub>x</sub>, as well as annual mean NH<sub>3</sub> at the non-statutory ecological sites within 2 km from the Site's emergency scenarios predicts that no significant impacts will arise in the majority of cases. The one exception is the 24 hour NO<sub>x</sub> at one ancient woodland

The potential nitrogen deposition and acid deposition from 1-hour and 72-hour emergency scenarios were calculated using AQTAG06 guidance, based on the annual mean NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations presented in Table 7-14. The results are presented in Table 7-17 and Table 7-18. The modelling results show nutrient nitrogen and acid deposition impacts that are expected to be insignificant with the exception of nutrient nitrogen deposition at Gwent Levels: St Brides SSSI which shows a potentially significant effect upon 72-hours operation. The 72-hour emergency scenario is unlikely to occur in reality as the national grid power cuts are rarely of that length and scale. As noted in the NRW decision notice for an adjacent data centre, National Grid power supply failure is considered an extremely low probability occurrence, with a 2 hour outage described as a 1 in 20 year event and longer outages even less likely. Impacts relating to ambient air quality as well as nitrogen and acid deposition can therefore be considered as insignificant in this regard.

TABLE 7-17 NUTRIENT NITROGEN DEPOSITION (kgN/ha/YR) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS

Site	Minimum Critical Load	Background	1-hour Emergency Scenario					72-hour Emergency Scenario				
			PC	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance	PC	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance
Argloddiau Cronfeydd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments SSSI	3*	9.94	5.90E-04	<1%	9.94	331%	Insignificant	4.25E-02	<1%	9.99	333%	Potentially significant
Coed-y-Darren SSSI	3*	16.1	2.96E-04	<1%	16.11	537%	Insignificant	2.13E-02	<1%	16.13	538%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir SSSI	10	9.94	6.03E-04	<1%	9.94	99%	Insignificant	4.34E-02	<1%	9.99	100%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	10	7.98	1.66E-03	<1%	7.99	80%	Insignificant	1.19E-01	<1%	8.10	81%	Potentially significant
Henllys Bog SSSI	3*	13.3	3.74E-04	<1%	13.27	442%	Insignificant	2.69E-02	<1%	13.30	443%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	3*	8.71	2.66E-02	<1%	8.73	291%	Insignificant	1.91E+00	1.01%	10.62	354%	Potentially significant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	10	7.40	6.11E-04	<1%	7.40	74%	Insignificant	4.40E-02	<1%	7.45	74%	Insignificant

Site	Minimum Critical Load	Background	1-hour Emergency Scenario					72-hour Emergency Scenario				
			PC	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance	PC	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	10	7.69	1.04E-03	<1%	7.69	77%	Insignificant	7.52E-02	<1%	7.76	78%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section SSSI	3*	8.52	6.65E-04	<1%	8.52	284%	Insignificant	4.79E-02	<1%	8.57	286%	Potentially significant
Rumney Quarry SSSI	3*	8.24	5.73E-04	<1%	8.24	275%	Insignificant	4.12E-02	<1%	8.28	276%	Potentially significant
Severn Estuary SSSI	10	10.2	2.68E-03	<1%	10.17	102%	Insignificant	1.93E-01	<1%	10.36	104%	Potentially significant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	10	13.0	7.44E-04	<1%	12.99	130%	Insignificant	5.36E-02	<1%	13.04	130%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI	3*	15.9	3.21E-03	<1%	15.89	530%	Insignificant	2.31E-01	<1%	16.12	537%	Potentially significant
Penylan Quarry SSSI	3*	8.77	5.73E-04	<1%	8.77	292%	Insignificant	4.12E-02	<1%	8.82	294%	Potentially significant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	10	12.6	7.57E-04	<1%	12.58	126%	Insignificant	5.45E-02	<1%	12.63	126%	Insignificant



Site	Minimum Critical Load	Background	1-hour Emergency Scenario					72-hour Emergency Scenario				
			PC	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance	PC	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance
Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI	3*	8.32	2.20E-03	<1%	8.32	277%	Insignificant	1.58E-01	<1%	8.48	283%	Potentially significant
Severn Estuary SAC	10	12.8	4.05E-03	<1%	12.82	128%	Insignificant	2.92E-01	<1%	13.10	131%	Potentially significant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	5	24.0	2.96E-03	<1%	24.04	481%	Insignificant	2.13E-01	<1%	24.25	485%	Potentially significant
Severn Estuary SPA	10	12.8	4.05E-03	<1%	12.82	128%	Insignificant	2.92E-01	<1%	13.10	131%	Potentially significant

(\*) A minimum critical load of 3 was used for sites with no specific critical load as a worst case scenario.

TABLE 7-18 ACID DEPOSITION (keq/ha/yr) – 1HR AND 72HR EMERGENCY SCENARIOS

Site	Minimum Critical Load	Background	1-hour Emergency Scenario				72-hour Emergency Scenario			
			PC	PC as % of CL	PEC as % of CL	Significance	PC	PC as % of CL	PEC as % of CL	Significance
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 1.071 CLmaxN: 5.071	S:0.154 N:0.879	1.18E-04	<1%	4%	Insignificant	8.49E-03	<1%	4%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - St. Brides SSSI	CLmaxS: 0.28 CLminN: 0.321 CLmaxN: 0.601	S:0.218 N:0.622	1.89E-03	<1%	140%	Insignificant	1.36E-01	<1%	162%	Potentially significant
Gwent Levels - Whitson SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 1.071 CLmaxN: 5.071	S:0.134 N:0.937	4.35E-05	<1%	3%	Insignificant	3.13E-03	<1%	3%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 1.071 CLmaxN: 5.071	S:0.159 N:0.947	7.43E-05	<1%	4%	Insignificant	5.35E-03	<1%	4%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary SSSI	CLmaxS: 4 CLminN: 0.856 CLmaxN: 4.586	S:0.272 N:1.056	1.91E-04	<1%	27%	Insignificant	1.37E-02	<1%	28%	Insignificant

Site	Minimum Critical Load	Background	1-hour Emergency Scenario				72-hour Emergency Scenario			
			PC	PC as % of CL	PEC as % of CL	Significance	PC	PC as % of CL	PEC as % of CL	Significance
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands SSSI	CLmaxS: 1.372 CLminN: 0.142 CLmaxN: 1.514	S:0.272 N:1.633	5.29E-05	<1%	126%	Insignificant	3.81E-03	<1%	126%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood SSSI	CLmaxS: 1.906 CLminN: 0.142 CLmaxN: 2.048	S:0.294 N:1.661	5.38E-05	<1%	95%	Insignificant	3.88E-03	<1%	96%	Insignificant
River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SAC	CLmaxS: 0.28 CLminN: 0.321 CLmaxN: 0.601	S: 0.292 N: 2.411	2.11E-04	<1%	450%	Insignificant	1.52E-02	<1%	452%	Potentially significant

### 7.4.2.3 SUMMARY EMERGENCY POWER

- In case of emergency power being required for an hour or 72 hours for the site, the hourly AQS for NO<sub>2</sub> of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> could potentially be exceeded along with the 10-min AEGL-1. The assessment is based on the worst case generator impact at worst case grid receptor for worst case meteorological conditions over 5 years and as such is unlikely to be an issue in reality. The AEGL standards for 30-min, 1-hour, 4-hour or 8-hour are not expected to be exceeded for either emergency scenario. The EALs for NO and NH<sub>3</sub> are also not expected to be exceeded;
- In case of emergency power being required for an hour at the site, the hourly AQS for NO<sub>x</sub> of 30 µg/m<sup>3</sup> or the 24-hour standard of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> are not expected to be exceeded any of the ecological sites. Gwent Levels: St Brides SSSI, Severn Estuary SSSI, SAC and SPA, River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI and SAC and Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI are seen to have potentially significant effects during an emergency 72-hour scenario for ambient NO<sub>x</sub>. Only Gwent Levels: St Brides SSSI is seen to have potentially significant effects for nitrogen deposition during the 72 hour emergency power scenario and no significant impacts during the 1 hour emergency power scenario. No significant impacts are noted for acid deposition for either emergency scenario.
- During 1 hour emergency running there are not predicted to be any significant impacts associated with ammonia emissions. However, during the 72 hour emergency running there is the potential for significant impacts to arise. This is unlikely to be an issue due to the rarity of full grid loss that would create this kind of scenario.
- No significant long-term impacts on human health (by comparison with the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean standard) or protected conservation areas are modelled;
- The modelled scenario assumed that all the data centres would be running all of their engines at 60% load at the same time. In practice, in an emergency power event, some engines would likely not be operated, or only operated for start-up, as data centres are designed with more generators than is actually required, to cover a possible engine failure. In addition, emergency power scenarios are considered unlikely events; and
- Breaching the hourly NO<sub>2</sub> standard or the hourly NO<sub>x</sub> standard because of emergency power operation is therefore considered unlikely.

### 7.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

In the vicinity of the Project there are other sources of pollutant emissions which could contribute to a possible cumulative effect in the area. The pollutants of relevance are  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  and by association  $\text{NO}_2$ ; these being emitted from a range of sources including other data centres in the area.

For  $\text{NO}_2$  impacts on human receptors from the Site, the PEC for the full testing regime is 62% of the annual standard. There remains some 'headroom' for further projects and future development in the area. Gwent Levels St Brides SSSI is the nearest and most impacted designated ecological receptor. However, for this site there is some 'headroom' in terms of impacts due to the PEC for the 24-hour averaging period for  $\text{NO}_x$  being 29% of the standard and the  $\text{NH}_3$  annual mean being <1% of the standard.

It is noted that there are three other data centres (planning apps: 07/1533, 20/0039, 20/1176) and manufacturing, research and development facility (21/0988) located in the immediate vicinity of the Site (as outlined in the Design Access Statement and Planning Statement). These sites are also associated with potential impacts on air quality at receptors in the vicinity. The type of impacts from other data centres in the area would be similar to this planned Site, with impacts on air quality coming from emergency generator testing and usage. These impacts are to be managed or mitigated to acceptable levels by the operators of these projects. A quantitative cumulative impacts assessment has not been conducted in this report as detailed data was not available in the public domain.

In terms of overlapping operations, of greatest concern are emissions arising during a power outage that affects all of the data centres simultaneously. The probability of such an event taking place is extremely small, given the reliability of the grid connection, and built in measures to minimise the risk of outages occurring such as multiple grid connections.

In addition to multiple grid connections, best practice design (e.g. SCR and optimal stack design) has already been taken into consideration for this development. The draft Air Quality Management Plan also addressed the risk of cumulative impacts arising during emergency operations, by taking into account the potential influence of offsite emission sources.

It is also noted that the testing of generators at the same time as other data centres in the area may also lead to a cumulative effect. To minimise any impact cumulative impacts in the area, Microsoft are confident that a workable solution exists and will use best endeavours to collaborate with other operators in immediate vicinity.

The collaborative approach between the Site operators and other local data centres will aim to ensure that generator testing does not occur simultaneously where possible and communication should be maintained if and when unplanned generator usage is taking place.

This collaborative approach would include an AQ monitoring strategy and continued AQ monitoring around the site.

#### 7.4.4 IN-COMBINATION ASSESSMENT

An in-combination assessment has been carried out to evaluate the potential cumulative impacts on ecological receptors, particularly the statutory ecological sites, from existing installations and applications for other installations near the Site. As requested by NRW, the in-combination assessment considers the following installations using publicly available information:

- Newport Data Centre operated by Vantage Data Centre UK Ltd (application reference PAN-026558 and PAN-019560), located 350 m east; and
- Newport Semiconductor Facility operated by IQE Silicon Compounds (application reference PAN-024249), located 130 m south; and
- Energy Recovery Facility (ERF) operated by Vital Energi Ltd (application reference PAN-027048), located 3.2 km northeast.

The in-combination assessment first identifies whether the modelled pollution footprint of these facilities overlap with that from the Site. If overlap is anticipated, the potential combined impact is calculated by adding the applicable PC results from the Site and the identified nearby facilities. The combined operations of the Site and the identified nearby data centre facilities also undertaking testing operations has been evaluated based upon the anticipated total cumulative impacts of all tests undertaken, assuming coincident operation for a conservative basis. Emergency operations have not been evaluated due to the rare occurrence of prolonged power outages.

The potential cumulative impacts of ambient NO<sub>x</sub>, nutrient nitrogen deposition and acid deposition have been assessed in relation to the statutory ecological sites (i.e. SSSI, SAC and SPA) specified in Table 6-4. The combined PC results are presented in Appendix D and were compared against the EA significance criteria outlined in Table 4-3. The in-combination assessment of the testing operations for the identified facilities shows the following:

- Annual mean NO<sub>x</sub>: Potentially significant impacts may occur at Severn Estuary (SAC, SPA, SSSI) however the expected contribution from the Site would be a very low percentage of the overall PC. The ERF is the largest expected contributor to this impact as it is assumed to operate continuously at full load throughout the year.
- 24-hour mean NO<sub>x</sub>: Potentially significant impacts may occur at River Usk (SAC, SSSI) and Gwent Level St Brides (SSSI), primarily due to generator testing emissions from the Site and the adjacent Newport Data Centre, respectively, based on EA significance criteria of 10% of the critical level for 24-hour mean NO<sub>x</sub>. However, the calculated PEC remains less than the critical level of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. These two ecological sites have relatively high baseline levels of NO<sub>x</sub> and are close to the two data centres. Significant ecological impacts are, however, considered unlikely in practice as this would require actual coincident testing operations at the Site and Newport Data Centre, which is unlikely as testing is both infrequent and of short duration, and would also require this testing to be during unfavourable meteorological conditions.

- Nutrient nitrogen deposition: Potentially significant impacts may occur at River Usk (SAC, SSSI) and Gwent Level St Brides (SSSI), with the overall in-combination modelled PC exceeding 1% of the critical loads. However, the expected percentage contribution to the PC from the Site is relatively low. The ERF emissions are the expected primary contributor, given its assumed continuous operation at full load.
- Acid deposition: Individual modelled PCs for the Site and the adjacent Newport Data Centre are a very low percentage of the critical loads at all ecological sites assessed. For sites that were assessed for the ERF (Newport Wetlands SSSI and Severn Estuary SAC, SPA, SSSI) this project (the ERF) showed the largest PC percentages. Significant impacts are not expected at any of the ecological sites considered. Further detailed assessment of acid deposition is not considered necessary due to the low PC values relative to critical loads for sulphur and nitrogen. Additionally, there is limited data available to fully assess acid deposition across the sites.

In summary, the annual mean NO<sub>x</sub> is driven mainly by expected emissions from the ERF, and the Site will contribute a very small percentage to the total PC. For the 24-hour mean NO<sub>x</sub>, the in-combination impact is considered potentially significant based on EA significance criteria of 10% of the critical level, however the PEC is below the critical level. The expected nutrient nitrogen deposition and acid deposition are driven mainly by the ERF.



## 8. CONCLUSION

### 8.1 TESTING REGIME – NO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO AND NH<sub>3</sub>

Based on the air quality impact assessment performed, the testing regime, other than the Quinquennial tests, for the generators at the Microsoft Newport Quinn data centre at Newport Imperial Park is not expected to result in a significant adverse impact on air quality. In the case of the quinquennial test, the NO<sub>2</sub> short-term hourly AQS is not predicted to be exceeded as this occurs only for an hour, and it is highly unlikely that the AEGL will be exceeded as this would need to be a coincidence of the worst case met conditions with the test.

### 8.2 EMERGENCY OPERATION – NO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO AND NH<sub>3</sub>

Two emergency power generation scenarios (1h and 72h) were also modelled. In this case, exceedance of the hourly NO<sub>2</sub> standard for human health is not expected. There was only one modelled exceedance of the 24-hour NO<sub>x</sub> standard (Gwent Levels: St Brides SSSI) for the 72h scenario. There were no other modelled exceedances at the other identified sensitive habitat areas.

Severn Estuary SSSI, SAC and SPA, River Usk (Lower Usk) / Afon Wysg (Wysg Isaf) SSSI and SAC and Gwent Levels - Rumney and Peterstone SSSI also showed a potentially significant effect for 24-hour NO<sub>x</sub> impacts and Gwent Levels: St Brides SSSI showed a potentially significant effect for nutrient nitrogen deposition during the 72-hour emergency scenario.

The modelled emergency scenarios are expected to be unlikely to arise in practice. Total outage time up to 72 hours a year is even less likely as National Grid power cuts are rarely of that length and scale and therefore the risk of breaching the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> AQS is considered low. The likelihood of an outage occurring for a sufficient amount of time to breach the NO<sub>x</sub> 24-hour standard at habitats is also considered low. This was the conclusion NRW itself reached in its decision document for the nearby Vantage data centre. As a result, the potential for significant impacts from emergency operations at the site is considered unlikely.

### 8.3 PM<sub>10</sub> AND SO<sub>2</sub>

It was also found that the PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from the engines are not expected to breach the AQS for PM<sub>10</sub>. The screening assessment for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the generators concluded that the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> AQS of 350 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is not expected to be breached.



## APPENDIX A

## MODEL PARAMETERS

## A.1 MODELLED BUILDINGS DATA

The location of the modelled buildings is presented in FIGURE A 1 (blue boundaries), while their heights are listed in Table A 1.

## FIGURE A 1 LOCATION OF MODELLED BUILDINGS



### TABLE A 1 HEIGHT OF MODELLED BUILDINGS

Building	Height above ground level (m)
Building 1	12
Building 2	12
Building 3	12
Building 4	11
Building 5	11
Building 6	11




# ERM

## A.2 CARDIFF AIRPORT 2017-2021 WIND ROSES

The Cardiff Airport wind roses for years 2017 to 2021 are presented in FIGURE A 2 to FIGURE A 6.


FIGURE A 2 CARDIFF AIRPORT WIND ROSE - 2017





# ERM


FIGURE A 3 CARDIFF AIRPORT WIND ROSE - 2018





# ERM

FIGURE A 4 CARDIFF AIRPORT WIND ROSE – 2019








# ERM


FIGURE A 5 CARDIFF AIRPORT WIND ROSE - 2020





# ERM

FIGURE A 6 CARDIFF AIRPORT WIND ROSE – 2021





### A.3 EMISSION POINTS LOCATION

Table A.2 presents the coordinates used for each stack in the air dispersion model.

TABLE A 2 COORDINATES OF MODELLED STACKS

Emission Point	X (National Grid)	Y (National Grid)
GEN1	327816.00	184198.00
GEN2	327822.00	184200.00
GEN3	327886.00	184212.00
GEN4	327893.00	184215.00
GEN5	327948.00	184219.00
GEN6	327955.00	184222.00
GEN7	328013.00	184232.00
GEN8	328020.00	184233.00
GEN9	328085.00	184245.00
GEN10	328089.00	184248.00
GEN11	327831.00	184126.00
GEN12	327837.00	184128.00
GEN13	327904.00	184139.00
GEN14	327910.00	184141.00
GEN15	327968.00	184151.00
GEN16	327973.00	184153.00
GEN17	328032.00	184163.00
GEN18	328039.00	184165.00
GEN19	328106.00	184179.00
GEN20	328111.00	184182.00
GEN21	327683.00	184038.00
GEN22	327684.00	184035.00
GEN23	327766.00	184149.00
GEN24	327768.00	184144.00
GEN25	327674.00	184129.00
GEN26	327674.00	184134.00
GEN27	327785.00	184062.00
GEN28	327787.00	184059.00
GEN29 (CWL01 Admin)	328107.00	184175.00



Emission Point	X (National Grid)	Y (National Grid)
GEN30 (Water Plant)	327921.00	184060.00
GEN31 (CWL02 Admin)	327683.00	184036.00



## APPENDIX B CONTOUR PLOTS



## APPENDIX C

## MODELLED RESULTS OF THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON NON-STATUTORY ECOLOGICAL SITES



TABLE C 1 MODELLED MAXIMUM 24-HOUR MEAN NO<sub>x</sub> CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Non Statutory Ecological Sites	Critical Levels	Testing Regime		1-hour Emergency		72-hour Emergency	
		PC	PC as % of Critical Levels	PC	PC as % of Critical Levels	PC	PC as % of Critical Levels
Ancient woodland A	200	5.05	2.5%	3.37	1.7%	80.9	40%
Ancient woodland B		12.7	6.4%	8.47	4.2%	203	102%
Ancient woodland C		3.37	1.7%	2.24	1.1%	53.8	27%
Ancient woodland D		3.64	1.8%	2.43	1.2%	58.3	29%
Ancient woodland E		3.20	1.6%	2.14	1.1%	51.3	26%
Ancient woodland F		4.19	2.1%	2.79	1.4%	67.0	34%
Celtic Springs SINC		9.36	4.7%	6.24	3.1%	150	75%
Cwm Pensidan SINC		2.01	1.01%	1.34	0.67%	32.2	16%
Duffryn LG Site 1 SINC		17.0	8.5%	11.3	5.7%	271	136%
Duffryn LG Site 2 SINC		5.02	2.5%	3.34	1.7%	80.3	40%
Duffryn Pond SINC		4.95	2.5%	3.30	1.7%	79.3	40%

TABLE C 2 MODELLED ANNUAL MEAN NO<sub>x</sub> CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Non Statutory Ecological Sites	Critical Levels	Testing Regime		1-hour Emergency		72-hour Emergency	
		PC	PC as % of Critical Levels	PC	PC as % of Critical Levels	PC	PC as % of Critical Levels
Ancient woodland A	30	2.50E-03	0.008%	7.85x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0026%	0.057	0.19%
Ancient woodland B		8.73E-03	0.029%	2.73x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0091%	0.187	0.62%
Ancient woodland C		1.28E-03	0.004%	4.01x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0013%	0.027	0.091%
Ancient woodland D		1.56E-03	0.005%	4.88x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0016%	0.043	0.14%
Ancient woodland E		1.69E-03	0.006%	5.31x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0018%	0.035	0.12%
Ancient woodland F		3.34E-03	0.011%	1.05x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0035%	0.071	0.24%
Celtic Springs SINC		5.56E-03	0.019%	1.74x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0058%	0.120	0.40%
Cwm Pensidan SINC		8.31E-04	0.003%	2.60x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0009%	0.017	0.057%
Duffryn LG Site 1 SINC		1.83E-02	0.06%	5.73x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0191%	0.644	2.15%
Duffryn LG Site 2 SINC		2.92E-02	0.097%	9.16x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0305%	0.079	0.26%
Duffryn Pond SINC		3.56E-03	0.012%	1.12x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.0037%	1.079	3.60%

TABLE C 3 MODELLED ANNUAL MEAN NH<sub>3</sub> CONCENTRATIONS (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Non Statutory Ecological Sites	Critical Levels	Testing Regime		1-hour Emergency		72-hour Emergency	
		PC	PC as % of Critical Levels	PC	PC as % of Critical Levels	PC	PC as % of Critical Levels
Ancient woodland A	1	1.17E-04	0.012%	7.85x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.079%	5.65x10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.65%
Ancient woodland B		4.07E-04	0.041%	2.73x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.27%	0.197	19.7%
Ancient woodland C		5.96E-05	0.0060%	4.01x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0401%	2.88 x10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.88%
Ancient woodland D		7.27E-05	0.0073%	4.88x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0488%	3.52 x10 <sup>-2</sup>	3.52%
Ancient woodland E		7.90E-05	0.0079%	5.31x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0531%	3.82 x10 <sup>-2</sup>	3.82%
Ancient woodland F		1.56E-04	0.0156%	1.05x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.105%	7.53 x10 <sup>-2</sup>	7.53%
Celtic Springs SINC		2.60E-04	0.026%	1.74x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.174%	0.126	12.6%
Cwm Pensidan SINC		3.88E-05	0.0039%	2.60x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.026%	1.87 x10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.87%
Duffryn LG Site 1 SINC		8.54E-04	0.085%	5.73x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.573%	0.413	41.3%
Duffryn LG Site 2 SINC		1.36E-03	0.136%	9.16x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.916%	0.660	65.98%
Duffryn Pond SINC		1.66E-04	0.0166%	1.12x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.112%	8.04 x10 <sup>-2</sup>	8.038%



## APPENDIX D      IN-COMBINATION IMPACT





TABLE D 1 IN-COMBINATION IMPACT OF TESTING REGIME ON ANNUAL MEAN NO<sub>x</sub> (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	AQS	Baseline	VDC	MSFT	IQE	ERF	PC as % of AQS	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
			PC							
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments (SSSI)	30	15.7	6.67E-05	0.000235	NA	NA	0.0010%	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren (SSSI)		9.05	3.93E-05	0.000118	NA	NA	0.0005%	9.1	30%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff (SSSI)		11.7	0.00E+00	0.000000	NA	NA	0.0000%	11.7	39%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson (SSSI)		14.8	1.91E-04	0.000629	NA	NA	0.0027%	14.8	49%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels Rumney (SSSI)		9.83	1.07E-04	0.000368	NA	NA	0.0016%	9.8	33%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels St Brides (SSSI)		18.6	2.98E-04	0.000876	NA	NA	0.0039%	18.6	62%	Insignificant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands (SSSI)		18.4	6.05E-03	0.016008	0.06	0.64	2.4%	19.1	64%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog (SSSI)		11.3	3.27E-04	0.000997	NA	0.34	1.1%	11.7	39%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir (SSSI)		9.18	4.78E-05	0.000149	NA	NA	0.0007%	9.2	31%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood (SSSI)		15.7	6.44E-05	0.000240	NA	NA	0.0010%	15.7	52%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section (SSSI)		10.2	7.44E-05	0.000302	NA	NA	0.0013%	10.2	34%	Insignificant
River Usk (SAC, SSSI)		22.2	7.89E-05	0.000265	NA	NA	0.0011%	22.2	74%	Insignificant



# ERM

Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	AQS	Baseline	VDC	MSFT	IQE	ERF	PC as % of AQS	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
			PC							
Rumney Quarry (SSSI)		10.2	0.00E+00	0.000228	NA	NA	0.0008%	10.2	34%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands (SSSI)		10.24	6.87E-05	0.000297	NA	NA	0.0012%	10.2	34%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary (SAC, SPA, SSSI)		26.1	5.8E-04	0.001615	0.00	0.47	1.6%	26.6	89%	Potentially Significant

**Note:**

The maximum annual mean PCs do not necessarily occur at the same locations, as dispersion is spread across the habitat areas.

NA - Results have not been reported in the publicly available report.

TABLE D 2 IN-COMBINATION IMPACT OF TESTING REGIME ON 24-HOUR MEAN NO<sub>x</sub> (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	AQS	Baseline	VDC	MSFT	IQE	ERF	PC as % of AQS	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
			PC							
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments (SSSI)	200	31.4	0.224	0.733	NA	NA	0%	32.4	16%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren (SSSI)		18.1	0.187	0.422	NA	NA	0%	18.7	9%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff (SSSI)		29.6	0.343	1.12	NA	NA	1%	31.0	16%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson (SSSI)		19.7	0.254	0.749	NA	NA	1%	20.7	10%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels Rumney (SSSI)		37.3	0.858	1.98	NA	NA	1%	40.1	20%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels St Brides (SSSI)		36.8	6.35	20.9	0.52	10.3	19%	74.9	37%	Potentially Significant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands (SSSI)		22.6	0.584	1.066	NA	2.7	2%	27.0	13%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog (SSSI)		18.4	0.166	0.500	NA	NA	0%	19.0	10%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir (SSSI)		31.4	0.211	0.737	NA	NA	0%	32.4	16%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood (SSSI)		20.4	0.247	0.847	NA	NA	1%	21.5	11%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section (SSSI)		44.4	0.259	0.950	NA	NA	1%	45.6	23%	Insignificant
River Usk (SAC, SSSI)		38.6	21.9	1.479	0.05	8.9	16%	70.9	35%	Potentially Significant
Rumney Quarry (SSSI)		20.5	0.000	0.77	NA	NA	0%	21.3	11%	Insignificant



# ERM

Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	AQS	Baseline	VDC	MSFT	IQE	ERF	PC as % of AQS	PEC	PEC as % of AQS	Significance
			PC							
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands (SSSI)		20.5	0.284	1.16	NA	NA	1%	21.9	11%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary (SAC, SPA, SSSI)		52.2	0.981	2.24	0.06	9.6	6%	65.1	33%	Insignificant

**Note:**

The maximum 24-hour mean PCs do not necessarily occur at the same locations or during the same hour, as the testing operations of each facility occur at different times, and dispersion is spread across the habitat areas.

NA - Results have not been reported in the publicly available report.

TABLE D 3 IN-COMBINATION IMPACT OF TESTING REGIME ON NUTRIENT NITROGEN DEPOSITION (kgN/ha/yr)

Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	Minimum Critical Load <sup>a</sup>	Baseline	VDC	MSFT	IQE <sup>b</sup>	ERF	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance
			PC							
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments (SSSI)	3	15.7	1.34E-05	4.74E-05	NA	NA	0.00344%	9.9	331%	Insignificant
Coed-y-Darren (SSSI)	3	9.1	7.92E-06	2.37E-05	NA	NA	0.00176%	16.1	537%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff (SSSI)	10	14.8	3.84E-05	1.27E-04	NA	NA	0.00177%	7.7	77%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels - Whitson (SSSI)	10	9.8	2.16E-05	7.41E-05	NA	NA	0.00103%	7.4	74%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels Rumney (SSSI)	3	18.6	6.01E-05	1.76E-04	NA	NA	0.00833%	8.3	277%	Insignificant
Gwent Levels St Brides (SSSI)	3	18.4	1.22E-03	3.22E-03	NA	0.37	1.93%	8.8	292%	Potentially Significant
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands (SSSI)	10	11.3	6.59E-05	2.01E-04	NA	0.2	0.29%	8.0	80%	Insignificant
Henllys Bog (SSSI)	3	9.2	9.63E-06	3.01E-05	NA	NA	0.00222%	13.3	442%	Insignificant
Lisvane Reservoir (SSSI)	10	15.7	1.30E-05	4.84E-05	NA	NA	0.00104%	9.9	99%	Insignificant
Plas Machen Wood (SSSI)	10	10.2	1.50E-05	6.08E-05	NA	NA	0.00130%	12.6	126%	Insignificant
Rhymney River Section (SSSI)	3	22.2	1.59E-05	5.34E-05	NA	NA	0.00390%	8.5	284%	Insignificant
River Usk (SAC, SSSI)	3	19.3	6.33E-03	2.38E-04	NA	0.68	6.75%	16.1	536%	Potentially Significant



Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	Minimum Critical Load <sup>a</sup>	Baseline	VDC	MSFT	IQE <sup>b</sup>	ERF	PC as % of CL	PEC	PEC as % of CL	Significance
			PC							
Rumney Quarry (SSSI)	3	10.2	0.00E+00	4.60E-05	NA	NA	0.00292%	8.2	275%	Insignificant
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands (SSSI)	10	10.2	1.38E-05	5.98E-05	NA	NA	0.00127%	13.0	130%	Insignificant
Severn Estuary (SAC, SPA, SSSI)	10	26.1	1.17E-04	3.25E-04	NA	0.27	0.78%	10.2	102%	Insignificant

**Note:**

The nutrient nitrogen deposition impact does not necessarily arise at the same locations.

<sup>a</sup> A minimum critical loads of 3 was used for sites with no available critical loads from the APIS website as a worst case scenario.

<sup>b</sup> Nutrient nitrogen deposition has been screened out as outlined in the IQE permit variation application report.

NA - Results have not been reported in the publicly available report.

TABLE D 4 IN-COMBINATION IMPACT OF TESTING REGIME ON ACID DEPOSITION (keq/ha/yr)

Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	VDC <sup>a</sup>	MSFT <sup>a</sup>	IQE <sup>b</sup>	ERF
	PC as % of CL			
Argloddiau Cronfeyedd Dwr Llanisien a Llys-Faen / Llanishen and Lisvane Reservoir Embankments (SSSI)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Coed-y-Darren (SSSI)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Gwent Levels - Nash and Goldcliff (SSSI)	0.00023%	NA	NA	NA
Gwent Levels - Whitson (SSSI)	0.00013%	0.0%	NA	NA
Gwent Levels Rumney (SSSI)	0.00036%	0.0%	NA	NA
Gwent Levels St Brides (SSSI)	0.01%	NA	NA	NA
Gwlyptiroedd Casnewydd / Newport Wetlands (SSSI)	0.0%	0.0199%	NA	NA
Henllys Bog (SSSI)	NA	0.0%	NA	0.50%
Lisvane Reservoir (SSSI)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Plas Machen Wood (SSSI)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Rhymney River Section (SSSI)	NA	0.0017%	NA	NA
River Usk (SAC, SSSI)	0.04%	NA	NA	NA
Rumney Quarry (SSSI)	NA	0.0059%	NA	NA
Ruperra Castle and Woodlands (SSSI)	NA	NA	NA	NA



Statutory Sites where pollution overlap is anticipated	VDC <sup>a</sup>	MSFT <sup>a</sup>	IQE <sup>b</sup>	ERF
	PC as % of CL			
Severn Estuary (SAC, SPA, SSSI)	0.0%	0.0008%	NA	NA

Note:

Acid deposition is presented as PC and PC % of Critical Loads, with sulphur and nitrogen being the two major contributors. The impact does not necessarily occur at the same locations.

<sup>a</sup> Acid deposition have been assessed only for the ecological sites with site-specific Critical Loads for acidity information available from the APIS website.

<sup>b</sup> Acid deposition has been screened out as outlined in the IQE permit variation application report.

NA - Results have not been reported in the publicly available report.





ERM HAS OVER 160 OFFICES ACROSS THE FOLLOWING  
COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES WORLDWIDE

Argentina	The Netherlands
Australia	New Zealand
Belgium	Peru
Brazil	Poland
Canada	Portugal
China	Romania
Colombia	Senegal
France	Singapore
Germany	South Africa
Ghana	South Korea
Guyana	Spain
Hong Kong	Switzerland
India	Taiwan
Indonesia	Tanzania
Ireland	Thailand
Italy	UAE
Japan	UK
Kazakhstan	US
Kenya	Vietnam
Malaysia	
Mexico	
Mozambique	

**ERM's London Office**

2nd Floor Exchequer Court  
33 St Mary Axe  
London, EC3A 8AA

T: +44 20 3206 5200

F: +44 20 3206 5440

**[www.erm.com](http://www.erm.com)**