

# FICHTNER



Consulting Engineers Limited



**enfinium Parc Adfer Operations Ltd**

CHP Report

## Document approval

	Name	Signature	Position	Date
Prepared by:	Jonny Oates		Senior Consultant	29/07/2025
Approved by:	James Sturman		Principal Consultant	29/07/2025

## Document revision record

Revision no	Date	Details of revisions	Prepared by	Checked by
0	18/07/2025	For Client	JO	JRS
1	29/07/2025	Updated following Client review.	JO	JRS

© 2025 Fichtner Consulting Engineers. All rights reserved.

This document and its accompanying documents contain information which is confidential and is intended only for the use of enfinium Parc Adfer Operations Ltd. If you are not one of the intended recipients any disclosure, copying, distribution or action taken in reliance on the contents of the information is strictly prohibited.

Unless expressly agreed, any reproduction of material from this document must be requested and authorised in writing from Fichtner Consulting Engineers. Authorised reproduction of material must include all copyright and proprietary notices in the same form and manner as the original and must not be modified in any way. Acknowledgement of the source of the material must also be included in all references.

# Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
1.1	Background .....	4
1.2	Objective .....	4
2	The CC facility .....	5
2.1	The carbon capture process.....	5
2.2	Integrating the CC facility into the ERF .....	5
3	The ERF .....	6
3.1	Details of heat supply system from the ERF .....	6
3.1.1	Steam extraction from the existing steam turbine bleed .....	6
3.1.2	Steam extraction from a new back pressure steam turbine .....	7
3.1.3	Summary.....	7
4	HP Steam Supply to the CC Facility .....	8
4.1	Back pressure turbine .....	8
4.2	Backup supply .....	8
5	ERF Energy Efficiency Calculations .....	9
5.1	Heat and power export .....	9
5.2	BAT 20 of WI BREF.....	10
5.3	Primary energy saving.....	11
6	Summary .....	12
6.1	Technical solution .....	12
6.2	Energy efficiency measures.....	12
6.3	Overall .....	12
	Appendices .....	14
A	Indicative Process Overview.....	15

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

An Environmental Permit (EP) (Ref: EPR/AB3092CV) was granted by Natural Resource Wales (NRW) for the Parc Adfer Energy Recovery Facility (herein referred to as the ERF) on 28 October 2015. The ERF comprises a single waste incineration line, and associated flue gas treatment systems.

The EP (EPR/AB3092CV) has been varied 7 times. The latest variation to the EP was granted by NRW to enfinium Parc Adfer Operations Ltd (enfinium) on 8 August 2023 to increase the capacity of the ERF from 200,000 tpa to 232,000 tpa.

Enfinium is proposing to install a carbon capture (CC) facility (the CC facility) to capture carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) from the ERF and exporting this to the local HyNet cluster. The CC facility is based on capturing 95% of the carbon dioxide from the flue gas under the design nominal case where the ERF waste input is 78.5MW<sub>th</sub>.

## 1.2 Objective

The ERF will supply heat and power to the CC facility, which will be a 'heat user'. On this basis, this Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Report will support the application for the Permit Variation to add the CC facility.

The CHP Report will identify the heat requirements of the CC facility and explain how the export of heat from the ERF has been optimised to maximise the energy efficiency of the CC facility in accordance with the EP and Article 11 of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) (Directive 2010/75/EU), which requires regulators to ensure that regulated facilities use energy efficiently.

The CHP Report will consider how the energy efficiency of the ERF relates to the relevant energy efficiency requirements of the Waste Incineration BREF.

## 2 The CC facility

### 2.1 The carbon capture process

In a CC process, CO<sub>2</sub> is extracted from a mixture of gases to create a CO<sub>2</sub> rich stream. The CO<sub>2</sub> captured can then be injected into underground formations (storage). Overall, the process is referred to as carbon capture and storage (CCS). Where the CO<sub>2</sub> can be used as a resource in another process, the process is referred to as carbon capture, usage and storage (CCUS). CCUS can be applied to large scale point sources of carbon including energy intensive industries, power generation, heat production, transport and maritime sectors. The process can be divided into three main steps which are:

1. separation of CO<sub>2</sub> from the gas stream;
2. compression and transportation of the CO<sub>2</sub> (via pipeline or shipping); and
3. use of the captured CO<sub>2</sub> as a resource for other industries or storage within suitable geological formations (saline aquifers, depleted oil and gas reservoirs).

The full flue gas flow will be extracted from the ERF using a damper system. The damper system will isolate the flue gas from the existing ERF stack and divert the flue gas from the ERF to the CC facility.

The CC facility will utilise heat from the waste incineration processes for CO<sub>2</sub> stripping, amine regeneration and flue gas re-heating. Steam produced from the ERF will be extracted for use in the CC facility whilst electrical power for the operation of the CC facility will be provided from the back-pressure turbine (refer to section 2.2).

An indicative overview of the proposed CC facility is provided in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Integrating the CC facility into the ERF

The CC facility is designed so that the flue gases from the ERF can either be treated within the CC facility or released to atmosphere through the existing stack without carbon capture treatment via a by-pass.

The CC facility will export CO<sub>2</sub> to the HyNet Cluster for onward transportation to redundant gas fields in Liverpool Bay where it will be sequestered.

The CC facility will utilise heat, in the form of steam, from the waste incineration processes for CO<sub>2</sub> stripping, amine regeneration, and in the form of hot condensate for flue gas re-heating. Steam produced from the ERF will be extracted for use in the CC facility for both steam usage and electrical power. As explained in later sections, the ERF turbine does not have sufficient bleed steam capacity to meet the needs of the CC facility. The ERF turbine would need to be modified to meet the CC facility steam demand on its own. This means that the installation of a back-pressure turbine, using high pressure steam from the boiler to generate power while expanding the steam to the required pressure, is the most economic and efficient option for supplying steam to the CC facility. Bleed steam from a modified ERF turbine has a slightly higher cost than the back pressure turbine. This is primarily due to the cost of lost electrical generation whilst the turbine modification works are carried out. Therefore, the most favourable solution is the back-pressure turbine.

Electrical power for the operation of the CC facility will be provided by the back pressure turbine, which will produce a surplus of power.

## 3 The ERF

The main activities associated with the ERF are the combustion of incoming non-hazardous waste to raise steam, steam export to local users, and the generation of electricity in a steam turbine/generator.

The ERF includes a waste incineration line, a waste reception hall, main thermal treatment process, turbine hall, on-site facilities for the treatment or storage of residues and wastewater, flue gas treatment, stack, boiler, systems for controlling operation of the waste incineration plant and recording and monitoring conditions.

In addition to the main elements described, the ERF also includes weighbridges, water, auxiliary fuel and air supply systems, site fencing and security barriers, external hardstanding areas for vehicle manoeuvring, internal access roads and car parking, transformers, a grid connection compound, a firewater storage tank, offices, workshop, stores and staff welfare facilities.

The ERF has a gross electrical output of 21.8 MW<sub>e</sub> when operating in fully condensing mode, with an estimated parasitic load of approximately 2.3 MW<sub>e</sub> and the balance exported to the national grid. Therefore, the ERF will export approximately 19.5 MW<sub>e</sub> in full condensing mode.

The ERF will supply high pressure steam to a new back pressure steam turbine generator within the CC facility, resulting in an average total electrical generation of approximately 14.3 MW<sub>e</sub> (5.4 MW<sub>e</sub> from the new back pressure steam turbine in the CC facility, 8.9 MW<sub>e</sub> from the existing fully condensing steam turbine). With the increase of site parasitic load to approximately 7.2 MW<sub>e</sub>, the Facility will export approximately 7.1 MW<sub>e</sub>. Net heat of 32.7 MW<sub>th</sub> will be supplied as steam from the back pressure turbine to the CC facility, with the condensate being returned from the CC facility to the ERF.

The heat released by the combustion of the incoming waste will be recovered by means of a water tube boiler, which is integral to the furnace and will produce (in combination with superheaters) high pressure superheated steam at approximately 60 bar(a) and 407°C. The steam from the boiler feeds a high-efficiency steam turbine which generates electricity. The turbine has a series of extractions at different pressures that will be used for preheating air and water in the steam cycle.

The remainder of the steam, after expanding to very low pressure is condensed back to water using an air-cooled condenser. The condensed steam will be returned as feed water in a closed-circuit pipework system to the boiler.

### 3.1 Details of heat supply system from the ERF

Heat is typically supplied from the energy recovery process in the form of steam and / or hot water, depending on the grade of heat required by the end consumers.

The most commonly considered options for recovering heat are discussed below.

#### 3.1.1 Steam extraction from the existing steam turbine bleed

The existing ERF turbine is not designed to supply the volume of steam required by the CC facility without modifications. This would involve creating a controlled extraction by installing a control diaphragm as blade carrier within the steam turbine and replacement of another blade carrier within the steam turbine. A turbine outage would be required to accommodate these works. The boilers may continue to operate, but no electrical generation would be possible, limiting the revenue and reducing efficiency during this period.

### 3.1.2 Steam extraction from a new back pressure steam turbine

A new back pressure steam turbine generator (in addition to the existing ERF fully condensing steam turbine generator) will be installed to take high pressure steam from the ERF boiler and reduce its pressure to the CC facility steam requirements. The ERF steam turbine will therefore have its flowrate reduced and will be operated at part load. The drop in electrical power this causes will be offset by generation from the new back-pressure turbine. However, the total generation from the ERF and back pressure turbine will still be reduced compared to the current design, as the steam expanded through the back pressure turbine is only expanded to 3.5 bar(a) rather than 60 bar(a) in the existing fully condensing steam turbine.

Implementing this option will require the construction of an additional steam turbine generator, new high pressure (HP) steam supply pipework to the inlet of the back pressure turbine from the HP steam header, and electrical cabling to import ERF power or export power from the CC facility.

The HP steam will be extracted from the HP header and transported to the new location of the back pressure turbine.

### 3.1.3 Summary

Taking the above into consideration, the most appropriate approach to supply heat to the CC facility is to extract steam from the HP steam header, through a back pressure turbine (as described in 3.1.2). This method for the supply of heat is considered favourable for the following reasons.

1. The heat requirements of the CC facility (as described in section 4) are too high for the temperatures attainable from the existing turbine exhaust steam.
2. Extraction of steam from the back pressure turbine offers the most flexibility for varying heat quality and capacity to supply for the CC facility steam requirements.
3. Extraction of steam from the back pressure turbine offers a higher overall electricity generation from the CC facility than other options.
4. Extraction of steam from the existing turbine would have higher capital cost when compared to the back pressure turbine.
5. The existing ERF turbine would need to be modified in order to meet the CC facility steam demand on its own. Bleed steam from a modified ERF turbine has a slightly higher cost than the back pressure turbine. This is primarily due to the cost of lost electrical generation whilst the turbine modification works are carried out.

## 4 HP Steam Supply to the CC Facility

### 4.1 Back pressure turbine

A back pressure steam turbine expands HP steam to a fixed pressure above atmospheric, for use in a process or as a heat offtake. The back pressure turbine is sized such that the exhaust flow rate matches the requirements for the CC facility. A bleed will be included for the supply of steam to the reclaimers at higher pressure. The back pressure turbine has been modelled with results presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Back pressure turbine

Parameter	Unit	Value
CCS heat requirement	MW <sub>th</sub>	32.5
CCS power requirement	MW <sub>e</sub>	4.9
Back pressure turbine generation	MW	5.4
<b>HP steam from the ERF to back pressure turbine:</b>		
• pressure	bar (a)	60
• temperature	°C	407
• flow rate	t/h	50
<b>Exhaust steam from back pressure turbine to reboiler:</b>		
• pressure	bar (a)	3.5
• temperature	°C	139
• flow rate	t/h	41
<b>Bleed steam from back pressure turbine to reclaimers:</b>		
• pressure	bar (a)	8
• temperature	°C	172
• flow rate	t/h	1

### 4.2 Backup supply

The back pressure turbine will be supplied with steam from the ERF HP header. This steam will be expanded across the turbine to a pressure of 3.5 bar (a) and exhaust to the steam header.

If the back pressure turbine is out of service, the CC facility will be supplied with steam via a bypass system. The bypass system will consist of a let-down valve, which reduces the pressure of the steam from 60 bar(a) to 3.5 bar (a), with a pressure reduction valve, and desuperheating unit, which doses condensate from the condensate tank into the bypass steam. The flow of condensate will be controlled by measuring the outlet temperature of the bypass steam.

## 5 ERF Energy Efficiency Calculations

### 5.1 Heat and power export

The heat and power export has been modelled across a range of load cases and the results are presented in Table 2.

For modelling and calculation purposes, the Facility has been divided, virtually, into two parts when the CC facility is operating.

- Part A - the portion of the ERF boilers which supply high pressure steam to the fully condensing ERF turbine, and the fully condensing turbine.
- Part B - the remaining portion of the ERF boilers which supply high pressure steam to the new back pressure turbine, the back pressure turbine itself, and the heat export to the CC facility.

Table 2: Heat and power export

Parameter	Unit	Value
<b>ERF fully condensing turbine with no heat supply to CC facility</b>		
Net thermal input from waste to the boiler, NCV	MW <sub>th</sub>	72.0
Gross power generated	MW <sub>e</sub>	21.8
Parasitic load	MW <sub>e</sub>	2.3
Net power exported	MW <sub>e</sub>	19.5
Gross electrical efficiency, NCV	%	30.3
<b>Heat supply to CC facility via the back pressure turbine</b>		
<i>Part A: Fully condensing ERF turbine</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effective net thermal input from waste to the boiler, NCV</li> </ul>	MW <sub>th</sub>	29.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gross power generated from ERF turbine</li> </ul>	MW <sub>e</sub>	8.9
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gross electrical efficiency, NCV</li> </ul>	%	30.3
<i>Part B: Back pressure turbine</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effective net thermal input from waste to the boiler, NCV</li> </ul>	MW <sub>th</sub>	42.6
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gross power generated from back pressure turbine</li> </ul>	MW <sub>e</sub>	5.4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Net Heat supply from back pressure turbine to CC facility</li> </ul>	MW <sub>th</sub>	32.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gross energy efficiency, NCV</li> </ul>	%	89.0
Total gross power generated (Part A+B)	MW <sub>e</sub>	14.3
Total power used (ERF + CC facility)	MW <sub>e</sub>	7.2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ERF parasitic load</li> </ul>	MW <sub>e</sub>	2.3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CC facility power requirement</li> </ul>	MW <sub>e</sub>	4.9
Net power exported (Part A+B)	MW <sub>e</sub>	7.1

## 5.2 BAT 20 of WI BREF

The Waste Incineration BREF<sup>1</sup> was published in December 2019. In accordance with the requirements of the IED, the ERF is required to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the WI BREF prior to December 2023.

BAT 20 states that the BAT-Associated Energy Efficiency Levels (referred to as BAT-AEELs) given in these BAT conclusions are expressed as:

- gross electrical efficiency in the case of an incineration plant or part of an incineration plant that produces electricity using a condensing turbine; and
- gross energy efficiency in the case of an incineration plant or part of an incineration plant that:
  - produces only heat, or
  - produces electricity using a back-pressure turbine and heat with the steam leaving the turbine.

As the ERF with CC facility will have both a condensing turbine and a back pressure turbine, it does not fit into either category. This is why it has been divided, for modelling purposes, into Part A and Part B, as described earlier. For compliance purposes, it is then assumed that:

- the Gross Electrical Efficiency formula applies to the ERF fully condensing turbine (Part A); and
- The Gross Energy Efficiency formula applies to the back pressure turbine (Part B).

BAT 20 states that the BAT-AEELs for Gross Electrical Efficiency for part of a new waste incineration plant that produces electricity using a condensing turbine (Part A) is 25-35%.

BAT 20 states that the BAT-AEELs for Gross Energy Efficiency for part of a new waste incineration plant that produces electricity using a back-pressure turbine and heat with the steam leaving the turbine (Part B) is 72-91%.

The Gross Electrical and Energy Efficiency of Part A and Part B respectively have been calculated in accordance with the requirements of BAT 20. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: BAT 20 - gross efficiency

Part of Facility	Unit	Value
<b>Part A: ERF fully condensing turbine</b>		
Net thermal input from waste to the boiler, NCV	MW <sub>th</sub>	29.4
Gross power generated from ERF turbine	MW <sub>e</sub>	8.9
Gross electrical efficiency, NCV	%	830.3
BAT-AEEL (%)	%	25-35
<b>Pass or Fail</b>		<b>Pass</b>
<b>Part B: Back pressure turbine</b>		
Net thermal input from waste to the boiler, NCV	MW <sub>th</sub>	42.6
Gross power generated from back pressure turbine	MW <sub>e</sub>	5.4
Net Heat supply from back pressure turbine to CC facility	MW <sub>th</sub>	<b>32.5</b>
Gross energy efficiency, NCV	%	89.0%
BAT-AEEL (%)	%	72-91

<sup>1</sup> [https://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2020-01/JRC118637\\_WI\\_Bref\\_2019\\_published\\_0.pdf](https://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2020-01/JRC118637_WI_Bref_2019_published_0.pdf)

Part of Facility	Unit	Value
Pass or Fail		Pass

The EA’s BREF Implementation Plan states:

*“BAT for these plants is to become CHP by connecting to a heat network or supplying a heat user direct where viable opportunities exist.”*

and

*“Existing plants will also be expected to demonstrate that they have maximised their GEE as far as possible and have minimised their parasitic heat and electrical loads.”*

As explained in section 2, the CC facility will require heat and is a potential heat user for the ERF. In addition, as shown in Table 3, Part A of the facility, which is the ERF fully condensing turbine, would achieve a gross electric efficiency of more than 25% whilst the Part B of the facility, supplying heat to the CC facility from the back pressure would achieve gross energy efficiency of more than 72%. Taking this into consideration, the proposed supply of heat from the ERF via the back pressure turbine to the CC facility is considered to represent BAT.

### 5.3 Primary energy saving

To be considered high-efficiency cogeneration, the scheme must achieve at least 10% savings in primary energy usage compared to the separate generation of heat and power. The Primary Energy Saving (PES) has been calculated in accordance with European Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/2402 of 12 October 2015 Annex II part (b).

Efficiency reference values for the separate production of heat and electricity have been taken as 75% and 25% respectively as defined in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/2402 of 12 October 2015<sup>2</sup>.

When operating in heat supply mode from the ERF via the back pressure turbine to the CC facility (Table 3), the PES has been calculated in accordance with the draft Article 14 guidance<sup>3</sup> and the results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Primary energy saving (%)

	Primary energy saving (%)
The ERF + new back pressure turbine	28.4

The Facility achieves a PES of 28.4%. This is more than the technical feasibility threshold defined in the draft Article 14 guidance. On this basis, the heat supply from the ERF via a new back pressure turbine to the CC facility qualifies as a high-efficiency cogeneration operation when operating in CHP mode.

<sup>2</sup> <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32015R2402>

<sup>3</sup> Draft guidance on completing cost-benefit assessments for installations under Article 14 of the Energy Efficiency Directive, V0.9 April 2015

## 6 Summary

### 6.1 Technical solution

1. The ERF with no steam supply to the CC facility will have a gross electrical output of 21.8 MWe, (design value when operating in fully condensing mode), with an estimated parasitic load of approximately 2.3 MW<sub>e</sub>, with the balance exported to the National Grid. Therefore, the ERF is expected to export approximately 19.5 MW<sub>e</sub> in fully condensing mode.
2. Enfinium is proposing to construct a CC facility which is based on capturing 95% of the capture CO<sub>2</sub> from both ERF flue gas streams under the design nominal case CO<sub>2</sub> load.
3. The CC facility will utilise heat from the ERF for CO<sub>2</sub> stripping, amine regeneration and flue-gas re-heating. Steam extracted from the ERF via the new back pressure turbine will be used in the reboiler of the CC facility to heat the amine solution drawn from the bottom of the amine regenerator and to the vaporise water in the CO<sub>2</sub> rich amine solvent solution. Power for the operation of the CC facility will be provided by the new back pressure turbine.
4. The current turbine existing bleed is not able to supply the required volume of steam for the CC facility. The most economic option for supplying steam to the CC facility, at the required pressure, is installation of a back-pressure turbine. The ERF will be designed with the capability to export up to 42.6 MW<sub>th</sub> of heat and 4.9 MW<sub>e</sub> of electrical power to meet the CC facility demand. This would result in an average electrical generation of approximately 14.3 MW<sub>e</sub> (8.9 MW<sub>e</sub> from the existing fully condensing steam turbine and 5.4 MW<sub>e</sub> from the back pressure steam turbine).

### 6.2 Energy efficiency measures

1. The Facility as proposed will have both a fully condensing turbine and a back pressure turbine. Around 41% of the boiler capacity will be used to supply high pressure steam to the fully condensing turbine whilst the remaining capacity supply high pressure steam to the back pressure turbine.
2. The Gross Electrical Efficiency (NCV) for Part A of the facility is 30.3 %, which compares favourably with the BAT-AEELs for Gross Electrical Efficiency (25–35% for a new plant). The Gross Energy Efficiency (NCV) for Part B, is 89.0%, within the range of the BAT-AEELs for Gross Energy Efficiency (72–91%).
3. In order to qualify as technically feasible under the draft Article 14 guidance, the heat demand must be sufficient to achieve high efficiency cogeneration, equivalent to at least 10 % savings in primary energy usage compared to the separate generation of heat and power. With the average heat demand of 29.4 MW<sub>th</sub> from the CC facility, the ERF achieves a PES of 28.4 %, which is in excess of the technical feasibility threshold defined in the draft Article 14 guidance and would therefore be technically feasible to supply.

### 6.3 Overall

The EA's BREF Implementation Plan states:

*“BAT for these plants is to become CHP by connecting to a heat network or supplying a heat user direct where viable opportunities exist.”*

and

*“Existing plants will also be expected to demonstrate that they have maximised their GEE as far as possible and have minimised their parasitic heat and electrical loads.”*


As set out above:

1. the CC facility will require heat and is considered to be a potential heat user for the ERF; and
2. the export of heat to the CC facility via the new back pressure turbine will have gross electrical efficiency and gross energy efficiency more than BAT-AEELs and PES of more than 10%.

Taking this into consideration, the proposed supply of heat from the ERF to the CC facility via the new back pressure turbine is considered to represent BAT.

# Appendices

# A Indicative Process Overview



ENGINEERING  CONSULTING

**FICHTNER**

Consulting Engineers Limited

Kingsgate (Floor 3), Wellington Road North,  
Stockport, Cheshire, SK4 1LW,  
United Kingdom

t: +44 (0)161 476 0032

f: +44 (0)161 474 0618

[www.fichtner.co.uk](http://www.fichtner.co.uk)