



Element, Unit C6, Emery Court, The Embankment Business Park, Heaton Mersey, Stockport, SK4 3GL
Your Element Contact: Richard Carter (+44(0)7585 894 426)
E: richard.carter@element.com

Stack Emissions Testing Report Commissioned by
Duynie Ingredients

Installation Name & Address

Duynie Ingredients
Coed Abden Road
Wrexham Industrial Estate
Wrexham
LL13 9UH

Stack Reference

MCA Scrubber

Dates of the Monitoring Campaign

25th - 26th September 2025

Job Reference Number

EMT14377

Report Written by

Stephen Taylor
Team Leader
MCERTS Level 2
MM 23 1803
TE1 TE3 & TE4

Report Approved by

Donal O Faogain
Technical Report Writer
MCERTS Level 2
MM13 1259
TE1 TE2 TE3 TE4

Report Date

8th October 2025

Version

Version 1

Signature of Report Approver



TITLE PAGE

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Monitoring Objectives	3
Monitoring Results	4
Monitoring Dates & Times	5
Process Details	6
Monitoring & Analytical Methods	7
Summary of Sampling Deviations	7
Sampling Location	8
Plant Photos / Sample Points	9

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Personnel & List of Equipment

APPENDIX 2 - Raw Data, Sampling Equations & Charts

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of Element's ISO 17025 accreditation.

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Element.

Executive Summary

(Page 1 of 7)

MONITORING OBJECTIVES

Duynie Ingredients , Wrexham

MCA Scrubber

25th - 26th September 2025

Overall Aim of the Monitoring Campaign

Element were commissioned by Duynie Ingredients to carry out stack emissions testing on the MCA Scrubber at Wrexham.

The aim of the monitoring campaign was to perform testing, as requested by the customer, for a number of prescribed pollutants. There are no emission limits set for any of the pollutants at this time.

Special Requirements

There were no special requirements.

Target Parameters

Hydrogen Chloride, Total VOCs (as Carbon)

Executive Summary
(Page 2 of 7)

MONITORING RESULTS

Duynie Ingredients , Wrexham
MCA Scrubber
25th - 26th September 2025

where MU = Measurement Uncertainty associated with the Result

Parameter	Concentration				Mass Emission			
	Units	Result	MU +/-	Limit	Units	Result	MU +/-	Limit
Hydrogen Chloride	¹ mg/m ³	< 0.11	0.01	-	g/hr	< 0.003	0.02	-
Total VOCs (as Carbon)	¹ mg/m ³	1.9	0.54	-	g/hr	0.06	0.29	-
Water Vapour	% v/v	1.3	0.24					
Stack Gas Temperature	°C	10.6						
Stack Gas Velocity	m/s	0.47	2.4					
Volumetric Flow Rate (ACTUAL)	m ³ /hr	30	153					
Volumetric Flow Rate (REF)	¹ m ³ /hr	29	150					

NOTE: VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE & VELOCITY DATA TAKEN FROM THE PRELIMINARY VELOCITY TRAVERSE.

¹ Reference Conditions (REF) are: 273K, 101.3kPa, without correction for water vapour content.

Executive Summary

(Page 3 of 7)

MONITORING DATE(S) & TIMES

Duynie Ingredients , Wrexham

MCA Scrubber

25th - 26th September 2025

Parameter	Units	Concentration	Units	Mass Emission	Sampling Date(s)	Sampling Times	Duration mins
Hydrogen Chloride	R1 mg/m ³	< 0.11	g/hr	< 0.0032	25/09/2025	10:23 - 11:23	60
Total VOCs (as Carbon)	R1 mg/m ³	1.9	g/hr	0.06	25/09/2025	10:23 - 11:23	60
Velocity Traverse	R1				25/09/2025	09:55 - 10:00	

All results are expressed at the respective reference conditions.

Executive Summary
(Page 4 of 7)

PROCESS DETAILS

Duynie Ingredients , Wrexham
MCA Scrubber
25th - 26th September 2025

Standard Operating Conditions

Parameter	Value
Process Status	Normal Operating Capacity
Capacity (of 100%) and Tonnes / Hour	2 Cycles Per Hour
Continuous or Batch Process	Batch
Feedstock (if applicable)	MCA & Caustic
Abatement System	Scubber
Abatement System Running Status	Scrubber Running
Fuel	N/A
Plume Appearance	None Visible

Executive Summary

(Page 5 of 7)

MONITORING & ANALYTICAL METHODS

Duynie Ingredients , Wrexham

MCA Scrubber

25th - 26th September 2025

Parameter	Monitoring				Analysis				Overall Status	LOD (Average)
	Standard	Technical Procedure	Sampling Status	Testing Lab	Analytical Procedure	Analytical Technique	Analysis Status	Analysis Lab		
Hydrogen Chloride	EN 1911	MD 011	MCERTS	EET	MD 101	IC	MCERTS	EET	MCERTS	0.111 mg/m ³
Total VOCs (as Carbon)	EN 12619:2013	MD 020	MCERTS	EET	Flame Ionisation Detection by Sick 3006				MCERTS	0.82 mg/m ³
Velocity & Vol. Flow Rate	EN 16911-1 (MID)	MD 041	MCERTS	EET	Pitot Tube and Thermocouple				MCERTS	1.2 m/s

ANALYSIS LABORATORIES

(with short name reference as appears in the table above)

Element (Stockport Lab - EET)	ISO 17025 Accreditation Number: UKAS 4279
-------------------------------	---

SUMMARY OF SAMPLING DEVIATIONS

Parameter	Run	Deviation
Velocity & Vol. Flow Rate	1	Lowest Differential Pressure <5Pa

SUITABILITY OF SAMPLING LOCATION

Duct Characteristics

Parameter	Units	Value
Type	-	Circular
Depth	m	0.15
Width	m	-
Area	m ²	0.02
Port Depth	cm	6
Orientation of Duct	-	Vertical
Number of Ports	-	1
Sample Port Size	-	4" BSP

Location of Sampling Platform

General Platform Information	Value
Permanent / Temporary Platform	MEWP
Inside / Outside	Outside

Platform Details

EA Technical Guidance Note M1 / EN 15259 Platform Requirements	Value
Sufficient working area to manipulate probe and operate the measuring instruments	Yes
Platform has 2 levels of handrails (approx. 0.5m & 1.0m high)	N/A
Platform has vertical base boards (approx. 0.25m high)	N/A
Platform has chains / self closing gates at top of ladders	N/A
There are no obstructions present which hamper insertion of sampling equipment	No
Safe Access Available	Yes
Easy Access Available	Yes

Sampling Location / Platform Improvement Recommendations

The sampling location meets all the requirements specified in EA Guidance Note M1 and EN 15259, and therefore there are no improvement recommendations.

EN 15259 Homogeneity Test Requirements

There is no requirement to perform a EN 15259 Homogeneity Test on this Stack.

Sampling Plane Validation Criteria (from EN 15259)

Criteria in EN 15259	Units	Traverse 1	Required	Compliant
Lowest Differential Pressure	Pa	0.2	> 5 Pa	No
Mean Velocity	m/s	0.47	-	-
Lowest Gas Velocity	m/s	0.47	-	-
Highest Gas Velocity	m/s	0.47	-	-
Ratio of Above	: 1	1.00	< 3 : 1	Yes
Maximum Angle of Swirl	°	4.00	< 15°	Yes
No Local Negative Flow	-	Yes	-	Yes

PLANT PHOTOS


Photo 1



Photo 2



SAMPLE POINTS



- where
- = isokinetic point sampled at
 - = isokinetic point not sampled at
 - = combustion gases sample point
 - = non-isokinetic sample point



APPENDICES

APPENDIX CONTENTS

APPENDIX 1 - Stack Emissions Monitoring Personnel, List of Equipment & Methods and Technical Procedures Used

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

STACK EMISSIONS MONITORING PERSONNEL

Position	Name	MCERTS Accreditation	MCERTS Number	Technical Endorsements
Team Leader	Stephen Taylor	MCERTS Level 2	MM 23 1803	TE1 TE3 & TE4
Technician	Tom Dixon	MCERTS Level 1	MM 23 1802	TE1

LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Extractive Sampling		Instrumental Analysers		Miscellaneous Items	
Equipment Type	Equipment I.D.	Equipment Type	Equipment I.D.	Equipment Type	Equipment I.D.
Control Box DGM (1)	-	Horiba PG-250	-	Digital Manometer 500	CAT 3.265
Control Box DGM (2)	-	Horiba PG-250	-	Digital Manometer 10000	-
Box Thermocouples (1)	-	Servomex 4900	-	Digital Temperature Meter	-
Box Thermocouples (2)	-	Eco Physics CLD 822Mh	-	Stopwatch	CAT 14.98
Umbilical (1)	-	ABB AO2020-URAS26	-	Barometer	CAT 13.62
Umbilical (2)	-	Testo 350 XL	-	Stack Thermocouple 0.5m	-
Oven Box (1)	-	JCT JCC P1 Cooler	-	Stack Thermocouple 1.0m	-
Oven Box (2)	-	Gasmet DX4000	-	Stack Thermocouple 1.5m	-
Heated Probe (1)	-	Gasmet Sampling System	-	1m Heated Line (1)	-
Heated Probe (2)	-	Sick 3006	CAT 8.33	1m Heated Line (2)	-
Heated Probe (3)	-	M&C PSS	CAT 12.174	1m Heated Line (3)	-
S-Pitot (1)	CAT 215.71	Mass Flow Controller (1)	CAT 6.23	5m Heated Line (1)	-
S-Pitot (2)	-	Mass Flow Controller (2)	CAT 6.24	15m Heated Line (1)	-
L-Pitot	-	Mass View (1)	CAT 25.94	20m Heated Line (1)	CAT 20.277
Site Balance	CAT 17.108	Mass View (2)	CAT 25.121	20m Heated Line (2)	-
500g / 1Kg Check Weights	CAT 17.108	Hioki 5043 (V)	CAT 11.103	Dual Channel Heater Controller	-
Last Impinger Arm	-	Easylogger EN-EL-12 Bit	-	Single Channel Heater Controller	-
Callipers	CAT 23.53	Bioaerosols Temperature Logger	-	Laboratory Balance	-
Tubes Kit Thermocouple	-	Electronic Refrigerator	-	Tape Measure	CAT 16.58

METHODS & TECHNICAL PROCEDURES USED

Parameter	Standard	Technical Procedure
Hydrogen Chloride	EN 1911	MD 011
Total VOCs (as Carbon)	EN 12619:2013	MD 020
Velocity & Vol. Flow Rate	EN 16911-1 (MID)	MD 041

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY: CALCULATIONS

General Stack Details

Stack Details (from Traverse)	Units	Value
Stack Diameter / Depth, D	m	0.15
Stack Width, W	m	-
Stack Area, A	m ²	0.02
Average Stack Gas Temperature, T _a	°C	10.6
Average Stack Gas Pressure	Pa	0.2
Average Stack Static Pressure, P _{static}	kPa	0.004
Average Barometric Pressure, P _b	kPa	102.8
Average Pitot Tube Calibration Coefficient, C _p	-	0.84

Stack Gas Composition & Molecular Weights

Component	Conc ppm	Conc Dry % v/v	Conc Wet % v/v	Volume Fraction r	Molar Mass M	Density kg/m ³ p	Conc kg/m ³ p _i
CO ₂ (Estimated)	-	0.06	0.06	0.0006	44.01	1.9635	0.00118
O ₂ (Estimated)	-	20.80	20.53	0.2080	32.00	1.4277	0.29696
N ₂	-	79.14	78.12	0.7914	28.01	1.2498	0.98913
Moisture (H ₂ O)	-	-	1.29	0.0129	18.02	0.8037	0.01038

Where: $p = M / 22.41$
 $p_i = r \times p$

Calculation of Stack Gas Densities

Determinand	Units	Result
Dry Density (STP), P _{STD}	kg/m ³	1.287
Wet Density (STP), P _{STW}	kg/m ³	1.281
Dry Density (Actual), P _{Actual}	kg/m ³	1.258
Average Wet Density (Actual), P _{ActualW}	kg/m ³	1.251

Where: P_{STD} = sum of component concentrations, kg/m³ (not including water vapour)
 P_{STW} = sum of all wet concentrations / 100 x density, kg/m³ (including water vapour)
 $P_{Actual} = P_{STD} \times (T_{STP} / (P_{STP})) \times ((P_{static} + P_b) / T_a)$
 $P_{ActualW}$ (at each sampling point) = $P_{STW} \times (T_c / P_s) \times (P_a / T_a)$

Calculation of Stack Gas Volumetric Flowrate, Q

Duct gas flow conditions	Units	Actual	REF ¹
Temperature	°C	10.6	0.0
Total Pressure	kPa	102.8	101.3
Moisture	%	1.29	1.29

Gas Volumetric Flowrate (from Traverse)	Units	Result
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (Actual)	m ³ /hr	30
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Wet)	m ³ /hr	29
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Dry)	m ³ /hr	29
Gas Volumetric Flowrate REF ¹	m ³ /hr	29

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY: VELOCITY TRAVERSE TO EN 16911-1 (MID)

(1 of 1)

Parameter	Units	Value
Date of Survey	-	25/09/2025
Time of Survey	-	09:55 - 10:00
Atmospheric Pressure	kPa	102.8
Average Stack Static Pressure	Pa	4
Result of Pitot Stagnation Test	-	Pass
Are Water Droplets Present?	-	No
Device Used	S-Type Pitot with KIMO MP 210 (500Pa)	

Parameter	Units	Value
Initial Pitot Leak Check	-	Pass
Final Pitot Leak Check	-	Pass
Orientation of Duct	-	Vertical
Pitot Tube, C _p	-	0.84
Number of Lines Available	-	1
Number of Lines Used	-	1

Sampling Line A						
Traverse Point	Depth m	ΔP Pa	Temp °C	Wet Density kg/m ³	Velocity m/s	Swirl °
STATIC (Units: Pa)		3.5				
Mean		0.2	10.6	1.251	0.47	
1	0.08	0.2	10.6	1.251	0.47	4.0

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY: VELOCITY TRAVERSE TO EN 16911-1 (MID) - MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

(1 of 1)

Performance characteristics (Uncertainty Components)	Uncertainty	Value	Units
Standard Uncertainty on the coefficient of the Pitot Tube	$u(k)$	0.005	-
Standard Uncertainty associated with the mean local dynamic pressures	$u(\Delta p_i)$	1.041	Pa
- Resolution	$u(res)$	0.00087	
- Calibration	$u(cal)$	0.000	
- Drift	$u(drift)$	0.083	
- Lack of Fit	$u(fit)$	0.000	
- Overall corrections to dynamic measurements	$u(C_f)$	0.084	
Standard uncertainty associated with the molar mass of the gas	$u(M)$	0.00003	-
- $\varphi_{O_2,w}$	-	20.531	
- $\varphi_{CO_2,w}$	-	0.059	
- Oxygen, dry	$u(\phi_{O_2,d})$	0.637	
- Carbon Dioxide, dry	$u(\phi_{CO_2,d})$	0.002	
- Water Vapour	$u(\phi_{H_2O})$	0.066	
- Oxygen, wet	$u(\phi_{O_2,w})$	0.629	
- Carbon Dioxide, wet	$u(\phi_{CO_2,w})$	0.002	
Standard uncertainty associated with the stack temperature	$u(T_c)$	1.447	K
Standard uncertainty associated with the absolute pressure in the duct	$u(p_c)$	175.695	Pa
- Atmospheric Pressure	$u(p_{atm})$	175.692	
- Static Pressure	$u(p_{stat})$	1.041	
Standard uncertainty associated with the density in the duct	$u(\rho)$	0.00683	-
Standard uncertainty associated with the local velocities	$u(v_i)$	1.231	Pa
Standard uncertainty associated with the mean velocity	$u(\bar{v})$	1.231	m/s
Standard uncertainty associated with the mean velocity (95% Confidence)	$U_c(v)$	2.413	m/s
Standard uncertainty associated with the mean velocity (95% Confidence), relative	$U_{c,rel}(v)$	510.28	%
Standard uncertainty associated with the volume flow rate (95% Confidence)	$U_c(qV,w)$	153.5	m ³ /hr
- $u^2(a)/a^2$	-	0.00053	
- $u^2(qV,w)/q^2V,w$	-	6.77847	
- $u^2(qV,w)$	-	6133	
- $u(qV,w)$	-	78.3	
Standard uncertainty associated with the volume flow rate (95% Confidence), relative	$U_{c,rel}(qV,w)$	510.30	%

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE: RESULTS SUMMARY

Duynie Ingredients , Wrexham
MCA Scrubber

Sample Runs

Parameter	Units	Run 1	Mean
Concentration	mg/m ³	< 0.11	< 0.11
Uncertainty	±mg/m ³	0.01	0.01
Mass Emission	g/hr	< 0.0032	< 0.0032
Uncertainty	±g/hr	0.0166	0.0166

Parameter	Units	Run 1	Mean
Water Vapour	% v/v	1.3	1.3
Uncertainty	±% v/v	0.24	0.24

Blank Runs

Parameter	Units	Blank 1	Maximum
Concentration	mg/m ³	< 0.08	< 0.08

General Sampling Information

Parameter	Value
Standard	EN 1911
Technical Procedure	MD 011
Name of Analytical Laboratory	EET
Analytical Laboratory's Procedure	MD 101
ISO 17025 Accredited Analysis?	MCERTS
Date of Sample Analysis	01/10/2025
Probe Material	Stainless Steel
Filter Housing Material	Titanium
Impinger Material	Polyethylene
Absorption Solution	HPLC Grade Water
Positioning of Filter	Out Stack Heated Head
Filter Size and Material	0.1µm Glass Fibre
Number of Sampling Lines Used	1 / 1
Number of Sampling Points Used	1 / 1
Sample Point I.D.'s	A1

FORMAT: Number Used / Number Required

Reference Conditions

Reference Conditions are: 273K, 101.3kPa, without correction for water vapour content.

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE: SAMPLING DETAILS

Sample Runs

Parameter	Units	Run 1
Sampling Times	-	10:23 - 11:23
Sampling Dates	-	25/09/2025
Sampling Device	-	MFC / MV
Duration	mins	60
Volume Sampled (STP, Dry)	m ³	0.1904
Volume Sampled (STP, Wet)	m ³	0.1929
Volume Sampled (REF)	m ³	0.1929
Sample Flow Rate	l/min	3.17
Laboratory Result for Front Impingers	µg/ml	< 0.05
Laboratory Result for Back Impinger	µg/ml	< 0.05
Volume in Front Impingers	ml	294.4
Volume in Back Impinger	ml	132.1
Mass in Front Impingers	µg	< 14.7
Mass in Back Impinger	µg	< 6.6
Total Mass Collected	µg	< 21.3
Calculated Concentration	mg/m ³	< 0.11
Liquid Trap Start Mass	g	1271.0
Liquid Trap End Mass	g	1271.5
Silica Trap Start Mass	g	1616.0
Silica Trap End Mass	g	1617.5
Total Mass Of Water Vapour	g	2.0
Calculated Water Vapour	% v/v	1.29

Where: MFC stands for Mass Flow Controller, MV stands for Mass View Flowmeter

Blank Runs

Parameter	Units	Blank 1
Blank Dates	-	25/09/2025
Average Volume Sampled (REF)	m ³	0.1929
Laboratory Result for Impingers	µg/ml	< 0.05
Volume in Impingers	ml	310.0
Total Mass Collected	µg	< 15.5
Calculated Concentration	mg/m ³	< 0.08

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE: QUALITY ASSURANCE

Sample Runs

Leak Test Results	Units	Run 1
Mean Sampling Rate	l/min	3.2
Pre-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min	0.03
Post-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min	0.03
Allowable Leak Rate	l/min	0.06
Leak Test Acceptable	-	Yes

Absorption Efficiency	Units	Run 1
Absorption Efficiency	%	100.0
Allowable Absorption Efficiency	%	N/A ¹
Absorption Efficiency Acceptable	-	Yes ¹

¹ The concentration in the last absorber was less than 5 times the analytical detection limit.

Water Droplets	Units	Run 1
Are Water Droplets Present	-	No

MU (Concurrent Water Vapour)	Units	Run 1
Measurement Uncertainty (MU)	%	18.3
Allowable MU	%	20.0
MU Acceptable	%	Yes

Silica Gel (Concurrent Water Vapour)	Units	Run 1
Less than 50% Faded	%	Yes

Test Conditions	Units	Run 1
Ambient Temperature Recorded?	-	Yes

Blank Runs

Leak Test Results	Units	Blank 1
Expected Sampling Rate	l/min	3.0
Pre-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min	0.02
Post-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min	0.03
Allowable Leak Rate	l/min	0.06
Leak Test Acceptable	-	Yes

Validity of Blank vs ELV	Units	Blank 1
Allowable Blank	mg/m ³	N/A
Blank Acceptable	-	N/A

Method Deviations

Nature of Deviation	Run Number
(x = deviation applies to the associated run, wx = deviation also applies to the concurrent water vapour run)	1
Lowest differential pressure < 5 Pa.	wx

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE: MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY CALCULATIONS

Measured Quantities	Value		Standard uncertainty		
	Symbol	Run 1	Symbol	Units	Run 1
Sampled Volume (STP)	V _m	0.1904	uV _m	m ³	0.0038
Leak	L	0.95	uL	%	-
Laboratory Result	L _r	1.05	uL _r	%	-

Measured Quantities	Uncertainty as a Percentage		Requirement of Standard
	Units	Run 1	
Sampled Volume (STP)	%	2.00	≤2%
Leak	%	0.95	≤2%
Laboratory Result	%	1.05	No Requirement

Measured Quantities	Uncertainty in Measurement Units			Sensitivity Coefficient
	Symbol	Units	Run 1	
Sampled Volume (STP)	V _m	m ³	0.1904	0.58
Leak	L	mg/m ³	0.001	1.00
Laboratory Result	L _r	mg/m ³	0.001	1.00

Measured Quantities	Uncertainty in Result	
	Units	Run 1
Sampled Volume (STP)	mg/m ³	0.002
Leak	mg/m ³	0.0006
Laboratory Result	mg/m ³	0.0012

Measured Quantities	Oxygen Correction Part of MU Budget	
	Units	Run 1
O ₂ Correction Factor	-	N/A
Stack Gas O ₂ Content	% v/v	N/A
MU for O ₂ Correction	-	N/A
Overall MU For O ₂ Measurement	%	N/A

Parameter	Units	Run 1
Combined uncertainty	mg/m ³	0.003
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), without Oxygen Correction	mg/m ³	0.01
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), with Oxygen Correction	mg/m ³	N/A
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), estimated with Method Deviations	mg/m ³	0.01
Reported Uncertainty	mg/m ³	0.01
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), without Oxygen Correction	%	4.6
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), with Oxygen Correction	%	N/A
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), estimated with Method Deviations	%	5.5
Reported Uncertainty	%	5.5
Reported Uncertainty as % of ELV	%	N/A

TOTAL VOCs (as CARBON): RESULTS SUMMARY

Duynie Ingredients , Wrexham
MCA Scrubber

Sample Runs

Parameter	Units	Run 1	Mean
Concentration	mg/m ³	1.9	1.9
Uncertainty	±mg/m ³	0.54	0.54
Mass Emission	g/hr	0.06	0.06
Uncertainty	±g/hr	0.29	0.29

General Sampling Information


Parameter	Value	
Standard	EN 12619:2013	
Technical Procedure	MD 020	
Probe Material	Stainless Steel	
Filtration Type / Size	0.1µm Glass Fibre	
Heated Head Filter Used	Yes	
Heated Line Temperature	180°C	
Span Gas Type	Propane In Synthetic Air (5 Grade)	
Span Gas Reference Number	1.0625	
Span Gas Expiry Date	07/10/2029	
Span Gas Start Pressure (bar)	110	
Gas Cylinder Concentration (ppm)	80.4	
Span Gas Set Point (ppm)	80.40	
Span Gas Uncertainty (%)	2	
Zero Gas Type	Synthetic Air (5 Grade)	
Number of Sampling Lines Used	1 / 1	FORMAT: Number Used / Number Required
Number of Sampling Points Used	1 / 1	FORMAT: Number Used / Number Required
Sample Point I.D.'s	A1	

Reference Conditions

Reference Conditions are: 273K, 101.3kPa, without correction for water vapour content.

TOTAL VOCs (as CARBON): DATA TREND

Graphical Trend of Data



TOTAL VOCs (as CARBON): SAMPLING DETAILS & QUALITY ASSURANCE

Sampling Details

Parameter	Units	Run 1
Sampling Times	-	10:23 - 11:23
Sampling Dates	-	25/09/2025
Instrument Range	ppm	100
Span Gas Value	ppm	80.4

Quality Assurance

	Zero Drift	Units	Run 1
CAL 1	Zero Down Sampling Line (Pre)	ppm	0.10
	Zero Down Sampling Line (Post)	ppm	-0.10
	Zero Drift	ppm	-0.20
	Zero Drift	%	-0.25
	Drift Correction Applied	2-5%	No
	Allowable Zero Drift	± ppm	4.02
	Zero Drift Acceptable	-	Yes

	Span Drift	Units	Run 1
CAL 1	Span Down Sampling Line (Pre)	ppm	79.60
	Span Down Sampling Line (Post)	ppm	80.20
	Span Drift	ppm	0.60
	Span Drift	%	0.75
	Drift Correction Applied	2-5%	No
	Allowable Span Drift	± ppm	4.02
	Span Drift Acceptable	-	Yes

Test Conditions	Units	Run 1
Run Ambient Temperature Range	°C	11 - 12

Method Deviations

Nature of Deviation	Run Number
(x = deviation applies to the associated run)	1
Lowest differential pressure < 5 Pa.	x

TOTAL VOCs (as CARBON): MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY CALCULATIONS

Performance characteristics	RUN 1	Units
Limit value	-	mg/m ³ (REF)
Allowable MU	15.0	%
Measured concentration	1.96	mg/m ³ (STP, dry)
Range Used	100.0	ppm
Range Used [A]	160.6	mg/m ³
Cal gas conc.	80.4	ppm
Conversion	1.61	ppm to mg/m ³
MCERTS Range [B]	15.0	mg/m ³
Lower of [A] or [B]	15.0	mg/m ³
Cal gas conc.	129.1	mg/m ³

Performance characteristics	RUN 1	Units
Response time	45	seconds
Number of readings in measurement	60	-
Repeatability at zero	2.00	% full scale
Repeatability at span level	0.00	% full scale
Deviation from linearity	0.20	% of value
Zero drift	-0.25	% full scale
Span drift	0.75	% full scale
Volume or pressure flow dependence	1.60	% of full scale
Atmospheric pressure dependence	0.30	% of value/kPa
Ambient temperature dependence	1.40	% full scale/10K
Combined interference	0.45	% range
Dependence on voltage	0.50	% full scale/10V
Losses in the line (leak)	1.00	% of value
Uncertainty of calibration gas	2.00	% of value

Performance characteristic	RUN 1	Units
Standard deviation of repeatability at zero	use rep at span	mg/m ³
Standard deviation of repeatability at span level	0.00	mg/m ³
Lack of fit	0.02	mg/m ³
Drift	-0.18	mg/m ³
Volume or pressure flow dependence	0.00	mg/m ³
Atmospheric pressure dependence	0.01	mg/m ³
Ambient temperature dependence	0.20	mg/m ³
Combined interference (from MCERTS Certificate)	0.04	mg/m ³
Dependence on voltage	0.06	mg/m ³
Losses in the line (leak)	0.01	mg/m ³
Uncertainty of calibration gas	0.02	mg/m ³

Measurement uncertainty	Result	RUN 1	Units
Combined uncertainty		1.96	mg/m ³
Expanded uncertainty		0.28	mg/m ³
Expanded uncertainty	k = 1.96	0.55	mg/m ³
Uncertainty corrected to std conds. (O ₂)		0.55	mg/m ³ (REF)

	RUN 1	Units
Expanded uncertainty (no O ₂) - at 95% Confidence	28.11	% of Value
Expanded uncertainty (no O ₂) - at 95% Confidence	N/A	% at ELV
Overall Allowable uncertainty (no O ₂) - at 95% Confidence	N/A	% at ELV
Result of Compliance with Uncertainty Requirement	N/A	-

	RUN 1	Units
Expanded uncertainty (with O ₂) - at 95% Confidence	N/A	% of Value
Expanded uncertainty (with O ₂) - at 95% Confidence	N/A	% at ELV
Overall Allowable uncertainty (with O ₂) - at 95% Confidence	N/A	% at ELV
Result of Compliance with Uncertainty Requirement	N/A	-

Requirement for SRM is that Uncertainty should be <15% of the value at the ELV, on a dry gas basis, or if O₂ correction is applied less than 15% + the uncertainty associated with the O₂ correction (using sqrt of sum squares to add uncertainty components).

VERSION HISTORY

Version Number	Record of changes made within this version of the document
V1	The original document issued to the client