

Agricultural Benefit Statement

Report Index

1. Permit Details and Appropriate Technical Expertise
2. Land Details
3. Waste Details
4. Operational Details
5. Fields and Crop Requirement
6. NVZ Compliance
7. Benefits of The Operation
8. Potential Negative Impacts
9. Sensitive Receptors
10. Contingency Planning
11. Appendix B – Site Specific Risk Assessment
12. Appendix C – Soil Analysis
13. Appendix D – Waste Analysis

1. Permit Details and Appropriate Technical Expertise

The following benefit statement has been written by Ryan Griffiths-Patel

Relevant Qualifications & Experience include:

Msc Environmental Informatics
 Bsc Geography
 1 Year's experience in deployment applications


2. Land Details

The following benefit statement proposes to spread up to 10 wastes to land. The land details are listed in Table 1, and the site map can be found in Figure 1.

Table 1: Farm and Land Details

Farm Name	Fenswood Farm
Farm Address and Postcode	Fennswood Farm, Fennsbank, Whitchurch, Shropshire SY13 3PD
Land Address and Nearest Postcode	Fennswood Farm, Fennsbank, Whitchurch, Shropshire SY13 3PD
Total Area to be Spread (hectares)	37.9

Up to 30m³ of waste will be stored in each mobile storage tank at the land to be spread, with no more than 120m³ in total being stored on site. This is suitable storage and the storage tank locations will be situated in appropriate locations. The storage locations are marked on the site map in Figures 1a and 1b, which are at the following grid reference locations: SJ 50949 38174 and SJ 51005 37689 and SJ 50823 38565.



3. Waste Details

The wastes generally arise from food and beverage manufacturers and are primarily sludge from on-site effluent treatment plants, and materials unsuitable for consumption and processing. The waste details are displayed in Table 2.

Waste Producer	EWC Code	Waste Description	Total Amount being spread(Tonnes)
Secanim	02 02 04	Sludges from on-site ETP from abattoirs, poultry preparation plants, rendering plants or fish preparation plants only	1402.3
D.Wise (Sludge)	02 02 04	Sludges from on-site ETP from abattoirs, poultry preparation plants, rendering plants or fish preparation plants only	9475
Croda Goole (Forward Environmental)	07 07 12	Sludges from on-site biological effluent treatment plant at chemical manufacturing sites other than those mentioned in 07 01 11 only	1895
Maelor Foods	02 02 04	Sludges from on-site ETP	1212.8
Encirc	02 07 05	Sludge Cake from ETP	9475
Beechdean Ice cream	02 05 01	Biodegradable materials unsuitable for consumption or processing	9475
Croda Widnes	02 03 05	Sludges from on-site ETP	2766.7
Rowan Foods	02 03 05	Sludges from on-site ETP	9475
Kelloggs	02 03 05	Sludges from on-site ETP	7201
Highbury Poultry	02 02 04	Sludges from on-site ETP from abattoirs, poultry preparation plants, rendering plants or fish preparation plants only	909.6
Total Hectares	37.9	Total Tonnage	9475

Table 2: Waste Details

The wastes have been analysed by NRM laboratories for nitrogen, phosphorous, potash and PTE's, and individual waste analyses are attached in Appendix D.

To avoid the need for multiple deployments when a range of wastes are available, it is necessary to include them all to accommodate such variables as the amount of material produced by the waste producer and the timing of application

Due to the coding of the Secanim and Maelor Foods waste stream (02 02 04 and 02 02 01 respectively), a visual inspection was made to determine if analysis for fats, oil and grease (FOGs) was required. It was deemed not necessary but has been included. The waste will be closely monitored during the spreading of this site, and so the requirement for FOGs analysis will be reviewed periodically. The Secanim waste is not expected to contain Selenium, Arsenic, Molybdenum and Fluoride, and so has not been tested for such elements.

4. Operational Details

The wastes will be delivered to the site by road tanker and off-loaded into the mobile storage tanks. It is intended to spread the wastes by sub-soil injection to reduce the risk of environmental incidents, such as run-off and odour issues; to minimise disbenefit to the growing crop, such as through smothering or leaf scorch; and to provide nutrients to the root zone. Typically, wastes will be applied by deep-leg injector

It is intended to spread the wastes to arable fields before seedbed preparation. For this application, the wastes are expected to be applied to all fields in January 2021. However, this may change due to farmer requirements and weather conditions.

5. Fields and Crop Requirement

The sludges will be applied to all fields and so the crop requirements for all fields, as well as the field sizes and grid references, are displayed in Table 3. Fertiliser requirements are based on figures from the RB209 (9th edition). The magnesium recommendation for all fields is 0 kg/ha.

Field	Size (ha)	Grid Reference	Soil Type	Current Crop	Next Crop	Expected Yield (t/ha)	Nitrogen kg/ha	Phosphate kg/ha	Potash kg/ha
-------	-----------	----------------	-----------	--------------	-----------	-----------------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

Fenswood 1	6.1	SJ 50862 38848	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	Offtake (56)	175
Fenswood 2	2.8	SJ 50894 38638	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	Offtake (56)	175
Fenswood 3	5	SJ 50837 38411	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	Offtake (56)	175
Fenswood 4	2.7	SJ 50793 38038	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	Offtake (56)	145
Fenswood 5	4.2	SJ 50899 37973	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	20 Offtake (56)	175
Fenswood 6	3.1	SJ 50920 37812	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	20 Offtake (56)	175
Fenswood 7	2.5	SJ 50772 37707	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	Offtake (56)	175
Fenswood 8	3.1	SJ 50973 37604	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	20 Offtake (56)	175
Fenswood 9	8.4	SJ 51059 38161	Sandy Loam	Maize	Maize	40	100	20 Offtake (56)	205

Table 3: Field Details and Crop Requirements (* denotes crop offtake)

6. NVZ Compliance

The site falls partially with out an NVZ designated area, which is illustrated in Figure 2. The majority of wastes do not apply for the closed periods as they contain low percentages of available nitrogen. The application rates of the wastes will comply with crop requirement as no more than crop offtake of all nutrients will be applied to fields. In order to aid the landowner or farmer with their recording requirements, a post-notification of nutrients applied will be provided after spreading.




Table 4: Previous Nutrients Applied

Field	Waste	Application	Nitrogen		Phosphate		Potash	
	Applied	Rate (t/ha)						
			Total	Available	Total	Available	Total	Available
Fenswood 1	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5

Fenswood 2	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5
Fenswood 3	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5
Fenswood 4	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5
Fenswood 5	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5
Fenswood 6	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5
Fenswood 7	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5
Fenswood 8	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5
Fenswood 9	FYM	3	6	2	9.6	5.7	28.2	25.5

7. Benefits of The Operation

The wastes will be used to provide plant nutrients that will replace a percentage of the fertiliser that the farmer would normally apply to their crop. The wastes will also provide benefit through the addition of organic matter and trace elements. The applied nutrients provided by the wastes may be subject to change: determined by analysis of individual samples during the agreed 12 month deployment period. The sludge is regularly analysed and application rates will be adjusted according to changes in analysis and volumes arising.

A summary of the wastes and the proposed application rates are listed in Table 5.

Table 5: Summary of Waste Nutrients and Application Rate

Commercial Waste	Application Rate t/ha	pH	Nitrogen kg/ha		Phosphorous kg/ha		Potassium kg/ha	
			Total	Available (30%)	Total	Available (50%)	Total	Available (90%)
Secanim	37	6.58	167	50	54	27	17	16
D.Wise (Sludge)	250	11	150	45	35	18	17	16
Croda Goole (Forward Environmental)	50	7.55	90	27	54	27	9	8

Maelor Foods	32	7.52	118	36	54	27	9	8
Encirc	250	7.14	100	30	56	28	16	15
Beechdean Ice cream	250	5.96	75	23	33	17	28	25
Croda Widnes	73	9.61	248	74	43	21	6	5
Rowan Foods	250	4.02	150	45	34	17	24	22
Kelloggs	190	4.01	171	51	54	27	30	27
Highbury Poultry	24	6.25	211	63	55	27	12	11

Wastes will be applied on an individual basis and applications, which are established for each waste when applied in isolation, will be carefully managed and monitored to ensure that nutrients are applied at or below crop requirement/offtake values. It may however be necessary to apply the wastes as a mix such as during storage during adverse weather. In this case, the waste with the highest nutrient, PTE or other limiting factor is used as the maximum application rate, and thus wastes will be applied at the lowest individual application rate. Application rates will be adjusted by variation in tractor speed and or pump speed.

Nitrogen

The waste analysis shows that the ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen in the majority of wastes is relatively low; indicating that only a small proportion of nitrogen will be available immediately. The remaining total nitrogen applied will become available to the crop through mineralisation throughout following seasons. The rate of nitrogen release will be affected by several factors including climate, timing and method of application, and soil type.

Phosphorus

Applications of wastes are limited to ensure that phosphate is applied at or below crop off take values, as calculated from the RB209, ensuring that the spreading activities do not increase soil P reserves.

Potash

The wastes applied will supply up to 28kg/ha of potash, which will not meet crop offtake for most fields, but it will allow the landowner/farmer to considerably reduce the amount of chemical fertiliser required to meet the crop need. Applications of wastes are limited to ensure that potash is applied at or below crop off take values, as calculated from the RB209, ensuring that the spreading activities do not increase soil reserves.

Organic Matter

The wastes will also provide a small increase in soil organic matter. This can help to improve soil structure and water, and nutrient holding capacity.

pH

Kelloggs 4.18 and Rowan foods 4.02, which are slightly acidic. The receiving soils have a pH ranging from 5.7 to 6.3 and will buffer the waste pH with not detrimental effect anticipated. The soils at Fennswood Farm are mainly classified on soil scapes as sandy loam. These soil types are at a much lower of risk to the effect of pH.

Soils

Additionally, full soil analysis of the proposed fields to be spread has been attached in Appendix C, and a summary table has been included in Table 6.

Table 6: Summary of soil pH and major nutrients for the fields to be spread

Field	Soil pH	Phosphate		Potash		Magnesium		SNS
	pH	mg/l	Index	mg/l	Index	mg/l	Index	Index
Fenswood 1	6.1	61.2	4	125	2-	68	2	1
Fenswood 2	6.3	53	4	131	2-	66	2	1
Fenswood 3	6.1	54.8	4	131	2-	61	2	1
Fenswood 4	6.2	45.8	4	237	2+	74	2	1
Fenswood 5	6	32	3	166	2-	87	2	1
Fenswood 6	5.7	39	3	130	2-	92	2	1
Fenswood 7	6.1	45.6	4	174	2-	97	2	1

Fenswood 8	6	45	3	180	2-	96	2	1
Fenswood 9	5.9	43.8	3	82	1	64	2	1

The soils were sampled in November 2020 in accordance with the sampling procedures described in the RB209 (9th Edition). Analysis was carried out by NRM laboratories for pH, major plant nutrients, and potentially toxic elements (PTEs) described in the Sludge (Use in Agriculture) Regulations.

Soils were found to be clay Loam soil type categorised in accordance with RB209 (9th edition) as mineral soils for crop recommendations.

Soil pH ranges from 5.7 and 6.3, and is generally at or around the target value, and shouldn't affect crop performance. Soil P index's range from 3 to 4, and the soils are generally at or above the guideline target index of 2. Soil K levels ranged from index 1 to 2+ and are generally at or below the target index level of 2-. The magnesium index for all fields was satisfactory. PTE concentrations for all fields is low and within the typical range of uncontaminated soil.

8. Potential Negative Impacts

There are no known or expected elevated levels of PTEs within the wastes.

Site Hazards

Hazards have been identified on the site plan in Figure 1, and relevant control measures and buffer zones have been identified. Operations are to be carried out in accordance with the company generic risk assessment for landspreading, which will reduce the impacts of the operation on the receiving soil.

Odour and Noise Control

The wastes have the potential to cause odour, however storage will be sited away from dwellings, and it is unlikely to cause nuisance odour issues. Additionally, application of sludge via an umbilical cord sub soil injection system will minimise the risk of odour. The operation will be carried out in accordance within normal agricultural hours to minimise the risk of odour and noise complaints.

Storage Tanks

Storage tanks are inspected daily by the operator and wherever possible left empty at the end of the working day. Storage tanks will not be sited within 10m of watercourses or at the top of a steep embankment. Signage on the tanks identifies the company and activity, and has emergency contact details. Anticipated location of storage tanks are shown in Figure 1, but locations may vary slightly due to unforeseen operational requirements.

9. Sensitive Receptors

There are a number of properties within 500m of the fields proposed to be spread. Odour and noise will be controlled, as detailed in section 8, in order to minimise the disruption caused to residents.

There are some footpaths or tracks crossing the fields to be spread, and no boreholes, wells or springs have been identified within the spreading area

The site is within 500m of a number of statutory designated environmentally sensitive area as defined by Magic Maps (magic.gov.uk). This is FENN'S, WHIXALL, BETTISFIELD, WEM AND CADNEY MOSSES and Midland Meres & Mosses Phase 2 (Wales), The site specific risk assessments are attached in Appendix B. A 30m no spread zone has been placed around this site.

10. Contingency Planning

To cover machinery breakdown, replacement machinery is available or can be hired from suppliers and mobile mechanics are available to attend sites. All machinery is regularly serviced.

There is sufficient trained staff to maintain sickness and holiday cover.

Spreading operations will not be carried out when there are adverse weather conditions that are likely to interfere with the operation. These conditions include; heavy rain, or during periods of heavy snow or frozen ground as defined in the Code of Good Agricultural Practice (COGAP).