

Natural Resources Wales permitting decisions

Bespoke permit

We have decided to grant the permit for the Falcon Centre operated by Mr Mohammed Bin Tarrif Al Mansoori.

The application number is PAN-012655

The permit number is EPR-CB3291ZR

The Falcon Centre based in Brecon is a facility used for breeding falcons. The permit regulates a discharge of 3.6m³/day consisting of secondary treated sewage effluent and trade effluent. The trade effluent arises from the steam cleaning of the breeding rooms, absent of any chemicals. The cleaning of the breeding rooms takes place once a year. All dried bird waste is collected and disposed of appropriately before cleaning takes place. The effluent is treated via a British Standards drainage mound which has an outlet to an existing 170m long trench comprising of a perforated pipe wrapped in Terram lining and surrounded by single sized stone.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

Purpose of this document

This decision document:

- explains how the application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit other than those in our generic permit template.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Structure of this document

- Annex 1 the decision checklist

Annex 1: decision checklist

This checklist should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist.

Aspect	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
Consultation		
Scope of consultation	The consultation requirements were identified and implemented. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 6 High Profile Sites, our Public Participation Statement and our Working Together Agreements.	✓
Responses to web publicising	The web publicising responses (Annex 2) were taken into account in the decision. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance. No responses were received.	✓
Operator		
Control of the facility	We are satisfied that the applicant (now the operator) is the person who will have control over the operation of the facility after the grant of the permit. The decision was taken in accordance with EPR RGN 1 Understanding the meaning of operator.	✓
European Directives		
Applicable directives	All applicable European directives have been considered in the determination of the application.	✓
The site		
Extent of the site of the facility	The operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility including discharge points. A plan is included in the permit and the operator is required to carry on the permitted activities within the site boundary.	✓
Biodiversity, Heritage, Landscape and Nature Conservation and a designated site under the Wildlife and Countryside Act.	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat . A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the site/species/habitat has been carried out as part of the permitting process. We consider that the application will not affect the [features of the site, species, habitat.	✓

Aspect	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
Habitat Regulation Assessment for a designated site under the EU Habitats Directive	<p>Assessment of Likely Significant Effect:</p> <p>The project has been screened for likelihood of significant effects and, taking account of the advice received from protected sites advisors, is considered not likely to have a significant effect on any Natura 2000/Ramsar site (As documented in section 3.2 of OGN 200 form 1, or section 5 if applicable)</p> <p>Form 1 and email from Conservation officer – saved on DMS as “to be assessed” for public register purposes.</p>	
Environmental Risk Assessment and operating techniques		
Environmental risk	<p>We have carried out a risk assessment on behalf of the operator.</p> <p>The operator considers this risk assessment is satisfactory.</p> <p>The assessment shows that, applying the conservative criteria in our guidance on Environmental Risk Assessment [or similar methodology supplied by the operator and reviewed by ourselves], all emissions may be categorised as environmentally insignificant.</p>	ü
Operating techniques	<p>We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes. The proposed techniques / emission levels for priorities for control are in line with the benchmark levels contained in the TGN and we consider them to represent appropriate techniques for the facility.</p>	✓
The permit conditions		
Considerations of foul sewer	<p>We agree with the operators justification for not connecting to foul sewer.</p>	✓
Operator Competence		
Environment Management System	<p>There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management systems to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 5 on Operator Competence.</p>	✓

Environment Wales Act 2016

Section 6 of the Environment Wales Act 2016 requires that we seek to maintain and enhance biodiversity in the exercise of our functions, and in so doing promote the resilience of ecosystems, in a manner that is consistent with the proper exercise of our functions. NRW is satisfied that in this case, we have taken into account and had due regard to this duty in so far as it is consistent with the function of determining an application for a groundwater activity permit under EPR2016.

✓