

## **Natural Resources Wales permitting decisions**

### **Bespoke permit**

We have decided to grant the permit for Cargo Services Terminal, Port of Cardiff operated by Cargo UK Limited.

The permit number is EPR/QP3035WK.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

### **Purpose of this document**

This decision document:

- explains how the application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit other than those in our generic permit template.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

### **Structure of this document**

- Annex 1 the decision checklist

## Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and permit/ notice.

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
<b>Yes</b>		
<b>Consultation</b>		
Scope of consultation	The consultation requirements were identified and implemented. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 6 High Profile Sites, our Public Participation Statement and our Working Together Agreements.	✓
Responses to web publicising	None received.	✓
<b>Operator</b>		
Control of the facility	We are satisfied that the applicant (now the operator) is the person who will have control over the operation of the facility after the grant of the permit. The decision was taken in accordance with EPR RGN 1 Understanding the meaning of operator.	✓
<b>European Directives</b>		
Applicable directives	All applicable European directives have been considered in the determination of the application.	✓
<b>The site</b>		
Extent of the site of the facility	<p>The operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site including the location the installation to which this permit applies.</p> <p>A plan is included in the permit and the operator is required to carry on the permitted activities within the site boundary.</p>	✓
Site condition report	<p>The operator has provided a description of the condition of the site.</p> <p>We consider this description is satisfactory. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on site condition reports – guidance and templates (H5).</p>	✓
Biodiversity, Heritage, Landscape and Nature Conservation	<p>The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a protected species or habitat.</p> <p>The site is adjacent to the Severn Estuary which is classified as a Special Area of Conservation (SAC),</p>	✓

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
	<p>Special Protection Area (SPA), Ramsar site, and Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). The proposed activity is undertaken approximately 510 m NNE from the edge of the statutory defined area which is deemed far enough away from the site to not pose a significant risk.</p> <p>The Environmental Risk Assessments classes the risk to the estuary as very low due to the distance from the site and that the installation is wholly contained within a building with an impermeable surface and sealed drainage with no emissions to air or water, located at the dockside with no pathway by which the operation could impact the protected site. The operations have very low capacity to cause harm to and deteriorate the protected site as the installations capacity to cause pollution is insignificant as there is no possible pathway for pollution to reach a sensitive receptor.</p>	
<b>Environmental Risk Assessment and operating techniques</b>		
Environmental risk	<p>We have reviewed the operator's assessment of the environmental risk from the facility.</p> <p>The operator's risk assessment is satisfactory. The environmental impacts of the operation are insignificant as described above under 'Biodiversity, Heritage, Landscape and Nature Conservation'. Therefore we are satisfied that the activities do not pose a risk to the environment.</p>	✓
Operating techniques	<p>The operating techniques listed in the permit include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low Impact Part A Installation and Sector Guidance note IPPC S2.01.</li> </ul>	✓
<b>The permit conditions</b>		
Raw materials	The Operator has stated that raw materials are not used on site, therefore we have not included any in table S2.1 of the Permit.	✓
Waste types	We have specified the permitted waste types, descriptions and quantities, which can be accepted at the regulated facility within Table S2.2 of the permit.	✓
Incorporating the application	We have specified that the applicant must operate the permit in accordance with descriptions in the application, including all additional information received as part of the determination process.	✓

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
	These descriptions are specified in the Operating Techniques table in the permit, Table S1.2.	
Emission limits	There are no associated emissions released from the activities.	✓
Monitoring	No Monitoring is specified in the permit.	✓
Reporting	There are no specified reporting frequencies as there are no emissions/monitoring which require reporting.	✓
<b>Operator Competence</b>		
Environment management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management systems to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 5 on Operator Competence.	✓
Technical competence	Technical competency is required for activities permitted. The operator will make use of the grace period to obtain the correct award.	✓
Relevant convictions	The National Enforcement Database has been checked to ensure that all relevant convictions have been declared. No relevant convictions were found.	✓
Financial provision	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not be financially able to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 5 on Operator Competence.	✓