



Trefil Quarry Annual Monitoring Report - 2016

Trefil Quarry Annual Monitoring Report - 2016

Prepared for

Ken Williams
Gryphonn Quarries Limited
Trefil
Tredegar
NP12 4HG

Report reference: 6878R7Rev1, October 2017
Report status: Final

Confidential
Prepared by
ESI Ltd

New Zealand House, 160 Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, SY2 6FD, UK

Tel +44(0)1743 276100 **Fax** +44 (0)1743 248600 **email** info@esinternational.com

Registered office: New Zealand House, 160 Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, SY2 6FD. Registered in England and Wales, number 3212832

Trefil Quarry Annual Monitoring Report - 2016

This report has been prepared by ESI Ltd. (ESI) in its professional capacity as soil and groundwater specialists, with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the agreed scope and terms of contract and taking account of the manpower and resources devoted to it by agreement with its client, and is provided by ESI solely for the internal use of its client.

The advice and opinions in this report should be read and relied on only in the context of the report as a whole, taking account of the terms of reference agreed with the client. The findings are based on the information made available to ESI at the date of the report (and will have been assumed to be correct) and on current UK standards, codes, technology and practices as at that time. They do not purport to include any manner of legal advice or opinion. New information or changes in conditions and regulatory requirements may occur in future, which will change the conclusions presented here.

This report is confidential to the client. The client may submit the report to regulatory bodies, where appropriate. Should the client wish to release this report to any other third party for that party's reliance, ESI may, by prior written agreement, agree to such release, provided that it is acknowledged that ESI accepts no responsibility of any nature to any third party to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. ESI accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage incurred as a result, and the third party does not acquire any rights whatsoever, contractual or otherwise, against ESI except as expressly agreed with ESI in writing.

Confidential
Prepared by
ESI Ltd

New Zealand House, 160 Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, SY2 6FD, UK

Tel +44(0)1743 276100 **Fax** +44 (0)1743 248600 **email** info@esinternational.com

Registered office: New Zealand House, 160 Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, SY2 6FD. Registered in England and Wales, number 3212832

6878R7Rev1. Final

	Name	Signature
Author	Kathryn Mair	
Checked by	Andrew Tait	
Reviewed by	Barnaby Harding	

Revision record:

Issue	Report ref	Comment	Author	Checker	Reviewer	Issue date	Issued to
1	6878R7D1	Draft for client feedback	KXM	AWT	BCH	28/07/2017	Ken Williams
2	6878R7	Final draft following amendments	KXM	AWT	BCH	27/09/2017	Ken Williams
3	6878R7Rev1	Final draft following final amendments	KXM	AWT	BCH	25/10/2017	Ken Williams
4							

Confidential
Prepared by
ESI Ltd

New Zealand House, 160 Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, SY2 6FD, UK

Tel +44(0)1743 276100 Fax +44 (0)1743 248600 email info@esinternational.com

Registered office: New Zealand House, 160 Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, SY2 6FD. Registered in England and Wales, number 3212832

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	The quarry development.....	1
1.3	Potential receptors	1
1.4	Monitoring regime.....	2
2	MONITORING DATA	4
2.1	Rainfall.....	4
2.2	Groundwater levels	5
2.3	Quarry pumping.....	9
2.4	Suspended solids/turbidity of the quarry discharge.....	10
2.5	Site drainage system inspections	12
3	WATER BALANCE.....	13
4	REFERENCES.....	14

FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Monitoring borehole locations	3
Figure 2.1	Monthly rainfall - site data	5
Figure 2.2	Groundwater level hydrographs (on site)	7
Figure 2.3	Groundwater level hydrographs (off site)	8
Figure 2.4	Quarry sump pumping time series data(m ³)	9

TABLES

Table 2.1	Monthly rainfall data at Trefil quarry	4
Table 2.2	Groundwater levels statistics	6
Table 2.3	Monthly pumping totals	9
Table 2.4	Discharge turbidity and suspended solids results.....	11

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Gryphonn Quarries Limited was granted planning permission for the deepening of Trefil Quarry in February 2009. Planning Condition 20 of this permission states that “A *Water Management Plan will be submitted for the approval of the Planning Authority prior to commencement of the deepening operations at the site*”.

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) that supported the application for the revised planning permission at the quarry included a series of recommendations for monitoring and mitigation that would form the basis of the Water Management Plan (WMP) for the site (ESI, 2008).

The WMP for the site was presented by ESI (2009) and specified requirements for three key activities:

- Monitoring
- Mitigation measures
- Annual review

It was intended that the WMP would be subject to regular review and modification as necessary in the light of on-going data collection and presentation in annual monitoring reports.

Previous annual monitoring reports have been produced by ESI for the years 2010 to 2015. This annual report presents the data collected between January 2016 and December 2016 as well as a water balance. The annual report should be read in conjunction with an understanding of the hydrogeological conceptual model presented in ESI (2008). Monitoring data is available in electronic format upon request.

1.2 The quarry development

Gryphonn Quarries Ltd is permitted to work to approximately 412 mAOD (the base of the Dowlais Limestone), with the lowest point in the quarry base currently at c. 413 mAOD. Prior to this permission, the consented level was 439 mAOD.

Dewatering is required to facilitate the dry excavation of the mineral. Maximum dewatering depths of 27 m will be required when the quarry reaches its permitted depth. The estimated rate of dewatering required to facilitate dry working is discussed in ESI (2008).

1.3 Potential receptors

The main receptors identified in the hydrogeological impact assessment (ESI, 2008) are:

- Shon Sheffrey Spring;
- The Nant Trefil; and
- Groundwater in the Carboniferous Limestone.

The impact assessment (ESI, 2008) concluded that proposed dewatering activities will not have any significant effect on flows in the Nant Trefil or Shon Sheffrey spring due to the re-circulation of water discharged to the Nant Trefil.

The development poses risks from two main hazards with respect to groundwater and surface water quality:


- Spills from plant operating on site; and
- Discharge of sediment-laden water to surface water features including the Nant Trefil.

1.4 Monitoring regime

In order to quantify the level of impact at key sites and to ensure that the system is continuing to behave as predicted on the basis of the current conceptual model, a monitoring system has been implemented. The following monitoring regime is in place.

- Groundwater levels in the three boreholes on site (BH1/07, 2/07 and 3/07) are measured at weekly intervals (see Figure 1.1).
- Groundwater levels in Trefil Boreholes 1 and 2 are monitored at monthly intervals (see Figure 1.1).
- The rate of quarry pumping from the sump is monitored at weekly intervals by means of an in-line flow meter.
- The suspended solids/turbidity of the quarry discharge is measured by the quarry operator at weekly intervals together with a note of the weather conditions at the time.
- Daily rainfall data is monitored by means of a site rain gauge.
- The site drainage system is inspected on a daily basis to ensure that the oil booms are in place and that there is no visible oil downstream of the booms.

It will be necessary to continue monitoring until water levels have recovered to their equilibrium position. It is anticipated that this will be one or two years after quarry dewatering ceases.

Figure 1.1 Monitoring borehole locations

2 MONITORING DATA

2.1 Rainfall

Daily readings of rainfall have been recorded from a rain gauge at the site since 1st February 2010 to the present. Data for the Trefil gauge is shown in Table 2.1 and presented in Figure 2.1. In 2016, a total rainfall of 1,130 mm was recorded at Trefil quarry. June and September were wetter than average and July and October-December were dryer than average. There is no obvious correlation between this data and the quarry pumping data shown in Section 2.3.

Monthly rainfall data were provided by the Environment Agency for a rain gauge located at Rhymney about 3 km to the south west of the Site from 1971 to 2003 (ESI, 2008). Average long-term rainfall data in that period is 1,655 mm/a. The recorded annual total at the Site may be an underestimate given the occasional gaps in the data record (as detailed below) and the nature of the gauge which means that any rainfall depth in exceedance of 50 mm is not recorded – rainfall events of this magnitude are rare.

Table 2.1 Monthly rainfall data at Trefil quarry

Month	Rainfall (mm)						
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Jan		161	100	126	253	133	225
Feb	68	133	52	84	166	49	103
Mar	228	23	18	77	50	65	82
Apr	143	12	190	15	83	9	53
May	65	114	89	118	144	65.5	34
Jun	81	149	233	66	53	53	95
Jul	2	99	133	44	41	76.5	32
Aug	198	85	179	126	135	90	122
Sep	132	168	95	64	10	68	156
Oct	149	210	178	270	190	70	35
Nov	158	162	211	91	174	195	135
Dec	13	140**	93	79***	81****	61*****	59
Tot	1,237*	1,456	1,571	1,160	1,379	935	1,130


*11 months only

** This is the total for 1 December 2011 to 22 December 2011.

*** This is the total for 1 December 2013 to 25 December 2013.

**** This is the total for 1 December 2014 to 23 December 2014.

***** This is the total from 1 December 2015 to 9 December 2015

Figure 2.1 Monthly rainfall - site data

2.2 Groundwater levels

The monitoring regime (as set out in the WMP and listed in section 1.4) states that weekly monitoring of on-Site boreholes (BH1/07, 2/07 and 3/07) and monthly monitoring of off-Site boreholes (Trefil Boreholes 1 and 2) is required. In 2016, groundwater levels at the onsite boreholes (BH1/07, 2/07 and 3/07) were collected 12 times, and 11 times at the off-site boreholes (Trefil Boreholes 1 and 2).

Groundwater level data for all five boreholes are summarised in Table 2.2 and shown in Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3 respectively.

Groundwater levels in BH1 saw a fairly steep decline between December 2014 and August 2015 during which time the base of the quarry was deepened to working level 5 (Appendix A). Since this time water levels appear to be stable at this location and the impact is not evident in other datasets suggesting that the impact is fairly localised.

Groundwater levels in other boreholes on-site have remained relatively stable¹. It is noted that the drop in groundwater levels at BH1 appears to correlate with a reduction in the cumulative departure from mean (CDM) rainfall since January 2015 (Figure 2.2), although this trend is not evident in the other groundwater data sets.

¹ The only exception is groundwater level data obtained for BH2 in October 2014 which is likely erroneous.

Table 2.2 Groundwater levels statistics

Borehole Name	Data Period	Frequency	Datum (mAOD)	Data period	Statistics (mAOD)			Range (m)
					Min	Arithmetic Mean	Max	
BH1	Sep 07 - Dec 16		442.69	Full record	428.81	433.96	436.95	8.14
				2016	428.81	429.61	430.57	1.76
BH2	Sep 07 - Dec 16	Weekly to Dec 14 then monthly to Dec 16*	452.75	Full record	435.99	438.61	447.57	11.58
				2016	436.63	437.47	438.76	2.13
BH3	Sep 07 - Dec 16		453.50	Full record	432.30	433.56	436.31	4.01
				2016	432.30	432.98	433.84	1.55
Trefil 1	July 09 - Dec 16	Monthly*#	427.06	Full record	374.81	376.41	379.70	4.89
				2016	375.56	376.26	378.09	2.53
Trefil 2	July 09 - Dec 16		393.31	Full record	367.86	369.02	372.70	4.84
				2016	368.14	369.06	371.10	2.96

*No dips were taken in January 2016 and two dips were taken in March 2016

No dips were taken in September 2016



Figure 2.2 Groundwater level hydrographs (on site)

Figure 2.3 Groundwater level hydrographs (off site)


2.3 Quarry pumping

The rate of quarry pumping from the sump is monitored at weekly intervals by means of an in-line flow meter. The pump is operated manually when water levels in the base of the quarry are required to be lowered to carry out stone extraction. Records are available between 31 May 2010 to 31 December 2016, with monthly totals given in Table 2.3. A time series plot is given in Figure 2.4. Broadly speaking, pumping volumes over 2016 are in line with the historical data, although January 2016 saw a very high volume which coincides with a total rainfall well in exceedance of the long term average for the month.

Table 2.3 Monthly pumping totals

Date	Pumped volume (m ³)						
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Jan		19,680	19,610	23,860	38,580	27,970	57,810
Feb		22,590	6,860	25,020	23,360	22,210	32,210
Mar		4,540	7,220	8,150	38,570	14,240	9,780
Apr		3,140	5,340	13,820	24,160	5,450	13,970
May	430	4,300	17,820	24,210	10,470	4,640	7,070
Jun	1,460	10,670	23,320	9,690	6,560	4,260	8,140
Jul	5,830	8,760	12,830	6,740	4,700	5,440	6,920
Aug	17,180	1,750	13,790	10,970	6,620	12,400	18,160
Sep	17,000	15,900	9,600	6,900	13,040	12,400	5,940
Oct	12,220	9,240	20,080	17,520	26,020	9,690	8,040
Nov	20,330	19,400	20,070	18,370	20,010	27,870	7,880
Dec	1,750	17,040	21,060	17,300	18,860	43,440	13,720
Average m³	9,525	11,418	14,800	15,213	19,246	15,126	15,803
m³/d	313	375	485	500	633	521	518

Figure 2.4 Quarry sump pumping time series data



2.4 Suspended solids/turbidity of the quarry discharge

A discharge consent (reference: AN0258201) is associated with Trefil Quarry for discharge of trade effluent to the Nant Trefil. It specifies that the total suspended solids shall not exceed 100 mg/l and the concentration of total oil and grease shall not exceed 10 mg/l.

Turbidity of the quarry discharge is measured by the quarry operator at weekly intervals together with a note of the weather conditions at the time. This data is then used to calculate the equivalent suspended solids concentration where no suspended solids data are available. This has been carried out since 9 April 2010 with twenty-nine records collected in 2016; the data is presented in Table 2.4 below.

With the exception of two occasions in 2016 (5 February and 17 November), all suspended solids results are below the 100 mg/l threshold with the maximum derived suspended solid reading being 233.73 mg/l in November. On the two occasions where the threshold was exceeded, adverse weather was recorded.

Table 2.4 Discharge turbidity and suspended solids results

Date	Weather Conditions	Turbidity Reading (NTU)	Equivalent Suspended Solids Reading (limit is 100mg/l)	Comment
5-Jan-16	heavy showers	192.09	95.95	
12-Jan-16	Raining	177.25	89.14	
19-Jan-16	Bright and cold	145.11	74.37	
28-Jan-16	Dull then heavy rain	187.12	93.67	
5-Feb-16	Showers and windy	331.6	160.04	NRW sample = 138
12-Feb-16	Misty & damp	158.07	80.32	
19-Feb-16	Misty & damp	145.89	74.73	
26-Feb-16	Overcast and cold	148.66	76.00	
8-Mar-16	Wet and misty	190.75	95.34	
29-Mar-16	Clear and windy	196.03	97.76	
7-Apr-16	Overcast with showers	144.03	73.87	
15-Apr-16	Dull and misty	148.05	75.72	
12-May-16	Sunny	161.58	81.94	
13-Jun-16	Showers	174.21	87.74	
20-Jun-16	Wet	189.71	94.86	
29-Jun-16	Rain heavy at times	193.13	96.43	
11-Jul-16	Wet and misty	138.22	71.21	
2-Aug-16	Rain heavy at times	190.5	95.22	
11-Aug-16	Overcast	137.2	70.74	
19-Aug-16	Wet & windy	119.37	62.55	
25-Aug-16	Dull & wet	130.01	67.43	
8-Sep-16	Rain heavy at times	178.22	89.58	
26-Sep-16	Wet & windy	121	63.29	
7-Oct-16	Bright	159.77	81.11	
9-Nov-16	Dull & wet	140.03	72.04	
17-Nov-16	Storms	492	233.73	Stormy conditions – pumps stopped.
22-Nov-16	Heavy rain	187.68	93.93	
9-Dec-16	Showers	160.92	81.63	
20-Dec-16	Bright	153.37	78.17	

NRW = sample collected by Natural Resources Wales

2.5 Site drainage system inspections

The site drainage system is inspected on a daily basis to ensure that the oil booms are in place and that there is no visible oil downstream of the booms.

Additionally, the quarry is inspected for the presence of voids (which might indicate the presence of fast pathways from the quarry to local receptors) on a weekly basis. No significant voids or drainage issues were identified in 2016.

During the 21 years that the quarry has been operated by its current owners there have been no reports of any contamination from hydrocarbons in any of the local watercourses. This suggests that the current precautionary measures are effective at protecting the local water environment from accidental spillages from operating heavy plant in the area.

3 WATER BALANCE

The average quarry pumping rate for 2016 was 518 m³/d. The total rainfall recorded at the Site in 2016 was 1,130 mm (see Section 2.1).

No potential evapotranspiration (PE) data were available for the Trefil site but having undertaken similar work for other sites in the region, it's estimated the total PE for the site is around 535 mm.

The quarry catchment area is estimated to be about 20 hectares (2% of Shon Sheffrey Spring catchment area: ~10 km²).

With a total annual rainfall for 2016 of 1,130 mm and an estimated annual potential evapotranspiration of 535 mm, this would imply a value of 326 m³/d recharge in the quarry catchment. Taking rainfall minus PE to approximate recharge may be considered a simplistic approach but is thought to be sufficient for this assessment.

The average pumping rate of c. 518 m³/d in 2016 is larger than the estimated recharge over the quarry catchment area suggesting that the quarry is intercepting recharge over the quarry catchment as well as drawing in groundwater from a small area outside of the catchment, from a total of ~ 32 hectares.

The impact assessment (ESI, 2008) concluded that dewatering activities will not have any significant effect on flows in the Nant Trefil or Shon Sheffrey spring due to the re-circulation of water discharged to the Nant Trefil.

4 REFERENCES


ESI Ltd. (2008). Trefil Quarry: Hydrogeological Impact Assessment. Ref 6878R1rev1.

ESI Ltd. (2009). Water Management Plan - Trefil Quarry Deepening. Ref 6878TN1.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Site plans



Reference marker coordinates
Sign 1 - 354.70E 351.68N 462.48
Sign 2 - 303.08E 398.94N 477.32
Sign 7 - 518.09E 412.48N 475.00
Sign 8 - 738.35E 577.90N 487.64
Sign 10 - 293.25E 541.48N 494.07
Coordinates are to the centre of the square yellow sign.

Point mark 4 - 497.83E 615.31N 453.15
Point mark 5 - 525.04E 645.31N 460.51
Point mark 9 - 744.63E 517.87N 472.62
Point mark 11 - 578.05E 666.90N 440.24
White block stone - 613.96E 655.45N 437.41
Orange paint mark - 697.58E 472.34N 442.54

KEY TO LINES

- 5 YEAR LINE
- 10 YEAR LINE
- 15 YEAR LINE
- 20 YEAR LINE
- 25 YEAR LINE

TREFIL QUARRY

GENERAL ARRANGEMENT & CLIFF FACE SURVEY

SHEET DIAGRAM	SCALE: 1:1000 vti AD
One Sheet Only	JOB No. 56110
	Dwg. No. 56110/1000A/1.1
	DRAWN: MAH/AC
	CHECKED: MAH/AC
	APPROVED:
	DATE: April 2016

- NOTES
1. Site grid used.
 2. North arrow indicates approximate North.
 3. All levels relate to OS datum.
 4. Reference signs added June 2014.
 5. Boundaries 3 & 4 added on common April 2015.
 6. Cliff faces all re surveyed 21st & 26th April 2016.

LINE TYPES	CLIENT
Buildings	Gryphon Quarries Ltd.
Paths, Walls	
Fences	
Top of banks	
Bottom of banks	
Hedges	
Overhead Wires	
Surface Change	

ABBREVIATIONS	ABBREVIATIONS
IC - Inspection Cover	C/B - Close Boarded
CL - Cover Level	C/I - Corrugated Iron
BL - Basement Level	J/R - Iron Railings
SL - Step Level	EP - Electricity Pole
FL - Finished Floor Level	EH - Earthing Base
TL - Top of Wall	FL - Fire Hydrant
TL - Top of Wall	FL - Flood Light
BL - Bollard	FS - Flag Staff
BS - British Beacon	G 1.2 - Green or Tree (m)
BH - Bore Hole	GV - Gas Valve
BT - British Telecom	JB - Junction Box
CATV - Cable T.V.	KD - Kerb Outlet
CB - Tel. Call Box	SP - Sign Post
LB - Letter Box	SV - Stop View
LL - Lorry Load	TH - Trench Hole
MP - Mile Post	TP - Telegraph Pole
RE - Reading Eye	TS - Traffic Signal
RS - Road Sign	VP - Vent Pipe
SC - Stop Clock	WM - Water Meter

CONTRACT SURVEYS (WALES) LIMITED

